DIAGEO

Annual Report 2016

2016 PERFORMANCE HIGHLIGHTS

Volume
Reported movement ↑0.1%
Organic movement ↑1.3%

2016	EU246.4m
2015	EU246.2m

Equivalent units (EU)

Net sales[i]
Reported movement ↓3.0%
Organic movement ↑2.8%

2016	£10,485m
2015	£10,813m

Operating profit
Reported movement ↑1.6%
Organic movement ↑3.5%

2016	£2,841m
2015	£2,797m

Net cash from operating activities
2016 decline of £3m
2016 free cash flow[ii] £2,097m ↑134m

2016	£2,548m
2015	£2,551m

Earnings per share (eps)
Reported movement ↓6%
Eps before exceptional items movement ↑1%

2016	89.5p
2015	95.0p

Total recommended dividend per share[iii]
↑5%

2016	59.2p
2015	56.4p

Alcohol in society

2016	335
2015	298

Reach and impact of responsible drinking programmes

Health and safety

2016	1.44Δ
2015	1.66

Lost-time accident frequency[iv]

Water efficiency[v]

2016	5.1l/lΔ
2015	5.8l/l

(i) Net sales are sales less excise duties. See definition on page 102. (ii) Free cash flow is a non-GAAP financial measure. See definition and reconciliation to net cash from operating activities on page 53. (iii) Includes recommended final dividend of 36.6p. (iv) Per 1,000 full-time employees. (v) Data for the period ended 30 June 2015 has been restated in accordance with Diageo's environmental reporting methodologies. Δ Within PwC's independent limited assurance scope. For further detail and the reporting methodologies, see our Sustainability & Responsibility Performance Addendum 2016.

NORTH AMERICA

Volume
EU47.0m
Reported: ↓1%
Organic: ↑1%

Net sales[i]
£3,565m
Reported: ↑3%
Organic: ↑3%

Operating profit[ii]
£1,551m
Reported: ↑7%
Organic: ↑4%

Read more: pages 28–29

EUROPE, RUSSIA AND TURKEY

Volume
EU43.9m
Reported: flat
Organic: ↑2%

Net sales[i]
£2,544m
Reported: ↓3%
Organic: ↑4%

Operating profit[ii]
£801m
Reported: flat
Organic: ↑6%

Read more: pages 30–31

AFRICA

Volume
EU31.3m
Reported: ↑19%
Organic: ↑9%

Net sales[i]
£1,401m
Reported: ↓1%
Organic: ↑3%

Operating profit[ii]
£212m
Reported: ↓33%
Organic: ↓11%

Read more: pages 32–33

LATIN AMERICA AND CARIBBEAN

Volume
EU20.6m
Reported: ↓5%
Organic: ↓2%

Net sales[i]
£863m
Reported: ↓16%
Organic: ↑1%

Operating profit[ii]
£199m
Reported: ↓24%
Organic: ↓1%

Read more: pages 34–35

ASIA PACIFIC

Volume
EU103.6m
Reported: ↓3%
Organic: flat

Net sales[i]
£2,076m
Reported: ↓6%
Organic: ↑2%

Operating profit[ii]
£395m
Reported: ↑11%
Organic: ↑13%

Read more: pages 36–37

(i) Does not include corporate net sales of £36 million (2015 – £80 million). (ii) Excluding exceptional operating charges of £167 million (2015 – £269 million) and corporate and ISC costs before exceptional items of £150 million (2015 – £123 million).

DIAGEO IN 2016

Diageo is a global leader in beverage alcohol with an outstanding collection of brands across spirits and beer.

Our products are sold in more than 180 countries around the world. Our brands include Johnnie Walker, Crown Royal, J&B, Buchanan's and Windsor whiskies, Smirnoff, Cîroc and Ketel One vodkas, Captain Morgan, Baileys, Don Julio, Tanqueray and Guinness.

Our ambition is to be one of the best performing, most trusted and respected consumer products companies in the world.

We are proud of the brands we make and the enjoyment they give to millions. We are passionate about alcohol playing a positive role in society as part of a balanced lifestyle. This is central to our purpose to help people celebrate life.

For more information about Diageo, our people and our brands, visit www.diageo.com.

Visit Diageo's global responsible drinking resource, www.DRINKiQ.com, for information, initiatives, and ways to share best practice.

In addition, Diageo has prepared a Sustainability & Responsibility Performance Addendum 2016, which is available on www.diageo.com. It outlines further economic, social and environmental disclosures in line with the Global Reporting Initiative Sustainability G4 Guidelines and the United Nations Global Compact advanced reporting criteria.

Cover image Johnnie Walker Black Label on the bottling line at Shieldhall, one of our two packaging sites in Scotland. Johnnie Walker Black Label is created using only whiskies aged for a minimum of 12 years from the four corners of Scotland.

Diageo is listed on both the London Stock Exchange (DGE) and the New York Stock Exchange (DEO).

This is the Annual Report 2016 of Diageo plc for the year ended 30 June 2016 and it is dated 28 July 2016. The Annual Report is made available to all shareholders on Diageo's website (www.diageo.com).

This report includes names of Diageo's products, which constitute trademarks or trade names which Diageo owns or which others own and license to Diageo for use. In this report, the term 'company' refers to Diageo plc and the terms 'group' and 'Diageo' refer to the company and its consolidated subsidiaries, except as the context otherwise requires.

Diageo's consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted for use in the European Union (EU) and IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). References to IFRS hereafter should be construed as references to both IFRS, as adopted by the EU, and IFRS, as issued by the IASB. Unless otherwise indicated, all financial information contained in this document has been prepared in accordance with IFRS.

Unless otherwise stated in this document, percentage movements refer to organic movements which are non-GAAP financial measures. For a definition of organic movement and reconciliations of non-GAAP measure to GAAP measures see page 50. Share, unless otherwise stated, refers to value share. Unless otherwise stated in this document, the percentage figures presented are reflective of a year-on-year comparison, namely 2015-2016, only.

The brand ranking information presented in this report, when comparing information with competitors, reflects data published by sources such as IWSR, Impact Databank, Nielsen, Beverage Information Group and Plato Logic. Market data information and competitive set classifications are taken from independent industry sources in the markets in which Diageo operates.

© Diageo plc 2016

Diageo plc is incorporated as a public limited company in England and Wales. Diageo was incorporated as Arthur Guinness Son and Company Limited on 21 October 1886. The group was formed by the merger of Grand Metropolitan Public Limited Company (GrandMet) and Guinness PLC (the Guinness Group) in December 1997. Diageo plc's principal executive office is located at Lakeside Drive, Park Royal, London NW10 7HQ and its telephone number is +44 (0) 20 8978 6000.

Cautionary statement: this document contains 'forward-looking' statements. For our full cautionary statement, please see on page 156.

Contents

Strategic report
— Our business 02
— Our global reach 03
— Our brands 04
— Breadth and depth across price points 05
— Our strategy 06
— Our business model 07
— How we measure performance: key performance indicators 08
— Chairman's statement 10
— Chief Executive's statement 12
— Market dynamics 14
— How we will deliver our Performance Ambition 16
— How we protect our business: risk management and principal risks 19
— Group financial review 22
— Business reviews 28
— Category review 38
— Sustainability & Responsibility review 40
— Definitions and reconciliations of non-GAAP measures to GAAP measures 50

Governance
— Board of Directors and Company Secretary 56
— Executive Committee 57
— Corporate governance report 58
— Report of the Audit Committee 63
— Directors' remuneration report 66
— Directors' report 86

Financial statements 88

Additional information for shareholders 154

OUR BUSINESS

Diageo is a global leader in beverage alcohol with iconic brands across spirits and beer. We truly understand the consumer and have world-class marketing and innovation skills to build powerful brands that play a positive role in society.

Diageo has built a strong platform for growth. We have grown through investment in our brands, and by acquisition to broaden our geographical footprint and category depth and range.

Our 21 market model[i] has established strong local business units, well positioned to win in increasingly competitive and fast paced operating environments.

We want to make a positive contribution – to society, to communities, to individuals, and to the environment – while continuing to prosper as a business. We actively create the shared value that is part of our heritage, and essential to our future.

Strength through global reach and iconic brands

We build global brands alongside local stars. These brands have broad consumer appeal across geographies to meet demand now and in the future.

Doing business the right way

For us, standards are everything, from how we produce and market our brands, to how we innovate and sell, and in governance and ethics as codified in our Code of Business Conduct.

We produce

We produce our brands from more than 150 sites in around 30 countries. We are committed to efficient, sustainable production to the highest quality standards. Our export-led International Supply Centre (ISC) employs over 4,000 people across more than 55 sites in Scotland, England, Ireland, Italy and the Netherlands.

We innovate

Innovation is a mindset driving everything we do and an important growth engine for our business. We combine our world-leading technical and research capability with investments in smaller start-ups. We partner with entrepreneurs to actively experiment in digital technology, new business models and partnerships to solve business issues and unlock new opportunities.

We market

For decades our brands have been at the forefront of marketing innovation and the same remains true today. We invest in world-class marketing to build our brands, focused on programmes which recruit and re-recruit consumers. We take our obligations to market responsibly and help consumers make informed decisions seriously.

We sell

Everyone at Diageo sells or understands how they can help sell. This is just one expression of the sales-led organisation we are building. We work to extend our sales reach by ensuring our products are available where people want them and by delivering memorable consumer experiences.

Our role in society

Everywhere we operate, we set out to have a positive impact on the world around us. Doing so is good for our business, for our communities and for our consumers.

At the core of our approach is a commitment to create a positive role for alcohol in society. This is fundamental to our purpose – celebrating life, every day, everywhere. We are also committed to tackling alcohol misuse through effective programmes that prevent and reduce alcohol misuse, and work with others to raise awareness and change people's attitudes and behaviour. We market our products responsibly and provide the information consumers need to make informed decisions.

Our distilleries and breweries are at the very heart of the communities in which we work, which gives us an opportunity to create shared value. To do this, we work hard to increase access to opportunity through: enabling entrepreneurship, employability and skills; improving access to clean water, sanitation and hygiene; and helping to empower women.

By reducing carbon packaging, water and waste now, we are reducing our environmental impact to support future opportunities.

(i) Throughout this Annual Report 2016, reference to Diageo's 21 geographically based markets are stated as '21 markets'.

OUR GLOBAL REACH

Diageo is the leading spirits player in every region of the world. This regional profile provides us with exposure to the greatest consumer growth opportunities in our sector. We operate as 21 geographically based markets around the world and have a presence in over 180 countries. We employ more than 32,000 talented people across our global business.

% Share of net sales by our 21 markets[i]

Each of our 21 markets is accountable for its own performance and for driving growth



Our 21 markets

- US Spirits
- Diageo-Guinness USA (DGUSA)
- Canada
- Europe
- Turkey
- Russia
- East Africa
- Africa Regional Markets
- Nigeria
- South Africa
- West LAC
- Paraguay, Uruguay and Brazil
- Mexico
- Colombia
- Venezuela
- India
- Australia
- South East Asia
- Global Travel Asia and Middle East
- North Asia
- Greater China

(i) Based on reported net sales for the year ended 30 June 2016. Does not include corporate net sales of £36 million.

Diageo reports as five regions

% Share by region	North America	Europe, Russia and Turkey	Africa	Latin America and Caribbean	Asia Pacific
Volume (%)	19.1	17.8	12.7	8.4	42.0
Net sales[i] (%)	34.1	24.3	13.4	8.3	19.9
Operating profit before exceptional items[ii] (%)	49.1	25.4	6.7	6.3	12.5
Operating profit[iii] (%)	51.8	26.8	7.1	2.7	11.6
Number of responsible drinking programmes (%)	21.2	25.4	15.6	15.7	22.1
Water withdrawals[iv] (%)	10.0	38.2	37.8	1.8	12.2
Carbon emissions[iv] (%)	6.7	42.7	37.4	2.3	10.9
Number of employees[v] (%)	9.0	33.5	16.5	9.8	31.2

(i) Does not include corporate net sales of £36 million. (ii) Excluding exceptional operating charges of £167 million (2015 – £269 million) and corporate and ISC costs before exceptional items of £150 million (2015 – £123 million). (iii) Excluding corporate and ISC costs of £150 million (2015 – £139 million). (iv) Excludes corporate offices which account for <2% of combined impacts. (v) Employees have been allocated to the region in which they reside.

OUR BRANDS

Our global reach is matched by our broad portfolio of international and local brands. We own the top two largest spirits brands in the world, Johnnie Walker and Smirnoff, and 20 of the world's top 100 spirits brands.

Our portfolio spans consumer drinking occasions. Using local market insights, our teams are able to select the most relevant brands from our global portfolio to meet the consumer opportunity in their market. All of our marketing activities adhere to the Diageo Marketing Code to ensure our brands are marketed responsibly. A selection of our brands are included in the table below.

Global giants[i]

Our business is anchored around our six biggest global brands.

Johnnie Walker | Smirnoff | Captain Morgan | Baileys | Tanqueray | Guinness

Local stars

Can be individual to any one market, and provide a platform for our business to grow.

Crown Royal | Yeni Raki | J&B | Buchanan's | Windsor | Grand Old Parr | Bundaberg | Bell's | Cîroc | Ketel One Vodka | Ypióca | Cacique | Shui Jing Fang

Reserve

Exceptional spirits brands at above-premium price points to capture the global luxury opportunity.

Johnnie Walker Blue Label | Johnnie Walker Gold Label Reserve | King George V | Private Collection | Lagavulin | The Singleton of Glen Ord | Tanqueray No Ten | Zacapa XO | Tequila Reserva de Don Julio | Bulleit Bourbon

Source: Impact Databank Value Ratings, May 2016. (i) Global giants represent 40% of Diageo net sales.

BREADTH AND DEPTH ACROSS PRICE POINTS

Our portfolio, well diversified across price tiers, enables us to participate where the consumer opportunity is greatest, and to capture shifts in consumer preference.

We hold strong positions across all key international spirits categories to serve consumer occasions and price points with our brands. Our most strategically important category is scotch. We have also established footholds in key emerging markets through participation in local spirits categories: raki in Turkey, cachaça in Brazil, local whisky in India, and a small position in the baiju category in China.

	Ultra premium	Super premium	Premium	Standard	Value
Scotch whisky	Johnnie Walker Blue Label	The Singleton of Glen Ord	Buchanan's	Johnnie Walker Red Label	VAT 69
North American whisk(e)y	XR	Bulleit Bourbon	Crown Royal	7	
Vodka	Ciroc	Ketel One Vodka	Sourced	Smirnoff	Istanbul
Rum	Ron Zacapa XO	Pampero Aniversario	Captain Morgan Private Stock	Captain Morgan	
Liqueur			Sheridan's	Baileys	Emmets
Tequila	Tequila Reserva de Don Julio	Relingo			
Gin	Tanqueray No Ten	Jinzu	Tanqueray	Gordon's	Gilbey's
Local spirits	Shui Jing Fang		Yeni Raki	Ypióca	
Beer		Kilkenny	Guinness	Tusker	Dutch

OUR STRATEGY

We pursue the following strategy to deliver our Performance Ambition:

We aim to grow our participation in international premium spirits, driven by growth in both populations and incomes, and the increasing penetration of spirits in emerging markets. To support this, we participate in both beer and mainstream spirits selectively to deliver organisational scale and distribution reach, and to shape responsible drinking trends in markets where international premium spirits is an emerging category.

Our intent is to build breadth and depth across drinking occasions by shaping consumer demand for our international premium spirits brands. In developed markets our strategy is to drive premiumisation through spirits price tiers up to our reserve portfolio. In emerging markets our strategy is to develop from an import-based premium spirits model to become a local player where appropriate, participating in categories that give us the scale and access to the fast growing middle-class consumer. Everywhere we operate, we do so in a responsible and sustainable way.

Prioritised investment in:

Premium core spirits[(i)]

The brands at the core of our business that provide both scale and strong margin contribution.

Reserve

Exceptional spirits brands at above-premium price points to capture the global luxury opportunity.

Targeted investment in:

Mainstream spirits[(i)]

Spirits-based brands priced at a similar amount per serve to mainstream beer or local spirits that enable us to shape local spirits consumption.

Beer

Provides local scale and route to consumer, with focused participation in markets where we have leadership positions.

Outcomes of our strategy

1. Efficient growth
2. Consistent value creation
3. Credibility and trust
4. Motivated people

We measure progress against our strategy using the following financial and non-financial indicators:

Organic net sales growth	1
Organic operating margin improvement	1
Earnings per share before exceptional items	1
Free cash flow	1
Return on average invested capital	2
Total shareholder return	2
Reach and impact of responsible drinking programmes	3 4
Water efficiency	1 3
Carbon emissions	1 3
Health and safety	3 4
Employee engagement index	3 4

See our key performance indicators (KPIs) pages: 8–9.

(i) Spirits include ready to drinks (RTDs).

OUR BUSINESS MODEL

From our position as a global leader, we deliver returns for shareholders, while creating value for our customers and employees. In everything we do, we set out to make a positive contribution to society.

We have structured our organisation into a 21 market business model, applying country-specific strategies to meet local consumer and customer needs. This business model enables us to identify and execute against the most valuable growth opportunities, and also to supply our brands efficiently and effectively using our global expertise, while sourcing and producing locally where optimal to do so. This market-driven business model helps us to capture consumer trends early to deliver sustainable performance.

Global leader

- Broad portfolio
- Global reach
- Financial strength
- Efficient supply and procurement
- Leading capabilities
- Values
- Our role in society

Agile business model

21 markets

- Consumer insights
- Participation strategy
- Supply resources

Global functions

- Global functions

Focused on:

Performance drivers

Read more: page 16.

Sustainability and responsibility priorities

Read more: page 16

Broad portfolio: we have world-leading brands across categories and price points.

Global reach: we have global reach through the breadth and depth of our global and local brands.

Financial strength: our competitive advantage is reflected by our strong financial returns and consistent financial performance.

Efficient supply and procurement: across the world we have efficiency in supply and procurement, with high-quality manufacturing operations and environmental standards.

Leading capabilities: our focus is on brilliant execution including cutting-edge consumer insights and marketing, scalable innovation, and winning relationships with our customers through distribution and sales.

Values: at the heart of everything we do are our company values: passionate about customers and consumers; be the best; freedom to succeed; proud of what we do; valuing each other.

Our role in society: we are passionate about ensuring alcohol continues to play a positive role in society, and are committed to playing our part in tackling alcohol misuse.

Consumer insights: in-market consumer insight teams are able to identify trends more accurately and quickly, delivering more locally relevant solutions.

Participation strategy: flexibility to select the best portfolio of brands that capture the unique consumer opportunity that exists in each specific market and then to invest directly against the largest identified growth opportunities. Each market is able to deploy a customised combination of global and local brands to provide brand price tier coverage that is best suited to its specific consumer needs.

Supply resources: our 21 markets are designated as import markets, import and third party production markets, or import and local production markets. Where we have dedicated in-market supply resource it increases the speed with which we can respond to local consumer demand and helps to protect our supply chain from political and economic volatility.

Global functions: our 21 markets are supported by a global structure and shared services designed to leverage scale, drive efficiency, share best practice, impart knowledge and help build capability at a local level, as well as apply governance of controls, compliance and ethics.

Performance drivers: we have identified six performance drivers which are key to improving execution and achieving our aims: **premium core brands; reserve; innovation; route to consumer; cost and productivity;** and **talent**. Each market focuses on the priorities that will drive performance in that market.

Sustainability and responsibility priorities: every business decision, every operation, and every programme and initiative must work towards our three sustainability and responsibility priorities: **creating a positive role for alcohol in society; building thriving communities;** and **reducing our environmental impacts.**

Our performance drivers and sustainability and responsibility priorities are underpinned by our commitment to the highest standards of governance and ethics.

HOW WE MEASURE PERFORMANCE:
Key performance indicators

Financial ® 1

Organic net sales growth (%)

2.8%



Definition
Sales growth after deducting excise duties, excluding the impact of exchange rate movements, acquisitions and disposals.

Why we measure
This measure reflects our performance as the result of the choices made in terms of category and market participation, and Diageo's ability to build brand equity, increase prices and grow market share.

Performance
Volume growth of 1.3% driven by North America and Europe combined with positive price/mix, primarily mix effect resulted in an organic net sales growth of 2.8%.

More detail: see page 23

Financial ® 1

Organic operating margin improvement (bps)

19bps



Definition
The percentage point movement in operating profit before exceptional items, divided by net sales after excluding the impact of exchange rate movements and acquisitions and disposals.

Why we measure
The movement in operating margin measures the efficiency of the business. Consistent operating margin improvement is a business imperative, driven by investment choices, our focus on driving out costs across the business and improving mix.

Performance
Organic operating margin improvement was driven by favourable mix effect which helped gross margin increase combined with procurement efficiencies in marketing activity offset by higher overheads.

More detail: see page 23

Financial ® 1

Earnings per share before exceptional items (pence)[i]

89.4p

Definition
Profit before exceptional items attributable to equity shareholders of the parent company, divided by the weighted average number of shares in issue.

Why we measure
Earnings per share reflects the profitability of the business and how effectively we finance our balance sheet. It is a key measure for our shareholders.

Performance
Earnings per share before exceptional items increased 0.6 pence largely driven by operating profit growth, higher associate income and lower finance charges partially offset by adverse exchange effects and the impact of disposals.

More detail: see page 24

Non-Financial 3 4

Alcohol in society[ii] (reach and impact of responsible drinking programmes)

335 programmes

Definition
Number of programmes supported by Diageo that aim to reduce harmful drinking.

Why we measure
We put our resources and skills into programmes that encourage a responsible attitude to alcohol and are effective in preventing and reducing alcohol misuse, working with others to maximise impact. These programmes address the risk of harm to consumers or communities and help us deliver our Performance Ambition.

Performance
We seek to broaden the reach of programmes, but we are prioritising the impact they have. This involves supporting projects that are effective in meeting their objectives. We share case studies showing impact evaluation on www.diageo.com.

More detail: see page 41

Non-Financial 3 4

Health and safety (lost-time accident frequency per 1,000 employees)

1.44Δ



Definition
Number of accidents per 1,000 full-time employees and directly supervised contractors resulting in time lost from work of one calendar day or more.

Why we measure
Safety is a basic human right: everyone has the right to work in a safe environment, and our Zero Harm safety philosophy is that everyone should go home safe, every day, everywhere.

Performance
In 2016, we improved our performance with a reduction in LTAs of 13% compared with 2015. This was driven by a strong focus on embedding standards into newer markets to reduce accident levels and leveraging best practice safety management tools. In some of our more established markets, this enabled us to get close to our target of zero accidents.

More detail: see page 43–44

Non-Financial 1 3

Water efficiency[iv] (l/l)

5.1l/lΔ

Definition
Ratio of the amount of water required to produce one litre of packaged product.

Why we measure
Water is the main ingredient in all of our brands. To sustain production growth and respond to the growing global demand for water, we aim to improve efficiency, minimising our water use, particularly in water-stressed areas.

Performance
12.1% improvement on 2015, resulting from process optimisations and improvements across all sites and in particularly at our Tusker Brewery in Kenya and our Gimli Distillery in Canada.

More detail: see page 46–47

We use the following 11 key performance indicators (KPIs) to measure our financial and non-financial performance.

They measure progress against our strategy and our performance against our KPIs are explained below:

Relevance to strategy

1. Efficient growth
2. Consistent value creation
3. Credibility and trust
4. Motivated people

Financial ® 1

Free cash flow
(£ million)

£2,097m



Definition
Free cash flow comprises the net cash flow from operating activities aggregated with the net cash received/paid for loans receivable and other investments, and the net cash cost paid for property, plant and equipment, and computer software.

Why we measure
Free cash flow is a key indicator of the financial management of the business and reflects the cash generated by the business to fund payments to our shareholders and acquisitions.

Performance
Improvement was driven by lower capex, increased operating profit and lower interest payments partially offset by adverse working capital impact mainly driven by prior year improvement in debtor collection.

More detail: see page 24

Financial 2

Return on average invested capital
(ROIC) (%)

12.1%

Definition
Profit before finance charges and exceptional items attributable to equity shareholders divided by average invested capital. Invested capital comprises net assets aggregated with exceptional restructuring costs and goodwill at the date of transition to IFRS, excluding post employment liabilities, net borrowings and non-controlling interests.

Why we measure
ROIC is used by management to assess the return obtained from the group's asset base. Improving ROIC builds financial strength to enable Diageo to attain its financial objectives.

Performance
Adverse exchange led in a decline of ROIC partially offset by the increased return from growth in operating profit and income from associates.

More detail: see page 24

Financial ® 2

Total shareholder return
(%)

17%



Definition
Percentage growth in the value of a Diageo share (assuming all dividends and capital distributions are re-invested).

Why we measure
Diageo's Directors have a fiduciary responsibility to maximise long-term value for shareholders. We also monitor our relative TSR performance against our peers.

Performance
Diageo delivered total shareholder return of 17% as dividends paid increased by 5% and share price increased driven by underlying business improvement and exchange.

Non-Financial 1 3

Carbon emissions[v]
(1,000 tonnes CO_2e)

681Δ

Definition
Absolute volume of carbon emissions, in 1,000 tonnes.

Why we measure
Carbon emissions are a key element of our environmental impact and the impact of the industry. We recognise the importance of reducing our carbon emissions to mitigate climate change and position us well for a low-carbon economy in the future, as well as creating efficiencies and savings now.

Performance
7.7% reduction in total carbon emissions resulting from cumulative impacts of multiple energy efficiency initiatives and switches to renewable fuels, predominately biogas recovery and reuse and displacement of fossil fuels.

More detail: see page 47–48

Non-Financial 3 4

Employee engagement index[vi]
(%)

77%

Definition
Measured through our Values Survey; includes metrics for employee satisfaction, loyalty, advocacy and pride.

Why we measure
Employee engagement is a key enabler of our strategy and performance. The survey allows us to measure, quantitatively and qualitatively, how far employees believe we are living our values. The results inform our ways of working, engagement strategies and leadership development.

Performance
This year, 97% of our people participated in our Values Survey (24,843 out of the 25,712 able to participate). 77% of our people were identified as engaged, with 80% feeling they were 'enabled to perform'. Our survey scores have improved each year since 2014.

More detail: see pages 44–45

Remuneration
Some KPIs are used as a measure in the incentives plans for the remuneration of executives. These are identified with the symbol ®.

See our Directors' remuneration report from page 66 for more detail.

(i) For reward purposes this measure is further adjusted for the impact of exchange rates and other factors not controlled by management, to ensure focus on our underlying performance drivers.

(ii) Alcohol in society KPI has been redesigned to measure reach and impact of programmes. See more details on page 41.

(iii) Non-financial KPIs for the year ended 30 June 2015 include United Spirits Limited, except health and safety (see page 44).

(iv) In accordance with Diageo's environmental reporting methodologies, data for each of the four years in the period ended 30 June 2015 has been restated and total water used excludes irrigation water for agricultural purposes on land under the operational control of the company.

(v) Data for each of the four years in the period ended 30 June 2015 has been restated in accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol and Diageo's environmental reporting methodology.

(vi) In 2014, we reviewed our overall approach to measuring engagement, and adopted a revised index. The new index allows us to compare our results with other best-in-class organisations, and sets us a more stretching benchmark for employee engagement.

Δ Within PwC's limited assurance scope. See page 155 for further details.

CHAIRMAN'S STATEMENT

Diageo is a global leader in an industry that is growing and financially attractive. As we deliver on our future opportunities with our brands and geographic reach, we will continue to promote responsible drinking as part of a balanced lifestyle. This is at the centre of Diageo's purpose to celebrate life every day, everywhere.

Dr Franz B Humer, Chairman

Recommended final dividend per share

36.6p ↑5%

2015: 34.9p

Total dividend per share[i]

59.2p ↑5%

2015: 56.4p

Total shareholder return (%)

↑17%

2015: ↑2%

(i) Includes recommended final dividend.

A stronger, more competitive business

I am pleased with the performance Diageo has delivered this year, my last as Chairman. We are a stronger business and have returned to growth, and I would like to thank our Chief Executive, Ivan Menezes, and the Diageo Executive team for leading this progress. Diageo has positioned the consumer at the heart of the business, through marketing, innovation, local participation strategies and now has the consumer-facing culture required to succeed in today's competitive marketplace.

Diageo's opportunity for growth lies in positive global demographics and income growth, and the increasing penetration of spirits in emerging markets. Our footprint is made up of enviable positions in key geographies: in Africa and India, which together represent almost half of the global growth opportunity for our industry, and also a leading competitive position in North America, the biggest driver of developed market growth. In each of these markets we have a strong portfolio of brands, a proven capability in identifying and acting on consumer insights, leading skills in marketing and innovation, and a reputation for operating in a responsible and sustainable way.

Business development

Responsiveness and agility are key drivers of performance and the Diageo Executive team have enhanced these capabilities in several areas during the year, including: a continued focus on building a world-class sales organisation, investment in local production, and building the capability of local talent.

Alongside the continued focus on organic growth, Diageo released £1 billion from the sale of non-core assets through a more proactive approach to managing our portfolio. This included the sale of our wine interests – primarily the US-based Chateau and Estate Wines and the UK-based Percy Fox businesses to Treasury Wine Estates – and the sale of non-core beer assets in Jamaica and Malaysia. These transactions followed the restructuring of our business in Southern Africa, having achieved our goal of leadership in spirits and growth in the beer business through the successful brandhouse joint venture with Heineken and Namibia Breweries. Diageo now has the scale to move to the next stage of growth in South Africa with a focused, simplified ownership structure.

Our investment in United Spirits Limited (USL) in India offers Diageo a transformational growth opportunity in one of the most attractive spirits markets in the world. India is set to become the second country after China with a population of more than one billion consumers of legal purchase age, with the expected growth of 18–19 million legal purchase age consumers per year.

Since Diageo became the principal shareholder in USL in India in July 2013, we have been determined to capture the significant growth opportunity of one of the largest spirits markets in the world. On 25 February 2016, Diageo announced that it had entered into an agreement with Dr Vijay Mallya under which he resigned from his position as Chairman and Non-Executive Director of USL and from the boards of other USL group companies. The agreement brought to an end the uncertainty relating to the governance of USL and put in place a five-year global non-compete (excluding the United Kingdom), non-interference and standstill arrangement with Dr Mallya.

Value creation and dividend

We measure our progress towards achieving our Performance Ambition through four areas: efficient growth, value creation, credibility and trust, and motivated people. We improved against each of our efficient growth metrics, and Ivan will discuss these in his statement. Our value creation measures also improved. Return on average invested capital (ROIC) was broadly flat against a decline last year and our total shareholder return (TSR) performance has improved on last year, up 17%.

Diageo targets dividend cover (basic earnings per share before exceptional items/ dividend per share) within the range of 1.8 to 2.2 times. The recommended final dividend for the year ended 30 June 2016 is 36.6 pence per share, an increase of 5% over the prior year in line with our policy to rebuild dividend cover to our targeted range. This brings the full year dividend to 59.2 pence per share and dividend cover to 1.5 times. Subject to approval by shareholders, the final dividend will be paid to shareholders on 6 October 2016. Payment to US ADR holders will be made on 12 October 2016.

Our role in society

Ensuring we make a positive contribution to society has always been a priority for Diageo and is at the core of our Performance Ambition. Doing so is good for our business, good for our communities and good for our consumers, and it is also true to our values and our people. The Diageo Code of Business Conduct defines these values and helps our employees live by them every day.

We put our resources and skills into hundreds of programmes around the world that reduce alcohol misuse, working closely with other stakeholders to raise awareness and change people's attitudes and behaviour. This forms part of our support of the industry's Global Producers' Commitments to Reduce the Harmful Use of Alcohol, now in their fourth year, which are making a tangible difference in areas such as reducing drink driving and tackling underage drinking. We are also proud that we are supporting skills and social enterprise in the community, through programmes like Learning for Life, bringing opportunities for training and careers in the hospitality industry, and Plan W, empowering women through learning. And we are working hard, including with our suppliers, to reduce our environmental impact, setting ourselves new, challenging targets around water, carbon and waste for 2020. These priorities represent an interlinked, holistic approach to understanding and managing our impact on society.

Partnerships are key to delivering positive outcomes, and we have recently announced a global strategic partnership with the NGO WaterAid, building on our efforts to improve access to safe water across Africa. Also this year, through a partnership with the United States Agency for International Development, we have extended our work on skills as part of their programme in Colombia, and have set up a new farming supply chain in South Sudan, supporting livelihoods for hundreds of people. These partnerships, with more to follow, increase the reach of our programmes and help us contribute to the UN Global Goals.

Managing geo-political risks and opportunities

Political and other volatility continues to be a growing feature of the global economy and many of the markets in which we operate. We are continually improving our ability to understand and interpret it; and to evaluate and act against the potential risks and the opportunities for the business. We have integrated the work of our strategy, risk and public affairs teams and also improved our in-depth analysis of, and scenario planning for, priority markets. I am confident this will further enhance our resilience and growth potential.

It is too early to assess the implications of the United Kingdom's decision to leave the European Union for our business and operations over the longer term. We believe, however, that with our proven record of managing trade and operating globally, Diageo remains well placed to deliver its Performance Ambition.

Board changes

In November 2015, Deirdre Mahlan stepped down from the Board, as she moved from her role as Chief Financial Officer to take up the role of President, Diageo North America. I wish to thank Deirdre most sincerely for her excellent contribution to the Board and am pleased that she continues to play a pivotal role in leading this important business for Diageo. I am also delighted to have welcomed Kathryn Mikells to the Board as Chief Financial Officer, effective 9 November 2015. Kathryn joined us with a track record for capital discipline and for developing strong cost cultures to create efficient, agile organisations.

Diageo announced on 18 May 2016, that Javier Ferrán would be appointed to the Board as a Non-Executive Director from 22 July 2016. On my retirement from the Board on 31 December 2016, Javier will take over as Chairman from 1 January 2017. On behalf of the Board, I would like to welcome Javier to Diageo and say how delighted I am that he has agreed to be the next Chairman. Through his roles at Lion Capital and Bacardi, Javier has a wealth of experience across the consumer goods sector and brings a strong set of skills to the role of Chairman.

Global volume share of premium spirits
(%)



Diageo	25%	Beam Suntory	7%
Pernod Ricard	16%	Brown-Forman	6%
Bacardi	9%	Others	37%

Source: Impact Databank, March 2016

A global leader

It has been an honour to serve as your Chairman. During the past eight years Diageo has become a truly global leader, with the assets and consumer-facing culture required to succeed. Diageo's values are deeply rooted in the principle of always doing the right thing. I am therefore confident that Diageo will continue to prosper, and succeed in delivering its Performance Ambition for all stakeholders around the world.



Dr Franz B Humer
Chairman

CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S STATEMENT

This has been a year of significant progress for Diageo. Our performance demonstrates our focus on disciplined execution in everything we do. It is this focus which has delivered volume growth, our fifth consecutive year of margin improvement and strong cash conversion. I am confident we now have the platform to deliver sustainable growth.

Ivan Menezes, Chief Executive

Volume movement
↑0.1%
2015: ↑58%

Organic volume movement
↑1.3%
2015: ↓1%

Net sales movement
↓3.0%
2015: ↑5%

Organic net sales movement
↑2.8%
2015: flat

Operating profit movement
↑1.6%
2015: ↑3%

Organic operating profit movement
↑3.5%
2015: ↑1%

Performance

We have a clear strategy, consistently applied which has returned Diageo to both organic volume and organic net sales growth, delivered margin improvement and a strong cash performance.

This year we delivered organic volume up 1.3%, and organic net sales up 2.8%, with a stronger performance across both in the second half. The improvement in organic net sales has been driven by a return to volume growth, and a significant turnaround in US Spirits.

We are a more focused company following the disposal of non-core businesses. These disposals, along with adverse exchange, did however impact reported net sales, which declined 3.0%.

We have sustained positive price/mix despite a weaker pricing environment globally, and we have strengthened our leadership position and brought our brands to an increasing number of consumers. Our beer business has grown for seven successive quarters and continues to provide a strong distribution platform for our spirits ambitions in Africa.

All six global giant brands reported improved performance this year. Smirnoff's and Captain Morgan's improvement was driven by their performance in the United States combined with continued good growth in Europe. Guinness' momentum continues with the brand growing in Africa and in Great Britain and Ireland, supported by innovations from The Brewers Project.

North America delivered organic net sales growth of 3%. This performance is in line with our expectations, with the biggest contributor to organic net sales improvement being that our biggest brands are back in growth.

Organic operating margin was up, driven by favourable mix effect and marketing efficiency. Operating profit grew 3.5% on an organic basis. On a reported basis, operating profit was up 1.6%, negatively impacted by exchange and disposals. Earnings per share before exceptional items was up 1% as profit growth, higher associates income and lower finance charges more than offset the impact of exchange and disposals.

2016 net sales by category (%)

Scotch	24%	IMFL Whisky	5%
Beer	18%	Liqueurs	5%
Vodka	13%	Gin	3%
NAM Whisk(e)y	8%	Tequila	1%
Rum	7%	Other	10%
Ready to drink	6%		

We continue to drive productivity and cash conversion, delivering over 100% cash conversion for a second year and free cash flow improved by £134 million.

Business transformation

Over the past three years, my goal has been to put the consumer at the heart of our business. Consumer trends are moving faster than ever before and companies that can interpret and deliver quickly against consumer insights will thrive. The renewed momentum we have in the business is because we have the consumer-facing culture required to succeed and the agility to move at pace.

We have shifted the focus of our marketing to be centred on the recruitment and re-recruitment of consumers and on what drives consumption occasions, and we are introducing more rigour around the evaluation of the effectiveness of our spend. We continue to uphold the highest standards of responsibility, ensuring that all our marketing activities adhere to our strict Diageo Marketing Code.

Our role in society

We know that the issues that are most material to our business and stakeholders are:

- To create a positive role for alcohol in society
- Build thriving communities
- Reduce our environmental impacts.

Our strategy recognises that these issues are connected to our opportunities as a business, as well as the UN Global Goals launched in 2015. Our strategy also reflects how the elements of our value chain are interdependent, and how contributing to society, to communities, and to the environment strengthens our business.

We are passionate about ensuring alcohol has a positive role in society as part of a balanced lifestyle and are committed to tackling misuse. We do this through our implementation of the Global Producers' Commitments to Reduce the Harmful Use of Alcohol, an unprecedented partnership of the world's largest alcohol companies coming together to tackle harmful drinking – and, as an industry, we are seeing good progress against the targets we set ourselves for 2017. We welcome the trends we are seeing, such as consumers, and particularly young adults, drinking better not more, and the significant fall in the number of alcohol-related road traffic fatalities in many countries. This suggests that initiatives such as the multi-stakeholder approach advocated by the Global Producers' Commitments are having a positive impact.

We are not complacent and there is more to do. This year, we signed a strategic partnership agreement with the United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR) which, over the next two years, will work towards reducing traffic death and injuries, and improve road safety globally. I was proud to learn that we were approached to partner with UNITAR on the basis of our strong track record in supporting programmes and policies to address drink driving.

We are long-standing leaders in providing consumer information to help people make informed choices as part of a balanced lifestyle, and recently announced that Johnnie Walker Red Label will be the first global brand to provide per serving alcohol content and nutritional information on-pack. The new labels are designed to help consumers understand what's in their glass, and conform to the new Diageo Consumer Information Standards which came into force on 1 July 2016 and applies to all Diageo brands.

During the year, I also had the opportunity to launch our new DRINKiQ exhibit at the Guinness Storehouse in Dublin, Ireland, which is one example of our work to help people make informed decisions. This exhibit supports our global responsible drinking website, DRINKiQ.com, which was relaunched in January this year in 12 languages.

United Kingdom (UK) and the European Union (EU)

Following the UK's vote to leave the EU on 23 June 2016, we are working closely with government and our industry bodies to ensure our views are reflected in the transition process. We welcome the formation of a specialist international trade department, as it is important for Diageo that the UK continues to benefit from open access to the EU as well as favourable international trade agreements. We believe that the outcome of this referendum will not have any material near-term impact on our business and we are well placed to continue our global business without significant disruption.

Our people

I would like to thank all our 32,000 people for their energy and dedication during the year. I am fortunate to lead a business with motivated, committed teams around the world. This was demonstrated by this year's annual employee Values Survey, which showed another year of improving engagement scores. Our results compare very well with those of other multinational companies, which I see as a real competitive advantage for Diageo.

Franz, in his statement, highlighted that Javier Ferrán, who joined the Board as a Non-Executive Director on 22 July 2016, will succeed him as Chairman on 1 January 2017. I would like to extend my thanks to Franz for his role in making Diageo the strong business it is today, and for his stewardship of the Diageo Board during his eight years as Chairman. I look forward to working with Javier as we build on Diageo's leadership position.

Outlook

Diageo has an enviable portfolio of brands, a truly global footprint and exposure to the fastest growing opportunities in our sector. The business is now able to respond faster to consumer insights, to shape trends and to deliver. We have been embedding a productivity culture, and are committed to sustainable efficiency in every area of our cost base to achieve £500 million in savings in the coming three years. Two-thirds of the efficiencies identified will be re-invested back into the business to drive growth. We are confident of achieving our objective of mid-single digit organic net sales growth, and in the three financial years ending 2019 delivering 100 basis points of organic operating margin improvement.

I am also confident that, with the consumer at the heart of our business, we will extend our leadership position and become one of the most trusted and respected consumer products companies in the world.

Ivan Menezes
Chief Executive

MARKET DYNAMICS

The global beverage alcohol market is large and diverse, with an estimated six billion[i] equivalent units of alcohol sold each year, generating £260 billion[i] of net sales. Like other consumer goods companies, beverage alcohol companies operate in a context of increasing stakeholder expectation – with the added element of high, and highly diverse, levels of regulation. This environment presents opportunities for Diageo, with our global reach, our range of iconic brands across price tiers, and our approach to responsibility, sustainability, governance and ethics.

A market that is profitable and expanding

The beverage alcohol market is profitable, growing and attractive. Over the medium term, the industry is expected to grow overall in both volume and value. This is driven by consumer fundamentals including a rise in global incomes and a growing legal purchase age population. At the same time, margins remain significantly higher than for the overall consumer goods market.

The market for beverage alcohol is also highly diverse, with significant variations in culture and conditions between, and within, individual countries and regions. It is broadly split between developed and emerging markets, but each individual market presents different consumer dynamics and a different outlook. This diversity presents opportunities to agile businesses that can act on consumer insight and deliver trusted, competitive products.

Developed market opportunities

Typically, developed market populations are ageing and growing more slowly than those in emerging markets. Overall, levels of disposable income are higher, and consumers are often prepared to pay a premium for high quality brands with heritage and provenance. We see consumption occasions as opportunities to promote our international spirits brands, and, within those brands, to encourage consumers to trade up to our reserve portfolio.

Emerging market opportunities

Opportunities in emerging markets are driven by growth in both populations and wealth. Each country is different, and growth occurs at different price points depending on wealth and local conditions. An understanding of local consumers and the categories, brands and price points they are seeking is vital to accessing this growth.

The emerging middle-class plays an important role. These relatively affluent consumers already drink beer or local spirits, and the opportunity is to further access this existing consumption pool with our brands and to offer an opportunity to trade up to our international spirits brands for certain occasions. Outside this group, an estimated 25% of global alcohol consumption is from non-commercial or illicit products. Capturing market share in this consumer segment by offering legitimate, safe, attractive brands that deliver quality at an affordable price is an important opportunity.

There is also a significant and growing number of globally affluent consumers in the emerging markets, who represent an opportunity for our reserve portfolio.

Geo-political volatility

While there are positive medium-term prospects for the beverage alcohol industry, all consumer goods businesses, including beverage alcohol businesses, continue to navigate a volatile global economy. Our Chief Executive discusses the United Kingdom's referendum vote to leave the European Union on 23 June 2016 on page 13.

The slowdown in the Chinese economy, oil price shocks, persistent conflicts in many parts of the world, and terrorist attacks in Europe are just some of the events and trends that have contributed to an unpredictable environment. The resulting uncertainty, changes to economic variables such as exchange rates and commodity prices, and fluctuations in political security can all reduce consumer confidence and spending power.

Our broad participation across geographies, categories and price tiers acts as a natural hedge against individual market volatility, while we retain the flexibility in each market to respond quickly to local dynamics through our 21 market business model. Continued focus on local sourcing of ingredients, scenario planning and risk management, and management of foreign exchange exposure all work to protect the business against the challenges of volatility.

Earning the trust and respect which support performance

Our ambition is to be one of the best performing, most trusted and respected consumer products companies in the world – ensuring we play a positive role in society is at the heart of this. Operating in a responsible and sustainable way every day, everywhere, not only helps us be a trusted and respected business, it also helps drive our performance.

The launch of the UN Global Goals in September 2015 and the Paris Agreement on climate change in December 2015 represent important milestones in what was arguably an unprecedented period of concerted action to address global issues. These initiatives both reflect and drive a wider trend in which stakeholders of all kinds, including consumers and in particular millennials, have increasing expectations that businesses must create value beyond their economic contribution.

Delivering measurable social benefits, tackling alcohol misuse, demonstrating good corporate governance and reducing environmental impacts in line with a clear sustainable development strategy are, more than ever, business imperatives which drive performance.

(i) Diageo estimates.

We have built on our long history of sustainability and responsibility programmes by developing a strategy that is aligned with the UN Global Goals and Paris Agreement and focuses on the three areas that are most material to us and our stakeholders: creating a positive role for alcohol in society; building thriving communities; and reducing our environmental impact. We have set ourselves targets to achieve in each of these areas by 2020.

Creating a positive role for alcohol in society
Alcohol has been enjoyed for centuries and is part of celebrations around the world. Whether people drink beer, wine or spirits, alcohol is alcohol, and the vast majority of people who choose to enjoy it, do so moderately and responsibly. We respect that some people choose not to drink, and recognise that the misuse of alcohol can be harmful to individuals and society. Putting our resources and skills into programmes that prevent and reduce alcohol misuse and working with others to raise awareness and change attitudes and behaviour is good for society and good for the long-term future of our business.

Support for effective alcohol policies
The beverage alcohol industry is one of the most highly regulated in the world, with regulation varying widely between countries and jurisdictions. Diageo complies with all laws and regulations, wherever we operate, as a minimum requirement. We advocate effective alcohol policies that are evidence-based, account for drinking patterns, target at-risk groups, treat all forms of alcohol equally, and involve all stakeholders. Such policies include mandating a minimum legal purchasing age of not less than 18; a maximum blood alcohol concentration (BAC) level for drivers of no more than 0.08mg; and lower BACs for novice and commercial drivers.

However, we advocate against measures that are not based on evidence or are likely to have unintended consequences in what are often complex markets. A particular concern is policies that inadvertently push consumers towards unregulated or illicit alcohol, which can be a risk to public health.

Industry collaboration
We are one of 12 global producers of beer, wine and spirits which, in 2013, launched a set of commitments designed to support Member States' implementation of the World Health Organization's (WHO) global strategy to Reduce the Harmful Use of Alcohol. These commitments focus on reducing underage drinking, strengthening and expanding marketing codes of practice, providing consumer information and responsible product innovation, reducing drink driving, and enlisting the support of retailers to reduce harmful drinking. Diageo goes beyond industry collaboration and works in partnership with governments, law enforcement, educators and civil society to support campaigns to reduce harmful drinking.

Building thriving communities
We can add significantly to the contribution we make to communities through direct and indirect employment, taxes, and community investment efforts by working to leverage the economic and social impact of our entire value chain. Helping communities thrive within the supply chain also builds resilience within our business. We build trust with government and other stakeholders by focusing on human rights throughout our value chain, and through local sourcing initiatives, particularly in Africa where we aim to source 80% of agricultural materials for use in local markets by 2020. This helps secure supply, and delivers wider benefits to the local community.

Climate change and water scarcity
All businesses, particularly those that rely on agricultural raw materials, are exposed to a variety of environmental issues associated with climate change, such as droughts, floods and biodiversity loss. These issues can affect a business' operations directly, or indirectly as a result of their impact on the wider value chain and associated communities. Water scarcity is particularly important to us because water is our main ingredient. Our Water Blueprint, launched in April 2015, defines our strategic approach to water stewardship, and focuses specifically on stewardship in the water-stressed areas shown in the map below.

Trust and transparency
As part of the process of creating value, we are increasingly expected to be transparent about our most material social and environmental issues. This is delivered through reporting frameworks such as the International Integrated Reporting Framework, the Global Reporting Initiative Guidelines, and the United Nations Global Compact principles. We report against all three of these, and believe that this regulation and scrutiny can be an advantage to companies with good corporate governance and the right approach to sustainability and responsibility.

Diageo sites located in water-stressed areas

Sites

1 Kenya Brewing, Nairobi
2 East Africa Maltings, Nairobi
3 Seybrew, Seychelles
4 SA Cider, South Africa
5 Phelindaba Brewery, South Africa
6 Butterworth Brewery, South Africa
7 Khangela Brewery, South Africa
8 Moshi, Tanzania
9 Dar es Salaam, Tanzania
10 Mwanza, Tanzania
11 UBL, Kampala, Uganda
12 IDU, Kampala, Uganda
13 Accra, Achimota, Ghana
14 Kumasi, Kaasi, Ghana
15 Ogba, Lagos, Nigeria
16 Paraipaba, Ceará, Brazil
17 Agricultural lands, Ceará, Brazil

India
18 Alwar, Rajasthan
19 Asansol, West Bengal
20 Aurangabad, Maharashtra
21 Baddi, Himachal Pradesh
22 Baramati, Maharashtra
23 Bhadrakali, West Bengal
24 Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh
25 Four Seasons Winery, Maharashtra
26 Hospet, Karnataka
27 Kumbalgodu, Karnataka
28 Malkajgiri, Telangana
29 Meerut, Uttar Pradesh
30 Nacharam, Telangana
31 Palakkad, Kerala
32 Pathankot, Punjab
33 Pioneer, Maharashtra
34 Puducherry
35 Rosa, Uttar Pradesh
36 Serampore, West Bengal
37 Sovereign, Karnataka
38 Tern, Andhra Pradesh
39 Udaipur, Rajasthan

HOW WE WILL DELIVER OUR PERFORMANCE AMBITION

Diageo's performance drivers and sustainability and responsibility priorities are key to achieving our aims. Each of our 21 markets focuses on the priorities that are most relevant to driving growth and creating shared value in that market.

Our six performance drivers

1
Strengthen and accelerate growth of our premium core brands

Our premium core brands are sold in more than 180 countries around the world. They are enjoyed by consumers in developed markets and have wide appeal in emerging markets. They include iconic brands Johnnie Walker, Smirnoff, Captain Morgan and Baileys.

2
Win in reserve in every market

Seven years ago we shifted our approach to luxury spirits and made reserve a strategic priority. The results demonstrate what building capability and having focus can do.

3
Innovate at scale to meet new consumer needs

We are a company built and sustained through innovation, which gives us the drive to create new products, new categories and new experiences for consumers. We are the leaders in our industry, inventing today for tomorrow, staying on the edge of, and anticipating, consumer behaviour.

4
Build and then constantly extend our advantage in route to consumer

We have put the consumer at the centre of our business. Our route to consumer transformation has driven a clear understanding of what success looks like in a store, in a bar and in the hands of our consumers. We now have the consumer-facing culture to succeed.

5
Drive out costs to invest in profitable growth

We want to continuously get more efficient and effective in everything we do to further enable us to invest in growth for our business, our people and our brands. We have set a goal to deliver productivity savings of £500 million over the next three years, with two-thirds of this re-invested for growth.

6
Ensure we have the talent to deliver our Performance Ambition

We employ bright, collaborative people at all levels in our business, and must continue to do so if we are to achieve our aims. Our people, culture and values are what will make the difference.

Our three sustainability and responsibility priorities

1
Create a positive role for alcohol in society

We are committed to maintaining our leadership position by ensuring we make a positive contribution to society and work to tackle alcohol misuse alongside the industry. We will continue to operate in a responsible way every day, everywhere, to remain a trusted and respected business and drive our performance. We remain focused on delivering the five Global Producers' Commitments[i] and our own stretching 2020 targets.

2
Building thriving communities

We create value for millions of people as a buyer of goods and services, as an employer, as corporate citizens, and as producers of some of the world's best-loved brands. We want to continue to help those communities thrive, by making Diageo a great, safe, and diverse place to work, by building sustainable supply chains, and through programmes that empower communities and individuals.

3
Reducing our environmental impacts

We are dependent on the natural resources we share with the communities around us, and with the wider world. We want to use those resources responsibly, and make a net positive contribution to the environment through our operations and supply chain. We are working to reduce our impacts in the areas of water, carbon, packaging, and waste.

(i) For more information on the Beer, Wine and Spirits Producers' commitments, visit www.producerscommitments.org.

Here we share a selection of case studies that demonstrate how we are executing our performance drivers at a market and global level. The case studies also show how our approach to sustainability and responsibility supports everything we do.

US Spirits

SMIRNOFF – REDISCOVERING THE CHALLENGER MINDSET THROUGH INCLUSIVITY

Smirnoff has built its purpose around celebrating inclusivity. Originating in Russia, Smirnoff as always been a brand of the people and taken pride in bringing quality to a wide and diverse audience. It is part of what has made it the world's largest premium spirits brand by volume.

Smirnoff s therefore a great match for millennial consumers, a generation who value diversity and inclusivity and are willing to embrace new ideas, accept each other and bring people together.

Smirnoff s connecting with the energy of this powerful consumer movement through Smirnoff Sound Collective, our programme of support for electronic music artists. This brings together our brand's long-standing association with music and inclusivity with an audience for whom those things are an essential part of life. We are fostering diversity and inclusion through our support for subcultures within electronic music – like female DJs, LGBTQ performers and fans, and music collectives from a diverse range of cultural backgrounds.

Smirnoff is being revitalised in its largest market, US Spirits, where it has underperformed in recent years. It is now again becoming what it should be – a challenger and innovator, creating new products that meet the needs of a new generation of consumers, including the new Smirnoff Sourced, infused with real fruit juice and naturally gluten free.

Smirnoff ourced, responding to consumer demand for natural flavour choices and transparency about product ingredients.

Global Travel Asia and Middle East

JOHNNIE WALKER – BRINGING LUXURY BRAND EXPERIENCES TO GLOBAL TRAVELLERS

Our reserve brands are an experience to be savoured – and luxury-minded global travellers are enjoying the chance to do just that in our expanding network of Johnnie Walker Houses in international airports. These offer the chance to spend some of the 'golden hour' before a flight learning about the history and provenance of this famous Scotch whisky with the guidance of trained brand ambassadors.

And we are finding that this innovative brand experience is driving performance. This year, we opened new Johnnie Walker Houses in the airports of Auckland, Beijing and Incheon, building on the success of the existing houses in Singapore, Mumbai and Taipei. These have delivered incremental net sales and an increase in average transactions, as well as an increase in volume sales of super-deluxe scotch. To make sure the Houses keep delivering a return on investment, we set a payback threshold of three years, while our brand ambassadors ensure that we are selling responsibly through the Diageo Marketing Code and Code of Business Conduct, as well as increasingly through an online course delivered by the Asia Pacific Travel Retail Association's (APTRA) Responsible Retail Training Programme.

Since Johnnie Walker Houses combine great brand exposure with increased sales, we have accelerated our plans to open further airport outlets during 2017 in three formats – standalone house, shop-in-shop, and counter.

Each Johnnie Walker House is designed to inspire consumers as they learn about the finest of whiskies.

Europe – Ireland

The experimental Open Gate Brewery, Dublin, Ireland.

GUINNESS – INNOVATING AT SCALE TO GROW SALES

We have been exploring innovative beer making for more than a century at the experimental Pilot Plants located in the Guinness Brewery in Dublin, Ireland – but until this year we had never invited the public in to taste our experiments. In response to the craft beer movement, visitors to the Open Gate Brewery bar at St James's Gate, which opened in November 2015, can now sample from a range of trial beers that have been crafted by pioneering brewers operating at the cutting-edge of creativity and brewing technology.

The Open Gate Brewery serves up small batch innovations that draw on the Guinness heritage while exploring entirely new beer styles, generating immediate customer feedback. At the brewery we have also been able to collaborate with local food and drink festivals. Several beers have already made it from the Open Gate Brewery to production at scale in Brewhouse No4, with Hop House 13, Dublin Porter, West Indies Porter and Nitro IPA all finding success with consumers.

Thanks to this burgeoning innovation pipeline the number of different types of beers brewed at St James's Gate has doubled, alongside improved environmental performance. The efficiency gains and extra brewing capacity have been delivered through our Manufacturing Excellence programme.

In 2015, Brewhouse No4 was the first major brewery in the world to be awarded Platinum LEED certification for leadership in energy and environmental design. And, in April 2016, it achieved Ireland's Green Award in the sustainable water category.

East Africa

East Africa Breweries Limited (EABL) sources over 80% of raw materials locally.

SETTING A GOLD STANDARD FOR ROUTE TO CONSUMER AND HUMAN RIGHTS ASSESSMENT IN KENYA

Our route to consumer focus has seen the introduction of a Gold performance programme for distributors in Kenya.

The Gold programme works directly with our distributors of mainstream, premium core and reserve brands, helping them build capability and manage performance, while incentivising sales. A dedicated home team provides training and advice to help them meet these goals.

Our Gold programme has helped distributors in Kenya deliver 93% overall performance versus the stretching plans set, compared to 89% for those not enrolled on the Gold programme. So we know that the Gold programme is worth the investment.

On average, volumes increase 3% faster than those not on the Gold journey, and these distributors now reach 81% of outlets in their areas, compared with 66% before the Gold programme. It has also seen turnaround times during delivery reduced by 30%. In the second half of this financial year, another six distributors joined the Gold programme.

At the same time, we launched our human rights impact assessment in Kenya, which examined every element of our value chain: from suppliers, including the barley and sorghum farmers who grow our ingredients, to employees and contractors, to distributors, retailers and outlets. While Diageo has always been committed to human rights, this assessment is the most comprehensive the business has ever undertaken, and is helping us strengthen our approach to managing processes to identify human rights risks. Read more on these policies and HR approach on page 42.

West Latin America and Caribbean (West LAC)

EMBRACING PRODUCTIVITY IN EVERYTHING WE DO

Being as productive as we can be with the resources we control is essential to deliver our Performance Ambition.

Our largest market in Latin America, West LAC – which comprises 44 countries in Western and Southern Latin America, Central America, and the Caribbean – provides a great example of productivity in action. In West LAC this year, we made a 5% saving in our controllable spend through transformations in sales, our distribution network and manufacturing, and through net efficiencies and best practice at shared service centres.

In September 2015, faced with the ongoing challenge of import restrictions in Argentina, we worked with our co-packer to develop a local bottle for Smirnoff hich replaced the imported one. And in May 2016, we successfully worked together with the same co-packer to introduce the first JεB bottle to be made in Argentina. This JεB local bottle will enable the team to deliver a more competitive retail price and increase investment in the brand, with the ambition of making JεB a bigger brand and accelerate growth. Productivity delivers value in other ways too, for example sourcing glass, closures and labels locally in Argentina has led to a more efficient use of natural resources.

Our responsible drinking programmes, such as La Bomba in Lima, Peru, are making a difference in the region. Since May 2016, La Bomba in collaboration with the Ministry of Education, has educated 450 students in five schools about the risks of underage drinking, while reinforcing our long-standing reputation as a responsible business in the region.

Global

One example of shareable online content used during this engagement campaign.

ENGAGING OUR PEOPLE TO TELL THE WORLD WHAT MAKES THEM #PROUDOFWHATWEDO

Being 'proud of what we do' is a core value at Diageo, where creating value for the communities we are part of has inspired and motivated generations of employees.

Our #proudofwhatwedo campaign builds on that heritage by giving employees more ways to take action on the sustainability and responsibility issues closest to their hearts. It inspires them and others by sharing and celebrating the stories they are most proud of.

Employees across our global business take part in the campaign, which culminates everywhere in the month of March – allowing Diageo people to celebrate their current achievements together, and create a focus for fundraising and volunteering to continue our legacy of support. The campaign, in its second year, built on the success of 2015 by giving employees even more opportunities to be ambassadors inside and outside the business, through shareable online content to help tell our story.

This year, through our employee Values Survey we achieved a score of 85% against our 'proud of what we do' index, with 88% of employees agreeing with the statement 'I am proud to work for Diageo'. That pride plays a vital role in our ambition to recruit, retain and engage the very best people to deliver our Performance Ambition.

HOW WE PROTECT OUR BUSINESS:

Risk management and principal risks

Our Performance Ambition calls on us to be bold and to act like owners. Well managed risk taking lies at the heart of this. Great risk management drives better commercial decisions, creating a growing, resilient and sustainable business.

Our approach

Our risk management framework is straightforward. We believe that great risk management starts with the right conversations, to drive better business decisions. We assign clear accountability for managing our risks in the right way. It is the responsibility of each market and function to manage its risks directly, and then to report on the risks and their management to the relevant Executive member. The Diageo Executive reviews the effectiveness of risk management through the Audit & Risk Committee, and the Board exercises independent review through the Audit Committee, supported by Global Audit & Risk. The Diageo Executive updates the group's risk assessment annually, which is reviewed by the Board. Similarly, all markets and functions perform annual risk assessments and, at all levels in the business, risks are reviewed throughout the year, with updates to risks and/or mitigation plans made as necessary.

Further details about the group's risk management approach are described in the Report of the Audit Committee on page 63.

Focus in the year

The Diageo Executive and Board considered the risks described here as the group's principal risks for this financial year. Our principal risks fall into several categories and comprise operational, and essentially internal, risks such as talent, to those responding to a fast changing and increasingly volatile external environment, including economic and political change, and the risk posed by critical industry developments. The increased focus on international tax systems has been captured in a separate risk. The fundamental importance of operating in the right way in everything we do is reflected in the risk on non-compliance with laws and regulations, while the developing sphere of data privacy is introduced as a principal risk this year. Our risks also range from immediate threats such as cyber and political violence, to responding to the longer-term aims around embedding sustainability deeply throughout our business. Our Risk Management global standard emphasises leadership behaviours and on ensuring risk management is a basic part of doing business every day.

Following the vote in the UK referendum to leave the EU, we are building on our existing risk planning, and work closely with our industry bodies to seek clarity on the transition process.

The Audit Committee also receives periodic updates on emerging or topical risks. During the year, the Audit Committee received an update on data privacy, which explained the new EU General Data Protection Regulation, the broader global context of changing regulations in this area, and our plan for managing the associated risks.

Viability Statement

In accordance with provision C.2.2 of the 2014 revision of the UK Corporate Governance Code, the Directors have assessed the prospects of the group over a longer period than the 12 months required by the 'Going Concern' statement. The Directors confirm that they have a reasonable expectation that the company will continue to operate and meets its liabilities, as they fall due, over the next three years from 30 June 2016. The Directors' assessment has been made by considering our current position and prospects, our strategy, the Board's risk appetite, and our principal risks and how these are managed, as set out on pages 20–21 in the Strategic report.

Although the prospects of the company are considered over a longer period the Directors believe that a three-year assessment is most appropriate as it aligns with our normal and well established three-year strategic business planning processes and presents readers of the annual report with a reasonable degree of assurance while still providing a longer-term perspective.

The three-year business plan is based on our current strategy. This plan has been stress tested by modelling severe but plausible downside scenarios linked to principal risks. In order to reflect the most likely combination of principal risks affecting the business at one time, a combination of the following scenarios was also modelled:

- severe marketing and/or route to market or fiscal changes are introduced by local governments,
- the potential impact of material negative changes in the macroeconomic environment that could impact the emerging markets, that generated 29% of our operating profit before exceptional items for the year ended 30 June 2016,
- lower level of synergies captured from the acquisitions that have been made in recent years,
- unfavourable exchange movements in foreign currencies, mainly the euro and US dollar against sterling, and also
- potential failure to adapt to, or participate in, critical industry developments

The principal risks considered in the most likely combination of downside scenarios are identified with the symbol on page 20 and 21.

Testing also considers the effectiveness of mitigation actions and internal control systems, makes certain assumptions about temporary reduction in discretionary cash flows including capital expenditures and dividend payments, and considers whether additional financing facilities will be required.

Based on the results of this analysis the Directors confirm they have a reasonable expectation that the group will be able to continue in operation and meet its liabilities as they fall due over the period to 30 June 2019.

Relevance to strategy

1. Efficient growth
2. Consistent value creation
3. Credibility and trust
4. Motivated people

Risk	Impact	How we mitigate	Developments in 2016
1. Responsible alcohol promotion and consumption Failure to address the concerns of multiple stakeholders about the promotion and consumption of alcohol. ◆ 2 3 4	• One or more governments impose restrictions on access and/or increase tax and/or duty. • Damage to our corporate reputation.	• Our Alcohol in Society targets include implementation of Global Producers' Commitments to Reduce Harmful Drinking and increased focus on programmes in markets with measurable outcomes. • Strengthen industry response and stakeholder engagement at global and local level. • Increase knowledge about alcohol among stakeholders and consumers by providing information on packaging, online and via training courses.	• No significant new national regulations against alcohol, but restrictive national policies are being considered in several markets and three Indian states have introduced full or partial prohibition. • Several countries increased taxes to raise revenue rather than reduce alcohol misuse. • We introduced new alcohol and nutrition Consumer Information Standards, and relaunched our responsible drinking website, DRINKiQ, in 25 markets and 12 languages. • We incorporated the Global Producers' Commitments to Reduce Harmful Drinking into our business performance review cycle.
2. Economic and political change Significant local volatility or upheaval, uncertainty or failure to react quickly enough to increasing volatility, including the UK referendum on the EU. ◆ 1 2	• Social unrest, liquidity issues, generalised downturn, currency instability, inflationary pressures, possible changes to customs duties and tariffs and/or eroded consumer confidence, impacting our people's safety, our assets' security, business forecasting and/or performance.	• On-the-ground market and country intelligence to build local preparedness for rapid change in external environment. • Market visits by Chief Executive and other senior executives to review local strategy. • Market-sensitive multi-country investment and capacity expansion strategy, and local sourcing strategy (e.g. to minimise currency risk). • Cross-functional steering group keeping risks of UK exit from the EU under review.	• Workshop-based scenario planning exercises undertaken in several higher volatility markets to strengthen response to uncertain conditions. • Scenario planning tools introduced into our market risk management approach. • UK referendum on EU membership has resulted in a vote to leave the EU and is likely to result in a sustained period of economic and political uncertainty and complexity.
3. Critical industry developments Failure to shape or participate in critical industry developments. ◆ 1 2 3	• Consumers move away from our brands. • Less efficient business model compared to key competitors.	• Highly diversified portfolio of brands to ensure coverage of consumer trends. • Continuous assessment and optimisation of business efficiencies. • Rigorous processes of strategy development and governance at corporate and market level. • Systematic review of emerging consumer and route-to-consumer trends including potential disruptive technologies.	• Our mitigation approaches remain unchanged, and we have continued to deploy them in response to industry changes. • For example, we are piloting a range of digital route-to-consumer technologies with technology partners.
4. Talent Inability to recruit, retain and develop sufficient commercial and marketing talent, especially in new areas (e.g. digital) and at senior levels in developing markets. 1 2 3 4	• Failure to achieve our growth plans.	• Significant focus and intervention on moving talent into key local roles in developing markets and in accelerating development of high-potential emerging market talent. • Strengthened learning and development strategy across the business. • Proactive talent acquisition strategy for key risk areas.	• Increased rigour in succession planning process and more investment in pipeline development (e.g. graduate proposition). • Significant investment in commercial learning with multiple programmes to embed global commercial standards. • Targeted external searches resulting in deeper understanding of key talent segments and multiple appointments in critical pipelines (e.g. Africa, beer, digital).
5. Non-compliance with laws and regulations Non-compliance with local laws or regulations, or breach of our internal global policies and standards and/or significant internal control breakdown. 1 2 3 4	• Severe damage to our corporate reputation and/or significant financial penalty.	• Code of Business Conduct (Code) and periodic training to refresh employees on our global policies. • Internal control assurance programme, with local management accountability. • Strong tone from the top, anchored by our Performance Ambition of 'most trusted and respected'.	• Further embedding control and compliance frameworks in recent acquisitions. • Comprehensive Code refresh with global employee launch campaign. • Enhanced automation of controls in our core business processes through systems configuration and the implementation of continuous monitoring technology. • Implementation of an automated tool to improve the efficiency of our anti-bribery and corruption third party due diligence programme.

Risk	Impact	How we mitigate	Developments in 2016
6. Sustainability & responsibility Failure to meet the expectations of stakeholders to make a positive contribution to the sustainability agenda. 2 3 4	• Long-term damage to our corporate reputation and sustained performance. • Less influence shaping the citizenship and sustainability agenda as it relates to beverage alcohol.	• Sustainability & Responsibility Strategy based on material issues and stakeholder expectations at global and market level. • Programmed delivery against a clear set of targets aligned to external stakeholders. • Development of partnerships with external stakeholders to support delivery and scale up of strategy.	• Alignment of strategy to UN Global Goals and introduction of impact framework to improve assessment towards 2020 targets, with market-based roadmaps for 2020 target delivery. • Introduction of human rights impact assessment programme supporting UN Guiding Principles on Human Rights. • Development of sustainable agriculture platform and stronger local raw materials sourcing. • Water management embedded into supply chain, and extension of Water of Life community programmes.
7. International tax Significant changes to the international tax environment such as the OECD Base Erosion and Profit Shifting initiative and EU anti-tax abuse measures alter our operating position. 1 2 3	• Increase in the cost of doing business arising from an increase in our Effective Tax Rate. • Changing tax laws lead to unexpected tax exposures and uncertainty.	• Ongoing review of business strategy and tax policy in light of changing rules and stakeholder expectations. • Monitoring and, where appropriate, expressing views on the formulation of tax laws either directly or through trade associations or similar bodies.	• Preparation and development of process to comply with country by country reporting requirements • Development of process to comply with the new transfer pricing documentation requirements.
8. Business acquisitions Failure to deliver value from acquisitions and/or integrate them into Diageo effectively, including failure to embed Diageo's standards of compliance with laws, internal policies and controls. 1 2 3	• Business case for an acquisition is not delivered leading to impairment charges and failure to meet targets. • Market confidence in Diageo's ability to deliver its strategy is weakened. • Prospects for securing regulatory approval in future are harmed.	• Board and Executive Committee regularly track actual performance against the business case. • Global minimum standards for control and compliance for post-acquisition entities, subject to internal audit review.	• We have continued to monitor the M&A environment and transact where appropriate. • Our focus has been on embedding recent acquisitions (e.g. USL and Don Julio), making sure we have the right governance and integration platforms in place.
9. Cyber threat Theft, loss and misappropriation of our most important digital assets. 1 2 3 4	• Financial loss, operational disruption and reputational damage. • Non-compliance with statutory data protection legislation.	• Mandatory security training for all employees, with new joiners automatically enrolled, and follow-up of non-compliance. • Regular phishing exercise for high-risk employees. • Cyber crisis response exercises held to rehearse plans and train crisis teams. • Use of security analytics to identify threats. • Independent penetration testing to assess effectiveness of security measures.	• Strengthened rapid emergency response capability to tackle serious attacks and breaches. • Enhanced technical measures to block phishing attacks. • Increased capacity to deal with network denial of service attacks. • Stronger oversight of third party provider compliance to our security standards.
10. Politically motivated violence Impacts from politically motivated violence, including terrorism. 1 3 4	• Diageo employees, sites or supply chain threatened and/or harmed. • Our ability to operate in key markets is disrupted.	• Monitoring of local security situation. • In-country security managers oversee people security, physical security and business continuity programmes. • Above-market travel security programme for all Diageo travellers. • Above- and in-market liaison with government, academia, and industry on evolving threats and responding to incidents.	• Standardised and strengthened above-market security assessment process. • Re-instigated intelligence analyst role to monitor specific terrorist groups and high risk regions. • Improved understanding of terrorist cyber threat.
11. Data privacy Breach of laws or regulations. 1 2 3 4	• Harm to trust and/or reputation of Diageo, our brands or people. • Fines of up to 2–4% of global turnover. • Significant restriction in ability to deliver our digital productivity and growth plans.	• Data Privacy global risk assessment to understand full range of specific risks and putting actions in place. • Briefings to Board, Executive and other senior leadership on impact and implications of new EU Data Protection Regulation. • Use of Privacy Impact Assessments in key risk areas of business concerning the proper use of data.	• Publication of new EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the Official Journal on 4 May 2016. A milestone in the regulation of people's personal information in the EU. • 'Know Your Data' global campaign launched with key steps for markets to identify and mitigate data risk. • GDPR workshops have begun in key business areas.

GROUP FINANCIAL REVIEW

This is a good set of results and reflects better execution across the business. Our improved performance was driven by the return to growth of each of our six global brands and our US spirits business. The delivery of volume growth; 19bps of organic margin expansion; increased free cash flow; and the disposal of £1 billion in non-core assets, comes from driving efficiency in every aspect of the business and across every expense item to fuel future growth. I believe this consistent approach to growth, productivity and cash will drive better value creation.

Kathryn Mikells, Chief Financial Officer

Organic results improved with volume growth of

1.3%

Organic net sales growth of

2.8%

Organic operating profit growth of

3.5%

Net sales declined

3.0%

as organic growth in each region and acquisitions were more than offset by adverse exchange and disposals

Operating profit grew

1.6%

with organic growth, lower exceptional operating charges and acquisitions partially offset by adverse exchange and disposals

Free cash flow continued to be strong at

£2.1bn

up £134 million on last year

Net cash from operating activities was

£2.5bn

Basic eps of

89.5pence

was down 6% as lower exceptional income reduced basic eps by 6.1 pence.

Eps before exceptional items increased

1%

to 89.4 pence



(i) Excluding corporate net sales of £36 million (2015 – £80 million).
(ii) Excluding corporate and ISC costs of £150 million (2015 – £139 million).
(iii) Excluding exceptional operating charges of £167 million (2015 – £269 million) and corporate and ISC costs before exceptional items of £150 million (2015 – £123 million).

Summary financial information		2016	2015
Volume	EUm	246.4	246.2
Net sales	£ million	10,485	10,813
Marketing	£ million	1,562	1,629
Operating profit before exceptional items	£ million	3,008	3,066
Exceptional operating items	£ million	(167)	(269)
Operating profit	£ million	2,841	2,797
Share of associates and joint ventures profit after tax	£ million	221	175
Non-operating items	£ million	123	373
Net finance charges	£ million	327	412
Tax rate	%	17.4	15.9
Tax rate before exceptional items	%	19.0	18.3
Profit attributable to parent company's shareholders	£ million	2,244	2,381
Basic earnings per share	pence	89.5	95.0
Earnings per share before exceptional items	pence	89.4	88.8
Recommended full year dividend	pence	59.2	56.4

Organic growth by region	Volume %	Net sales %	Marketing %	Operating profit(i) %
North America	1	3	(2)	4
Europe, Russia and Turkey	2	4	5	6
Africa	9	3	1	(11)
Latin America and Caribbean	(2)	1	–	(1)
Asia Pacific	–	2	(12)	13
Diageo(ii)	1	3	(2)	3

(i) Before exceptional items.
(ii) Includes Corporate. Corporate net sales in the year ended 30 June 2016 were £36 million (2015 - £80 million) a decrease of 55% due to the sale of the Gleneagles Hotel in June 2015. Net operating charges before exceptional items were £150 million (2015 – £123 million), increased due to costs related to the productivity programme, the reinvestment of the savings delivered by the organisational review announcement in January 2014, and increase in the annual incentive plan costs. These increases were partially offset by increased profit on land sales.

Net sales growth (£ million)

Organic net sales growth of 2.8% driven by volume and mix



(i) Exchange rate movements reflect the translation of prior year reported results at current exchange rates.
(ii) Diageo has reflected the full year impact of an accounting change USL made in its most recent quarterly results to account for sales by third party manufacturers on a net sales basis. See page 25 for more details.

Net sales declined 3.0%. Adverse impact of exchange and disposals reduced net sales by 5.3%. These movements were partially offset by organic net sales growth of 2.8% with volume growth of 1.3% and positive price/mix, primarily mix.

Net sales and operating profit were impacted by adverse exchange movements driven by the weakness of a number of currencies against sterling, in particular the Nigerian naira, the South African rand, the Venezuelan bolivar, the Brazilian real and the Turkish lira, partially offset by the strengthening of the US dollar.

Operating profit growth (£ million)

Organic operating profit growth of 3.5%



Operating profit growth of 1.6% was driven by organic growth, acquisitions and lower exceptional operating charges (£167 million in 2016; £269 million in 2015). These movements were partially offset by adverse exchange and the impact of disposals.

Acquisitions and disposals

Acquisitions made in 2015 increased net sales in the year ended 30 June 2016 by £90 million and operating profit by £22 million, largely due to the acquisition of the remaining 50% shareholdings in Don Julio and United National Breweries. Businesses which were disposed of in the year ended 30 June 2015, primarily Bushmills and Gleneagles, and those disposed of in the year ended 30 June 2016, the sale of wines and certain beer assets, contributed net sales of £655 million and operating profit of £121 million in the period ended 30 June 2015, and contributed net sales of £255 million and operating profit of £25 million in the period ended 30 June 2016. The year on year movement on net sales was £400 million and £96 million on operating profit.

Operating margin (%)

Organic margin improved by 19bps



(i) Diageo has reflected the full year impact of an accounting change USL made in its most recent quarterly results to account for sales by third party manufacturers on a net sales basis. It has no impact on gross profit or operating profit. See page 25 for more details.

Operating margin improved by 123bps mainly driven by lower exceptional operating charges, a 19bps improvement in organic margin and the net sales adjustment in Asia Pacific. These movements were partially offset by an adverse exchange impact. Organic operating margin improvement was driven by favourable mix, including the return to growth in North America which drove gross margin improvement, as well as net procurement efficiencies after reinvestment in increased marketing activity. These benefits were partially offset by higher overheads driven by a year on year increase in annual incentive plan costs and inflation.

Basic earnings per share (pence)

Eps before exceptional items increased from 88.8 pence to 89.4 pence



(i) Exceptional items net of tax and non-controlling interests.

Lower exceptional income(i) (£2 million in 2016; £156 million in 2015), reduced basic earnings per share by 6.1 pence. Pre-exceptionals eps was up 0.6 pence as adverse exchange, net impact of acquisitions and disposals, a higher tax rate and the increase in non-controlling interests from higher operating profit in USL, were more than offset by organic operating profit growth, higher associate income and lower finance charges. Finance charges were lower on the fall in both net interest charge and other financing charges. Net interest charges declined from debt reduction and lower interest rates. Other finance charges dropped due to lower hyperinflation charge for Venezuela as we moved to a consolidation rate which recognised the impact of the inflation rate as well as the impact of lapping a £13 million charge in 2015 in respect of an increase in value of Zacapa related financial liabilities.

Movement in net finance charges	£ million
2015	412
Net interest charge reduction	(51)
Reduction in other finance charges	(34)
2016	327

	2016	2015
Average monthly net borrowings (£ million)	9,245	10,459
Effective interest rate(i)	3.3%	3.5%

(i) For the calculation of the effective interest rate, the net interest charge excludes fair value adjustments to derivative financial instruments and borrowings. Average monthly net borrowings include the impact of interest rate swaps that are no longer in a hedge relationship but excludes the market value adjustment for cross currency interest rate swaps.

The fall in average monthly net borrowings arose from disposals proceeds and continued strong cash flow. The effective interest rate reduced in the year ended 30 June 2016 largely driven by changes in financing in USL together with the repayment of Diageo bonds with a higher interest rate.

Free cash flow (£ million)

Net cash from operating activities(i) was £2,548 million in 2016 a decline of £3 million on £2,551 million in 2015
Free cash flow was £2,097 million in 2016 an increase of £134 million



(i) Net cash from operating activities excludes capex, loans and other investments (collectively (£451) million in 2016 – (£588) million in 2015).
(ii) Exchange – on operating profit before exceptional items.
(iii) Operating profit excluding exchange, depreciation and amortisation, post-employment payments and non-cash items but including operating exceptional items.
(iv) Other items include post-employment payments, dividends received from associates and joint ventures, loans and other investments.

Free cash flow improved £134 million driven by lower capex, increased operating profit before exchange, and lower interest payments. The negative working capital movement was driven by the year on year comparison to a significant reduction in receivables in 2015. This was partially offset by a favourable movement on inventory and payables.

Return on average invested capital (%)(i)

ROIC decreased 22bps



(i) ROIC calculation excludes exceptional items.

ROIC before exceptional items decreased 22bps driven mainly due to the adverse impact of exchange which was partially offset by the increased return from growth in operating profit and income from associates.

Income statement

	2015 £ million	Exchange (a) £ million	Acquisitions and disposals (b) £ million	Organic movement[ii] £ million	Reclassification[iii] £ million	2016 £ million
Sales	15,966	(360)	(362)	397	–	15,641
Excise duties	(5,153)	188	52	(121)	(122)	(5,156)
Net sales	10,813	(172)	(310)	276	(122)	10,485
Cost of sales[i]	(4,585)	68	200	(56)	122	(4,251)
Gross profit	6,228	(104)	(110)	220	–	6,234
Marketing	(1,629)	13	17	37	–	(1,562)
Other operating expenses[i]	(1,533)	8	19	(158)	–	(1,664)
Operating profit before exceptional items	3,066	(83)	(74)	99	–	3,008
Exceptional operating items (c)	(269)					(167)
Operating profit	2,797					2,841
Non-operating items (c)	373					123
Net finance charges	(412)					(327)
Share of after tax results of associates and joint ventures	175					221
Profit before taxation	2,933					2,858
Taxation (d)	(466)					(496)
Profit for the year	2,467					2,362

(i) Before exceptional operating items, see note (c) below.
(ii) For the definition of organic movement see page 50.
(iii) Following a review of the third party production arrangements in India it was determined to be more appropriate to ensure consistent reporting by reclassifying the excise duties payable by the third party production companies as excise duties. This change was implemented by USL in its first three months of its financial year ended 30 June 2016, and resulted in net sales for the year ended 30 June 2016 reducing by £122 million with a corresponding decrease in cost of sales. There was no impact on gross or operating profit.

(a) Exchange

The impact of movements in exchange rates on reported figures is principally in respect of the Nigerian naira, the South African rand, the Venezuelan bolivar, the Brazilian real and the Turkish lira, partially offset by the US dollar.

Venezuela is a hyper-inflationary economy where the government maintains a regime of strict currency controls with multiple foreign currency rate systems. Access to US dollar on these exchange systems is very limited. The foreign currency denominated transactions and balances of the group's Venezuelan operations are translated into the local functional currency (VEF) at the rate they are expected to be settled, applying the most appropriate official exchange rate. For consolidation purposes, the group converts its Venezuelan operations using management's estimate of the exchange rate that capital and dividend repatriations are expected to be realised. The consolidation exchange rate and the accounting treatment are monitored and reviewed depending on the economic and regulatory developments in the country.

The effect of movements in exchange rates and other movements on profit before exceptional items and taxation for the year ended 30 June 2016 is set out in the table below.

	Gains/ (losses) £ million
Translation impact	(13)
Transaction impact	(70)
Operating profit before exceptional items	(83)
Net finance charges – translation impact	(17)
Mark to market impact of IAS 39 on interest expense	(9)
Impact of IAS 21 and IAS 39 on net other finance charges	2
Net finance charges	(24)
Associates – translation impact	(4)
Profit before exceptional items and taxation	(111)

	Year ended 30 June 2016	Year ended 30 June 2015
Exchange rates		
Translation £1 =	$1.48	$1.57
Transaction £1 =	$1.55	$1.58
Translation £1 =	€1.34	€1.31
Transaction £1 =	€1.28	€1.23

(b) Acquisitions and disposals

The impact of acquisitions and disposals on the reported figures was primarily attributable to the disposals of The Old Bushmills Distillery Company Limited on 27 February 2015, Gleneagles Hotels Limited on 30 June 2015, Desnoes & Geddes Limited (D&G) on 7 October 2015, the wine businesses in the United States and the UK Percy Fox wine business on 1 January 2016, which were partially offset by the acquisition of 50% equity interests, that the group did not own, in both Don Julio in Mexico on 27 February 2015 and a joint venture in South Africa on 29 May 2015.

(c) Exceptional items

Exceptional operating charges in the year ended 30 June 2016 totalled £167 million before tax, a decrease of £102 million against last year.

Exceptional operating charges in the year ended 30 June 2016 included an impairment charge in respect of the Ypióca brand and related tangible fixed assets and goodwill allocated to the Paraguay, Uruguay and Brazil (PUB) cash-generating unit of £62 million, £14 million and £42 million, respectively. Forecast cash flow assumptions have been reduced principally due to a challenging economic environment in Brazil and significant adverse changes in local tax regulation.

On 25 February 2016 the group incurred an exceptional operating charge of £49 million including a $75 million (£53 million) payment to Dr Vijay Mallya over a five year period in consideration for (i) his resignation and the termination of his appointment and governance rights and his relinquishing of the rights and benefits attached to his position as Chairman and Non-Executive Director of United Spirits Limited (USL); (ii) his agreement to five-year global non-compete (excluding the United Kingdom), non-interference, non-solicitation and standstill undertakings; and (iii) his agreement that he and his affiliates will not pursue any claims against Diageo, USL and their affiliates. In addition to the amount Diageo agreed to pay Dr Vijay Mallya there was net gain of £4 million arising from the termination of certain related agreements,

that were previously provided for less legal fees directly attributable to the settlement.

In the year ended 30 June 2015 exceptional operating charges were £269 million before tax which comprised £146 million in respect of a settlement agreement of disputes with the Korean customs authorities, £82 million in respect of restructuring programmes and an exceptional impairment charge of £41 million in respect of the group's 45.56% equity investment in Hanoi Liquor Joint Stock Company.

Non-operating items in the year ended 30 June 2016 were a net gain of £123 million before tax compared to a gain of £373 million before tax last year, a decrease of £250 million against last year.

The year ended 30 June 2016 included an exceptional gain before taxation of £457 million in respect of the sale of Diageo's 57.87% shareholding in D&G (Jamaican Red Stripe business) and a 49.99% stake in GAPL Pte Limited (Singapore and Malaysian beer businesses) to Heineken, which completed on 7 October 2015. The gain is net of a £13 million cumulative exchange loss, in respect of prior years, recycled from other comprehensive income and transaction costs of £7 million. As part of the transaction, Diageo purchased an additional 20% shareholding in Guinness Ghana Breweries Limited (GGBL) from Heineken which increased Diageo's shareholding in GGBL to 72.42%.

On 1 January 2016, Diageo completed the sale of the majority of its wine interests in the United States and its UK based Percy Fox businesses to Treasury Wine Estates. Together with the sale of the group's other wine interests in the United States the transactions resulted in a loss before taxation on disposal of £191 million including an estimated provision for the settlement of a guarantee given in respect of the lease payments due to Realty Income Corporation, the lessor of the vineyards. The loss is net of an exchange gain of £12 million, in respect of prior years, recycled from other comprehensive income and transaction costs of £8 million.

On 29 January 2016, Diageo disposed of its interests in Argentina to Grupo Peñaflor. The transaction resulted in a loss before taxation of £38 million including a cumulative exchange loss of £20 million, in respect of prior years, recycled from other comprehensive income and other directly attributable costs of £7 million.

On 1 December 2015, Diageo disposed of its 42.25% equity interests in DHN Drinks, its 25% equity stake in Sedibeng Breweries Limited and its 15.01% equity stake in Namibia Breweries Limited (South African associate interests) to Heineken. The net cash consideration received was £120 million, which included the repayment of £31 million in respect of loans previously made to DHN Drinks and Sedibeng Breweries Limited. A loss before taxation of £27 million, including a £30 million cumulative exchange loss, in respect of prior years, recycled from other comprehensive income, was accounted for in the income statement.

On 30 September 2015, the group completed the disposal of its shareholding in Central Glass Industries Limited (CGI), a Kenyan glass bottle manufacturer, resulting in a gain before taxation of £14 million, net of £1 million transaction costs. £7 million of the gain is attributable to non-controlling interests.

A guarantee provided by Diageo for a loan of $135 million (£92 million) given by Standard Chartered Bank (SCB) to Watson Limited was called and $135 million paid to SCB during the year. The underlying security package for the loan remains in place. A provision of $135 million has been made. Further details are set out in note 18.

In the year ended 30 June 2015 non-operating items included a gain of £63 million as a result of Don Julio becoming a subsidiary of the group and as part of the transaction, Diageo sold its wholly owned subsidiary, The Old Bushmills Distillery Company Limited to the Cuervo group, resulting in a gain of £174 million. A gain of £103 million arose on the increase of the group's investment in United Spirits Limited (USL) from 25.02% to 54.78% (excluding the 2.38% interest owned by USL Benefit Trust). On 30 June 2015, Diageo completed the disposal of Gleneagles Hotel Limited to the Ennismore group resulting in an exceptional gain of £73 million. In addition a provision of £30 million was charged to the income statement in respect of a guarantee provided to a third party financial institution.

Cash payments in the year ended 30 June 2016 for exceptional restructuring, for the payment in respect of the Watson guarantee (reported in 'movements in loans and other investments' in the consolidated statement of cash flows), for disengagement agreements relating to United Spirits Limited and for thalidomide were £52 million, £92 million, £28 million and £12 million, respectively. In the comparable period the cash expenditure for exceptional restructuring, for the legal settlement in Korea, for the guarantee and for thalidomide were £117 million, £74 million, £30 million and £19 million, respectively.

(d) Taxation

The reported tax rate for the year ended 30 June 2016 was 17.4% compared with 15.9% for the year ended 30 June 2015. The tax rate before exceptional items for the year ended 30 June 2016 was 19.0% compared with 18.3% in the prior year. It is expected that the tax rate before exceptional items for the year ending 30 June 2017 will be 21%.

(e) Dividend

The group aims to increase the dividend at each half-year and the decision as to the rate of the dividend increase is made with reference to dividend cover as well as the current performance trends including top and bottom line together with cash generation. Diageo targets dividend cover (the ratio of basic earnings per share before exceptional items to dividend per share) within the range of 1.8-2.2 times. For the year ended 30 June 2015 dividend cover was 1.6 times. Beginning with the interim dividend for the year ended 30 June 2016 we slowed growth to 5% consistent with our focus on stabilising and rebuilding dividend cover. The recommended final dividend for the year ended 30 June 2016 is 36.6 pence, an increase of 5% consistent with our interim dividend. This brings the full year dividend to 59.2 pence per share and dividend cover to 1.5 times. We would expect to maintain dividend increases at roughly a mid-single digit rate until cover is back in range.

Subject to approval by shareholders, the final dividend will be paid to holders of ordinary shares and ADRs on the register as of 12 August 2016. The ex-dividend date for the holders of the ordinary shares is 11 August 2016, and 10 August 2016 for US ADR holders. The final dividend will be paid to shareholders on 6 October 2016. Payment to US ADR holders will be made on 12 October 2016. A dividend reinvestment plan is available to holders of ordinary shares in respect of the final dividend and the plan notice date is 15 September 2016.

Movements in net borrowings and equity

Movement in net borrowings	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Net borrowings at the beginning of the year	**(9,527)**	(8,850)
Free cash flow (a)	**2,097**	1,963
Acquisition and sale of businesses (b)	**1,047**	(306)
Proceeds from issue of share capital	**1**	1
Net purchase of own shares for share schemes (c)	**(1)**	(8)
Dividends paid to non-controlling interests	**(101)**	(72)
Purchase of shares of non-controlling interests (d)	**(21)**	–
Disposal of non-controlling interests	**–**	1
Net movements in bonds (e)	**(1,003)**	(701)
Net movements in other borrowings (f)	**(233)**	386
Equity dividends paid	**(1,443)**	(1,341)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	**343**	(77)
Net decrease in bonds and other borrowings	**1,236**	315
Exchange differences (g)	**(725)**	(7)
Borrowings on acquisition of businesses	**–**	(869)
Borrowings disposed through sale of businesses	**14**	–
Other non-cash items	**24**	(39)
Net borrowings at the end of the year	**(8,635)**	(9,527)

(a) See page 53 for the analysis of free cash flow.

(b) Acquisitions and sale of businesses include the disposal of the group's shareholdings in D&G and GAPL on 7 October 2015 for a net cash consideration, including disposal costs, of $783 million (£510 million); the disposal of the group's equity stake in its South African associate interests on 1 December 2015 for a cash consideration of ZAR 2,517 million (£119 million), net of disposal costs; the disposal of the group's wine interests in the United States and its UK based Percy Fox for a cash consideration of $551 million (£375 million), net of disposal costs; and the proceeds from the sale of CGI, a Kenyan glass manufacturer, for KES 3,931 million (£25 million), net of disposal costs.

In the year ended 30 June 2015 cash payments primarily comprised £1,118 million in respect of the acquisition of additional 26% investment in USL and £192 million for the 50% equity interest in Don Julio BV that it did not already own, partially offset by cash received of £391 million in respect of sale of the Whyte and Mackay Group and £456 million on the sale of equity share capital in The Old Bushmills Distillery Company Limited.

(c) Net purchase of own shares comprised purchase of treasury shares for the future settlement of obligations under the employee share option schemes of £47 million (2015 – £75 million) less receipts from employees on the exercise of share options of £46 million (2015 – £67 million).

(d) In the year ended 30 June 2016 Diageo purchased an additional 20% shareholding in Guinness Ghana Breweries Limited for £21 million.

(e) In the year ended 30 June 2016, the group repaid bonds of $1,500 million (£1,003 million). In the comparable period, the group repaid bonds of €1,000 million (£792 million) and $500 million (£330 million), issued bonds of €1,000 million (£791 million), and a bond of £370 million acquired on the purchase of USL was repaid using the proceeds from the sale of the Whyte and Mackay Group.

(f) Net movements in other borrowings are driven by the net repayment of short term commercial paper.

(g) Net borrowings increased because of unfavourable exchange differences primarily on the US dollar and euro denominated borrowings partially offset by a favourable movement on foreign exchange swaps and forwards.

Movement in equity	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Equity at the beginning of the year	**9,256**	7,590
Profit for the year	**2,362**	2,467
Exchange adjustments (a)	**875**	(225)
Net remeasurement of post employment plans	**(856)**	113
Tax on post employment plans	**166**	(11)
Exchange recycled to the income statement (b)	**51**	88
Fair value movements on available-for-sale investments	**(20)**	20
Non-controlling interests acquired (b)	**–**	641
Purchase of shares of non-controlling interests	**(21)**	–
Disposal of non-controlling interest	**(24)**	–
Dividends to non-controlling interests	**(101)**	(72)
Dividends paid	**(1,443)**	(1,341)
Other reserve movements	**(65)**	(14)
Equity at the end of the year	**10,180**	9,256

(a) Movement in the year ended 30 June 2016 primarily arose from exchange gains in respect of the Indian rupee, Turkish lira, US dollar and euro.

(b) In the year ended 30 June 2016 exchange losses of £51 million were recycled to the income statement in respect of disposals.

In the year ended 30 June 2015 following the acquisition of majority equity stakes in USL, 50% equity interest in Don Julio and one of the group's joint ventures in South Africa that it did not already own exchange losses of £88 million were recycled to the income statement and on the acquisition of USL a 43.91% non-controlling interest of £641 million was recognised.

Post employment plans

The deficit in respect of post employment plans before taxation increased by £934 million from £259 million at 30 June 2015 to £1,193 million at 30 June 2016. The increase primarily arose due to a decrease in returns from 'AA' rated corporate bonds used to calculate the discount rates on the liabilities of the post employment plans (United Kingdom reduced from 3.8% to 2.9% and Ireland from 2.6% to 1.4%) partially offset by a reduction in long term inflation rates (UK RPI from 3.2% to 2.8%, UK CPI from 2.2% to 1.8% and Ireland CPI from 1.6% to 1.4%). Total cash contributions by the group to all post employment plans in the year ending 30 June 2017 are estimated to be approximately £200 million.

NORTH AMERICA

Net sales by markets
(%)



Net sales by categories
(%)



(i) excluding RTDs

Net sales by price points
(%)



North America, the largest market for premium drinks in the world, accounts for about a third of our net sales and around half of operating profit. North America continues to be a very vibrant market and we are focused on setting the business up for long-term growth. In the year, we disposed of our wine assets in the United States, and our new management team have made a number of changes to refocus marketing activity, upweight on premise activity, and enhance distributor relationships.

Key financials

	2015 £ million	Exchange £ million	Acquisitions and disposals £ million	Organic movement £ million	2016 £ million	Reported movement %
Net sales	3,455	172	(159)	97	3,565	3
Marketing	542	23	(14)	(10)	541	–
Operating profit before exceptional items	1,448	77	(30)	56	1,551	7
Exceptional operating items	(28)				–	
Operating profit	1,420				1,551	9

Our markets

North America business is headquartered in Norwalk, Connecticut, and comprises US Spirits, Diageo Guinness USA (DGUSA) and Diageo Canada.

Supply operations

We have nine bottling, distilling, blending and maturation sites including operations in Plainfield, Illinois; Amherstburg, Ontario; Valleyfield, Quebec; Relay, Maryland; Gimli, Manitoba; Tullahoma, Tennessee and Louisville, Kentucky. Over the last five years, we have made significant changes to our supply footprint in North America as we focus on continuously improving efficiency across our supply chain. Since 2010 we have invested more than $250 million (£160 million) in our network and people to deliver world-class manufacturing and packaging operations.

Route to consumer

Route to consumer in the United States is through the three-tier system and we distribute our products through approximately 40 spirits distributors and brokers, and more than 400 beer distributors. We have a unique route to consumer for our spirits business in the United States, with approximately 3,000 dedicated distributor sales people focused only on Diageo and Moët Hennessy spirits brands. We consolidate our US Spirits business into a single distributor or broker in 41 states and the District of Columbia, representing more than 80% of our US Spirits volume.

The US Spirits business operates through three divisions in open states where we sell to distributors who then sell to retailers, and through two division in control states where we sell to the state, which in turn sells to state or agency stores and on premise retailers. DGUSA sells and markets brands including Guinness and Smirnoff Ice. Beer distribution generally follows the three-tier open state regulations across the United States. Diageo Canada distributes our collection of spirits and beer brands across all Canadian provinces, which generally operate through a provincial control system. Diageo Canada operates through a single broker with a dedicated sales force handling our brands in the country. National brand strategy, strategic accounts marketing and corporate functions are managed at the North America level.

Sustainability and responsibility

Through our focus on responsible drinking we have built a reputation as a leading voice in the industry in North America, our largest market. 2015 saw the successful culmination of our 12-year campaign for alcohol companies to be allowed to include alcohol content and nutritional information per serve on packaging. In October we followed this by putting macro nutritional labels on our Crown Royal packaging – a first for any alcohol company.

Operational sustainability is another key issue for us. We have introduced rigorous water management procedures across our North America sites. For example, at our George Dickel distillery in Tullahoma, Tennessee, all water is either reused or returned to the local water source without impact, resulting in zero wastewater leaving the site. Our focus on improving energy efficiency and reducing carbon emissions has made North America Diageo's best-performing region for this metric.

North America delivered net sales growth of 3%, following the expected strong performance in the second half in US Spirits. Full year depletion and net sales growth in US Spirits was 3%. Growth in North American whiskey, scotch and tequila drove positive mix. North American whiskey, with net sales up 6%, was the main driver of net sales growth as Crown Royal and Bulleit continued to gain share in the category. Performance of Smirnoff and Captain Morgan improved, with net sales up 2% for both brands. In scotch, Johnnie Walker and Buchanan's both performed well, with net sales up 7% and 9%, respectively. Reserve brands performance also improved, with net sales up 5%, driven by Johnnie Walker reserve variants, Bulleit, Don Julio and Ketel One vodka. Elsewhere in the region DGUSA net sales grew 1%, with growth in ready to drink offsetting a decline in beer, and in Canada net sales were up 4%. Marketing in North America was down 2% as a result of procurement efficiencies and more focused spend on innovation. Operating margin increased 39bps for the year, as improvement in gross margin and lower marketing more than offset higher overheads.

KEY HIGHLIGHTS

- Net sales in **US Spirits** were up 3%, with a 10% net sales increase in the second half following a transition to a replenishment model for innovation launches. Diageo's North American whiskey brands accounted for half of the overall net sales growth as Crown Royal and Bulleit continued to gain share. Crown Royal net sales increased 5%, with net sales of Crown Royal Deluxe up 5% as it benefited from the new "The One Made For A King" campaign which focused on the quality and heritage of the brand. Crown Royal Regal Apple continued to benefit from the popularity of the shot occasion and delivered a solid performance, with net sales up 15%, as it entered its second year after launch. Cîroc performance improved in the second half, as the brand benefited from the launch of its Apple flavour. Smirnoff net sales were up 2% but it underperformed the vodka category. Growth from a more focused flavours portfolio and the newly launched Smirnoff Sourced, a blend of real fruit juice and spirit, offset a decline in Smirnoff Red which lapped last year's brand renovation and promotional activity and continued to be impacted by a competitive price environment. Performance in scotch improved as Johnnie Walker's net sales increased 7%, largely driven by reserve variants, up 23%. Buchanan's net sales were up 9% and share increased, as the 'A lo Grande' campaign enhanced the connection with hispanic consumers. Increased investment in the on-trade and focus on recruiting new consumers amongst millenials had a positive impact on Captain Morgan, which gained share despite weakness in the rum category. Net sales for the brand were up 2%, largely driven by the Original Spiced variant and Cannon Blast, which proved to be popular in the shot occasion. Don Julio, with net sales up 34%, was the fastest growing brand in the portfolio and gained share.
- **DGUSA** net sales increased 1%, as growth in ready to drink offset a decline in beer. In ready to drink the launch of Smirnoff Electric and a solid performance of Smirnoff Ice, which benefited from new flavours and packaging, drove net sales growth of 7%. Beer net sales were down 3% largely driven by a decline in Smithwick and Harp. Guinness net sales were broadly flat as the launch of Guinness Nitro IPA offset the net sales decline of Guinness American Blonde Lager, which lapped the previous year launch, and Guinness draught which continued to be impacted by a crowded craft beer segment.
- Net sales in **Canada** increased 4%, largely driven by Crown Royal, which benefited from the launch of Crown Royal Northern Harvest Rye, rated '2016 world whiskey of the year' by Jim Murray's Whiskey Bible, distribution gains, and the 'We Make Whisky The Canadian Way' campaign, which highlights the brand's quality and craftmanship. Performance in vodka and ready to drink was also good, with net sales up 2% and 6%, respectively.
- **Marketing** reduced 2% driven by procurement efficiencies and more focused spend on innovations. Spend was also focused against the largest brands in US Spirits, with investment in Smirnoff, Crown Royal and Captain Morgan up 6%, and fast growing brands such as Don Julio, Bulleit and Buchanan's where investment was up 16%.

Markets and categories:	Organic volume movement %	Reported volume movement %	Organic net sales movement %	Reported net sales movement %
North America	1	(1)	3	3
US Spirits	1	(1)	3	4
DGUSA	–	(3)	1	5
Canada	2	2	4	(5)
Spirits[i]	1	1	3	8
Beer	(3)	(7)	(2)	(2)
Ready to drink	4	1	5	7

Global giants and local stars[i]:	Reported volume movement[ii] %	Organic net sales movement %	Reported net sales movement %
Crown Royal	6	6	12
Smirnoff	1	2	6
Captain Morgan	3	2	6
Johnnie Walker	–	5	10
Ketel One vodka	2	4	10
Cîroc	(6)	(7)	(1)
Baileys	(2)	–	4
Guinness	–	–	5
Tanqueray	5	7	13
Don Julio	30	34	42
Bulleit	25	28	36
Buchanan's	3	9	16

(i) Spirits brands excluding ready to drink.
(ii) Reported equals organic volume movement.

EUROPE, RUSSIA AND TURKEY

Net sales by markets
(%)



Net sales by categories
(%)



(i) excluding RTDs

Net sales by price points
(%)

Diageo is the largest premium drinks business in Europe. Within the geography of Europe there are three markets: Europe, Russia and Turkey. In Europe consumer marketing programmes are developed at a market level to drive consistency, efficiency and scale across all countries. In Russia we are driving our premium core, standard and value brands and reserve portfolio, whilst in Turkey, we use our local businesses' strong route to consumer to drive accelerated growth in international premium spirits. In Europe our reputation as a trusted and respected company and for groundbreaking innovation, is key to our ability to attract and retain the people we need to deliver our Performance Ambition.

Key financials

	2015 £ million	Exchange £ million	Acquisitions and disposals £ million	Organic movement £ million	2016 £ million	Reported movement %
Net sales	2,617	(87)	(88)	102	2,544	(3)
Marketing	388	1	(5)	20	404	4
Operating profit before exceptional items	804	(24)	(24)	45	801	–
Exceptional operating items	(20)				–	
Operating profit	784				801	2

Our markets

Europe comprises Great Britain, Ireland and Continental Europe (including Iberia, France, Germany and the Europe Partner markets distribution businesses), while Russia and Turkey are standalone markets. Europe is managed as a single market with country teams focusing on sales and customer marketing execution.

Supply operations

The International Supply Centre (ISC) comprises the supply operations in the United Kingdom, Ireland and Italy. The group owns 29 whisky distilleries in Scotland, a Dublin based brewery, maturation and packaging facilities in Scotland, England, Ireland and Italy. The ISC ships whisky, vodka, gin, rum, beer, wine, cream liqueurs, and other spirit-based drinks to over 180 countries. Through our £1 billion investment in Scotch whisky production and inventory, announced in 2012, distilling capacity has increased by over 25%. Raki, vodka and wine are produced at a number of sites in Turkey and Smirnov vodka and other local brands are produced in Russia.

Route to consumer

In Great Britain we sell and market our products through Diageo GB (spirits, beer and ready to drink) and Justerini & Brooks Retail (wines private clients). Products are distributed through independent wholesalers and directly to retailers. In the on-trade, products are sold through major brewers, multiple retail groups and smaller regional independent brewers and wholesalers. On 1 January 2016 we sold our Percy Fox wines distribution business.

In the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland, Diageo sells and distributes directly to the on-trade and the off-trade as well as wholesalers.

In Continental Europe, we distribute our spirits brands primarily through our own distribution companies, apart from France where products are sold through a joint venture arrangement with Moët Hennessy and Europe Partner markets where we use third party distributors.

Europe Partner Markets distributes our beer brands in mainland Europe, focusing on Germany, Russia and France, our largest mainland European beer markets.

In Russia we operate through wholly owned subsidiaries.

In Turkey, we sell our products via the distribution network of Mey İçki, our wholly owned subsidiary. Mey İçki distributes both local brands (raki, other spirits and wine) and Diageo's global spirits brands.

Sustainability and responsibility

Promoting responsible drinking is both a key issue and a key strength for us, in a region where concern over harmful drinking is high on the public agenda. The work we are doing in support of the Global Producers' Commitments includes partnering with industry colleagues on a responsible marketing pact, as well as our own responsible drinking programmes. This work makes an important contribution to the promotion of alcohol as part of a balanced lifestyle, while also enhancing our reputation.

This reputational aspect is essential in a region where people increasingly want to work for companies that they believe make a positive social and environmental, as well as economic, contribution. Our manufacturing operations, notably our distilleries in Scotland and our Guinness brewery in Ireland, aim for leadership in safety standards and environmental sustainability.

The region's performance reflects momentum in Europe, strong net sales growth in Russia driven by price increases in a tough economic and exchange environment and good growth in Turkey. In Europe, net sales were up 3% with Great Britain and Continental Europe the main contributors and with share gains across the market. Baileys performed strongly driven by execution against core growth drivers, especially sampling. Guinness net sales were up 2% supported by innovations from 'The Brewers Project' and Tanqueray grew net sales double digit in most countries across Europe. Reserve brands continued to perform well also growing double digit. In Russia, price increases led to net sales increase of 27% while volume was down 9%, with share gains in rum but share losses in scotch in the face of increased competition. In Turkey net sales were up 6% driven by Johnnie Walker underpinned by steady growth in raki at 3%. Gross margins were up in both Europe and Russia. Overall region operating margins improved by 51bps. In Europe procurement savings offset increased marketing and overheads leaving margin improvement in Russia to drive the region's increase.

KEY HIGHLIGHTS

- In **Europe** net sales were up 3%:
  - In **Great Britain** net sales were up 4%. Baileys performance accelerated with net sales up 11% driven by increased off-trade visibility and on-trade activation. Smirnoff net sales were up 1% supported by a full year of the 'We're Open' platform. Guinness net sales were up 1% benefitting from the Rugby World Cup activation, improved distribution and innovation successes from 'The Brewers Project'. Tanqueray net sales grew double digit and the brand gained 2pps of share in the gin category, driven by expanding distribution with improved visibility and increased bartender advocacy. Reserve brands continued to drive profitable growth with net sales up 26% driven by Cîroc and scotch malts.
  - In **Ireland** net sales were broadly flat. Guinness net sales were up 4%, driven by the continued successful innovations launched through 'The Brewers Project'. Of these, Hop House 13 Lager has proven to be a stand out success gaining almost 3% share of lager beer in the Republic of Ireland. Other beer brands net sales declined 4% and net sales in spirits were down 1%.
  - In **France** net sales increased 3% driven by Captain Morgan which almost doubled sales and reserve brands up 8%, driven mainly by scotch malts, partially offset by weakness in Smirnoff ready to drink.
  - In **Continental Europe** net sales were up 4%:
    Net sales in **Iberia** were up 2%. Johnnie Walker net sales grew 6% in the year and Baileys performed strongly supported by increased investment. Gordon's net sales were also up in the growing gin category. These positive net sales performances more than offset net sales decline in JεB.
    Net sales in **Germany, Austria and Switzerland** grew 12% driven by double digit growth in Johnnie Walker, Smirnoff, Tanqueray and Baileys. Reserve brand net sales were up 11% driven by scotch malts, Johnnie Walker and Tanqueray No. TEN.
    Benelux net sales were down 1% overall in this group of countries. Performance was impacted by a significant tax increase implemented towards the end of the first half in Belgium. As a result, the spirits market in Belgium has seen a significant decline through the second half which led to a 26% net sales decline over the same period.
    In **Italy** net sales were up 8% driven by double digit growth in scotch and gin. Johnnie Walker and scotch malts performed well with both Tanqueray and Gordon's delivering strong growth albeit not as fast as the gin category.
    In Greece, net sales were up 5% driven by route to consumer investment and focus on consistent activation.
    Net Sales in Poland and the Europe Partner Markets were broadly flat.
  - Performance in **Russia** continued to be impacted by the challenged economic dynamics. Price increases were implemented to offset currency devaluation, which impacted volume, down 9% but with net sales up 27%. Diageo scotch share has declined as a result of the level of these price increases on scotch relative to the competition. Captain Morgan however continued to achieve strong share gains and net sales growth, supported by consistent execution of growth drivers and the launch of Captain Morgan white.
  - In **Turkey** net sales grew 6% and in raki, with net sales up 3%, the premiumisation trend continued with Yenì Raki and the super premium variant Tekirdağ Raki driving growth. Johnnie Walker net sales continued to be up double digit.
  - **Marketing** increased by 5% and benefitted from procurement savings resulting in an underlying investment increase of 10%. The region continues to be focused on the key growth opportunities, reserve brands, gin, beer and innovation.

Markets and categories:	Organic volume movement %	Reported volume movement %	Organic net sales movement %	Reported net sales movement %
Europe, Russia and Turkey	2	–	4	(3)
Europe	4	–	3	(2)
Russia	(9)	(12)	27	(12)
Turkey	(2)	(2)	6	(7)
Spirits[i]	2	1	6	–
Beer	2	–	–	(2)
Ready to drink	2	2	(3)	(2)

Global giants and local stars[i]:	Reported volume movement[ii] %	Organic net sales movement %	Reported net sales movement %
Guinness	4	2	1
Johnnie Walker	3	7	3
Smirnoff	–	1	–
Baileys	5	9	6
Yenì Raki	1	4	(9)
Captain Morgan	8	9	5
JεB	(3)	(4)	(6)

(i) Spirits brands excluding ready to drink.
(ii) Reported equals organic volume movement.

AFRICA

Net sales by markets
(%)

Net sales by categories
(%)



(i) excluding RTDs

Net sales by price points
(%)

In Africa our strategy is to grow Diageo's leadership across beer and spirits by providing brand choice across a broad range of consumer motivations, profiles, and occasions. We are focused on growing beer faster than the market and accelerating the growth of spirits through continued investment in infrastructure and brands with mainstream spirits being critical to realising the potential of the region. Local sourcing is a key element of our strategy in Africa: it directly supports our commercial operations, while indirectly supporting our position by bringing wider benefits to society as a whole.

Our markets

The region comprises Nigeria, East Africa (Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Burundi, Rwanda and South Sudan), Africa Regional Markets (including Ghana, Cameroon, Ethiopia, Angola and a sorghum beer business in South Africa) and South Africa (including Republic of South Africa and Mozambique).

Key financials

	2015 £ million	Exchange £ million	Acquisitions and disposals £ million	Organic movement £ million	2016 £ million	Reported movement %
Net sales	1,415	(102)	54	34	1,401	(1)
Marketing	147	(11)	6	1	143	(3)
Operating profit before exceptional items	318	(67)	(12)	(27)	212	(33)
Exceptional operating items	(7)				–	
Operating profit	311				212	(32)

Supply operations

We operate 12 breweries in Africa, four sites that produce sorghum beer in South Africa, cider plants and five facilities which provide blending and malting services. In addition, our beer and spirits brands are produced under licence by third-parties in 19 African countries. In the year ended 30 June 2016 we sold our 25% interest in a brewery in South Africa.

Route to consumer

In Africa our largest businesses are in Nigeria, where we own 54.3% of a listed company whose principal brands are Guinness, Orijin, Harp and Malta, and in East Africa, where we own 50.03% of East African Breweries Limited (EABL). EABL produces and distributes beer and spirits brands to a range of consumers in Kenya and Uganda, and owns a 51% equity in Serengeti Breweries Limited located in Tanzania. Within Africa Regional Markets, we have wholly owned subsidiaries in Cameroon, Ethiopia and Reunion and majority-owned subsidiaries in Ghana and the Seychelles. Angola is supplied via a third party distributor. In South Africa and Mozambique we sell spirits, beer, cider and ready to drink products through wholly owned subsidiaries, following the termination of the agreement with Heineken and Namibia Breweries Limited in December 2015. Diageo has agreements with the Castel Group who license, brew and distribute Guinness in the Democratic Republic of Congo, Gambia, Gabon, Ivory Coast, Togo, Benin, Burkina Faso, Chad, Mali and Guinea. Diageo sells spirits through distributors in the majority of other sub-Saharan countries.

Sustainability and responsibility

The issues we address differ between markets but a key issue in many is illicit alcohol. We work closely with governments and regulators on this significant public health issue and specific local issues, such as drink driving in South Africa or bringing in a minimum legal drinking age in Ghana. Our aim everywhere is to promote responsible drinking as part of a balanced lifestyle.

Our overall approach is to consider the broader context of our contribution as a local taxpayer, employer and member of the community. Our recent work to assess human rights impacts throughout the value chain was piloted in Kenya. We source 73% of agricultural materials locally and we work with more than 50,000 local farmers for our agricultural inputs. Fifteen of our production sites in Africa are in water-stressed areas, so we focus closely on managing water efficiently and enhancing access to clean water to surrounding communities through our pan-African Water of Life programme. This year we launched the Water Blueprint in East Africa, to address water stewardship in this water-stressed area. Our new Sustainable Agriculture Strategy will play an important part in strengthening our longstanding and mutually beneficial relationships with farmers and communities.

Net sales increased 3% with growth in all markets except Nigeria where net sales declined 15%. In East Africa, the recovery of Senator in Kenya following the duty change and double digit growth in rum and vodka led to strong net sales growth. Net sales in Africa Regional Markets grew 9%, led by beer which was underpinned by the 'Made of Black' Guinness campaign, innovation with Guinness Africa Special, sustained growth of Malta Guinness and the roll out of Orijin in Ghana. Vodka, particularly Smirnoff 1818, continued to be the engine of growth in South Africa. Across the region, spirits net sales grew 4%, with reserve brands up 35% on the back of Cîroc and Johnnie Walker reserve brands which benefited from the enhanced route to consumer and the launch of Johnnie Walker Green Label. Operating margin decreased 252bps due primarily to the impact of adverse mix and volume decline in Nigeria as well as weaker mix in East Africa. This was partially offset by procurement savings delivered across the region.

KEY HIGHLIGHTS

- In **Nigeria**, net sales declined 15% due primarily to Orijin lapping the successful launch last year and now competing with 'me too' brands. The introduction of new formats at compelling price points, brand equity building through the 'Live Orijinal' campaign and the recruitment of new consumers with Orijin Zero have stabilised the brand. In beer, distribution expansion, higher brand equity driven by the 'Made of Black' campaign, robust activation during the broadcast sponsorship of Barclay's Premier League and innovation with Guinness Africa Special led to the growth of Guinness. Malta Guinness also grew, with net sales up 15%, on the back of 'You vs' brand campaign and increased distribution particularly into the off-trade. The business continued to broaden its portfolio in the value lager segment with brands such as Satzenbrau offsetting the decline in Harp. Beer net sales grew 8%.
- In **East Africa**, net sales increased 16% driven by double digit growth in beer, spirits and ready to drink. Senator grew in Kenya following the roll back of the duty increase early in the year and momentum was sustained throughout the year. This more than offset the decline in Tusker, which was impacted by the duty increase in Kenya and currency volatility in the markets, resulting in 17% net sales growth in beer. Mainstream spirits grew 26% led by Kenya Cane and Kane Extra, together with innovation such as Kenya Cane Coconut and Chrome vodka. The improved route to consumer, with deepening mainstream outlet coverage, continued to drive growth in this segment. Reserve brands grew 24% following enhanced distribution and activation supported by brand ambassadors. Ready to drink was up 14% as Smirnoff Ice Double Black and Guarana grew with positive gearing driven by price increase.
- In **Africa Regional Markets**, net sales grew 9% reflecting the strong growth in Cameroon, Ghana and Ethiopia. Ghana net sales growth accelerated to 30% due to the launch of Orijin Bitters and ready to drink variants. Beer, driven by Guinness, was up 9% as activation and promotion was stepped up behind the 'Made of Black' campaign and Guinness Africa Special was rolled out. In Cameroon, net sales growth of 12% was driven largely by good performance in beer coupled with double digit growth in spirits and ready to drink categories. In Ethiopia, net sales grew 8% with Malta Guinness up 71%. This more than offset the slight decline in Meta as competition intensified. A number of interventions were made, including relaunching Meta in November and introducing Azmera in April 2016 to recruit value oriented consumers. Markets continued to benefit from the enhanced route to consumer and capability builds, including the adoption of a sales force automation tool. Angola net sales declined 65% due to the macroeconomic headwinds and inventory reduction in view of weakening consumer demand and weaker currency.
- **South Africa** grew 5% driven by 13% growth in vodka led by Smirnoff 1818. Overall, scotch sales were flat reflecting the weaker performance of Bell's, White Horse, J&B and Black and White due to increased competition in this price sensitive consumer segment. This was offset by 9% growth in Johnnie Walker across key variants such as Johnnie Walker Red Label, Johnnie Walker Black Label, Johnnie Walker Gold Label Reserve and Johnnie Walker Green Label which was launched in the second half of the year.
- **Marketing** was up 1% in the region with investment prioritised behind the biggest growth opportunities with proven sales drivers. In Nigeria, marketing declined in line with net sales, with spend focused on the Guinness and Orijin brands. East Africa up-weighted investment on mainstream spirits and value beer, notably in Kenya Cane and Senator. In Africa Regional Markets, the innovation, marketing campaigns and activation programmes behind Guinness and Malta Guinness contributed to the increase in marketing. South Africa maintained spend in Smirnoff to build scale and increased investment behind Johnnie Walker.

Markets and categories:	Organic volume movement %	Reported volume movement %	Organic net sales movement %	Reported net sales movement %
Africa	9	19	3	(1)
Nigeria	(11)	(11)	(15)	(19)
East Africa	25	25	16	3
Africa Regional Markets	11	57	9	23
South Africa	1	5	5	(6)
Spirits[i]	2	2	4	(7)
Beer	20	39	11	9
Ready to drink	(37)	(23)	(43)	(35)

Global giants and local stars[i]:	Reported volume movement[ii] %	Organic net sales movement %	Reported net sales movement %
Guinness	6	6	1
Malta Guinness	14	13	10
Tusker	(15)	(11)	(27)
Senator	151	157	134
Harp	(23)	(26)	(28)
Johnnie Walker	(10)	1	(7)
Smirnoff	6	12	(4)

(i) Spirits brands excluding ready to drink.
(ii) Reported equals organic volume movement.

LATIN AMERICA AND CARIBBEAN

Net sales by markets
(%)

Net sales by categories
(%)



Net sales by price points
(%)



In Latin America and Caribbean the strategic priority is continued leadership in scotch, while broadening our category range through vodka, rum, liqueurs and local spirits. We continue to invest in routes to market and in the breadth and depth of our portfolio of leading brands. We are also enhancing our supply structure to enable the business to provide both the emerging middle class and an increasing number of wealthy consumers with the premium brands they aspire to. In this region's changing regulatory landscape, our presence is supported by our reputation as a trusted and respected business, based on our stance on responsible drinking, and community development programmes like Learning for Life.

Key financials

	2015 £ million	Exchange £ million	Acquisitions and disposals £ million	Organic movement £ million	2016 £ million	Reported movement %
Net sales	1,033	(134)	(41)	5	863	(16)
Marketing	194	(26)	(1)	–	167	(14)
Operating profit before exceptional items	263	(57)	(5)	(2)	199	(24)
Exceptional operating items[i]	(5)				(118)	
Operating profit	258				81	(69)

(i) The impairment of Ypióca in 2016.

Our markets

Our Latin America and Caribbean (LAC) business comprises five markets: PUB (Paraguay, Uruguay and Brazil), Venezuela, Colombia, Mexico and WestLAC (Central America and Caribbean, Argentina, Chile, Peru, Ecuador and Bolivia).

Supply operations

The majority of brands sold in the region are manufactured by our International Supply Centre in Europe. In recent years, we have acquired a number of supply operations and expanded our co-packer network across the region. In 2015 we acquired the remaining 50% equity interest in Tequila Don Julio in Mexico, which resulted in full ownership of the brand and its production facilities. In 2012 we acquired Ypióca in Brazil, including its cachaça production site, and in 2011 we acquired a controlling interest in Anejos de Altura (Guatemala) which produces Zacapa. We also have partnerships with over 12 brewers and over 20 co-packing partners.

Route to consumer

We sell our products through a combination of subsidiary companies and third party distributors. In Brazil, our in-market company sells directly to key accounts and distributors.

All products in Venezuela are sold through dedicated distributors. In Colombia we sell directly to key accounts, and serve all other retailers and channels through distributors.

In Mexico, Diageo sells directly to large retailers and wholesalers.

In selected markets in West LAC, we sell to wholesalers or distributors, while in key markets, such as Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Jamaica and Argentina we use exclusive distributors

Sustainability and responsibility

Diageo is known throughout Latin America for our commitment to developing an industry that can bring economic and social value to society. Our work includes programmes to combat key issues such as underage drinking and drink driving – two of the five Global Producers' Commitments – and illicit alcohol. Programmes such as Actuando Mejor in Mexico, and Today I don't drive in Brazil are making a tangible difference in reducing alcohol-related harm. In the Dominican Republic, we are also working closely with the industry and government to tackle drink driving. This social commitment is echoed in our focus throughout the region on employability, skills and empowerment. Our flagship community re-investment programme, Learning for Life, is providing skills and training – including responsible service – to more than 100,000 people across the region.

Net sales grew 1% in LAC. Growth in Mexico, Colombia and the domestic markets of West LAC was partially offset by the decline in Brazil, travel retail and the export channels. In Brazil, performance was impacted by subdued consumer confidence, a tax increase and significant slowdown in the travel retail channel, which resulted in a 7% decline in net sales. Performance in Mexico and Colombia was strong with net sales up 10% and 28% respectively, led by scotch and vodka. Currency weakness and lower underlying demand continued to impact the West LAC export channels. Diageo's strategy in LAC is to expand our leadership position in scotch and broaden our portfolio. Scotch net sales grew 2%, led by Buchanan's and Black and White, with share gains in most markets. Net sales of Johnnie Walker declined with weakness in PUB and West LAC partially offset by strong growth in Mexico and Colombia. Vodka net sales grew 8% driven primarily by growth in Mexico, Colombia and the domestic markets in West LAC. Don Julio gained share supported by increased activity to build brand awareness and drive recruitment in Mexico. Gross margin improved, benefitting from mix as well as procurement savings across logistics and production. This was offset by higher overheads resulting in operating margin decline of 39bps.

KEY HIGHLIGHTS

- In **Paraguay, Uruguay and Brazil (PUB)**, net sales declined 9%. In Brazil, net sales were down with declines in scotch, vodka and cachaça, driven primarily by the slowing economy, a tax increase in December 2015, currency volatility and a slowdown in the duty free channel. Despite the challenging operating environment, the business gained share in scotch, delivered through Johnnie Walker and Black and White marketing campaigns. The business continued to invest behind the Smirnoff trademark in music festivals and trade activations, as well as the rejuvenation of Ypióca. Net sales in Paraguay and Uruguay declined due to reduced demand in the export and travel retail channels given currency volatility.
- **Colombia** delivered 9% volume growth and 28% net sales increase, on the back of favourable mix and successive price increases following the currency devaluation. Scotch was the key growth driver, with double digit growth and share gains. The portfolio in Colombia continues to broaden with gin, vodka and tequila net sales growing double digit.
- **Mexico** net sales increased 10%. Scotch was a key growth driver with net sales up 17%, reflecting strong volume growth and price increase. Buchanan's was up 20% following the relaunch of the brand with the 'Good versus Great' campaign, the introduction of new packaging and strong activations around Father's Day with 'A Great Father A Great Day' campaign. Similarly, Johnnie Walker net sales grew double digit on the back of 8% volume growth across core variants such as Johnnie Walker Red Label, Johnnie Walker Black Label and Johnnie Walker reserve brands including the newly launched Johnnie Walker Green Label. In mainstream scotch, Black and White net sales grew supported by expanded distribution and activation across the on and off-trade. Following the execution of the new Smirnoff strategy to build the brand's credentials through participation in music festivals and increasing activation across the on-trade, Smirnoff net sales doubled and share increased in the last six months. Don Julio also gained share in the year reflecting the successful marketing campaign, activation and higher brand awareness.
- **West LAC** net sales declined 3% primarily due to weakness in the export channels. Domestic markets' net sales were stable with growth in Peru, Chile and Jamaica offset by a decline in Central America and Caribbean. In Peru, net sales grew 16%, led by increases in Johnnie Walker Red Label, Johnnie Walker Black Label and Old Parr, underpinned by the marketing campaigns and activations around gifting for Christmas and Father's Day. Scotch was also a key engine behind Chile's net sales growth of 9%. Johnnie Walker Red Label and mainstream scotch such as VAT 69, Old Parr and White Horse grew following distribution expansion as well as improved trade visibility. Central America and Caribbean net sales contracted 4% given currency volatility across the market.
- In **Venezuela**, volume increased 4% driven primarily by strong growth in rum as the business resumed production of local spirits following the stabilisation of glass supply. This was offset by the decline in scotch as access to foreign currency remains constrained. Net sales grew significantly faster as the business increased prices in a high inflation environment and transacted some scotch sales in sterling.
- **Marketing** increased broadly in line with net sales. Spend in Brazil was reduced in view of the weaker economic outlook. Mexico increased spend by 9%, investing behind Smirnoff and scotch to build brand equity and enhance activations. In Colombia, incremental spend was invested behind Johnnie Walker, Buchanan's and Smirnoff ready to drink to support the Smirnoff Ice Green Apple flavour launch.

Markets and categories:	Organic volume movement %	Reported volume movement %	Organic net sales movement %	Reported net sales movement %
Latin America and Caribbean	(2)	(5)	1	(16)
PUB	(5)	(5)	(9)	(27)
Colombia	9	9	28	–
Mexico	10	19	10	7
West LAC	(2)	(17)	(3)	(20)
Venezuela	4	3	173	(69)
Spirits[i]	(2)	(2)	1	(12)
Beer	23	(41)	14	(60)
Ready to drink	(11)	(12)	–	(20)

Global giants and local stars[i]:	Reported volume movement[ii] %	Organic net sales movement %	Reported net sales movement %
Johnnie Walker	(8)	(4)	(15)
Buchanan's	(5)	9	(7)
Smirnoff	–	6	(19)
Old Parr	(15)	(1)	(17)
Baileys	(3)	(1)	(14)
Ypióca	(6)	(6)	(28)
Black and White	48	63	34

(i) Spirits brands excluding ready to drink.
(ii) Reported equals organic volume movement except for Smirnoff 4%.

ASIA PACIFIC

Net sales by markets
(%)

South East Asia
Greater China
India
Global Travel, Asia and Middle East
Australia
North Asia

Net sales by categories
(%)



Spirits[i]
Beer
Wine
RTDs
Other

(i) excluding RTDs

Net sales by price points
(%)

Value
Standard
Premium
Super premium
Ultra premium

Our strategy in Asia Pacific, which encompasses both developed and emerging markets, is to operate across categories in international spirits, local spirits, ready to drink formats and beer. We focus on the highest growth categories and consumer opportunities, driving continued development of super and ultra premium scotch, and leveraging the emerging middle class opportunity through a combination of organic growth and selective acquisitions.

Key financials

	2015 £ million	Exchange £ million	Acquisitions and disposals £ million	Organic movement £ million	Net sales adjustment[ii]	2016 £ million	Reported movement %
Net sales	2,213	(21)	(28)	34	(122)	2,076	(6)
Marketing	344	–	(1)	(42)		301	(13)
Operating profit before exceptional items	356	(5)	–	44		395	11
Exceptional operating items[i]	(193)					(49)	
Operating profit	163					346	112

(i) Disengagement agreement relating to USL in 2016.
(ii) For further detail see page 25.

Our markets

Asia Pacific comprises South East Asia (Vietnam, Thailand, Philippines, Indonesia, Malaysia, Singapore, Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar, Nepal and Sri Lanka), Greater China (China, Taiwan, Hong Kong and Macau), India, Global Travel Asia and Middle East, Australia (including New Zealand), and North Asia (Korea and Japan).

Supply operations

We have distilleries at Chengdu, in China that produce Chinese white spirit and in Bundaberg, Australia that produce rum. United Spirits Limited (USL) operates 27 owned manufacturing facilities in India including one in Nepal, leases 13 facilities in India and further 34 are licensed to produce USL and Diageo brands. In addition, we have bottling plants in Korea, Thailand, Indonesia and Australia with ready to drink manufacturing capabilities.

Route to consumer

In South East Asia, spirits and beer are sold through a combination of Diageo companies, joint venture arrangements, and third party distributors. In Thailand, Malaysia and Singapore, we have joint venture arrangements with Moët Hennessy, sharing administrative and distribution costs. Diageo operates wholly owned subsidiaries in the Philippines and Vietnam. In Vietnam we own a 45.56% equity stake in Hanoi Liquor Joint Stock Company. In Indonesia, Guinness is brewed by, and distributed through, third party arrangements.

In Greater China the majority of our brands are now sold through our wholly owned subsidiary. Some brands are distributed through a joint venture arrangement with Moët Hennessy. In addition, we are the sole distributor of Shui Jing Fang, a super premium Chinese white spirit, through our controlling 39.71% equity stake in a listed company. Diageo operates a wholly owned subsidiary in Taiwan.

In India, we manufacture, market and sell Indian whisky, rum, brandy and other spirits through our 54.78% shareholding in USL. Diageo also sells its own brands through USL.

In Australia, we manufacture, market and sell the Diageo products and in New Zealand we operate through third party distributors. In North Asia, we have our own distribution company in South Korea, whilst in Japan, the majority of sales are through joint venture agreements with Moët Hennessy and Kirin.

Airport shops and airline operators are serviced through a dedicated Diageo sales and marketing organisation. In the Middle East, we sell our products through third party distributors.

Sustainability and responsibility

Asia Pacific is a region of many and varied markets, and our 21-market business model enables us to address key issues and opportunities by market. Within the context of the Global Producers' Commitments, our responsible drinking programmes focus on the issues highest on the agenda in each country. For example, in Indonesia and Vietnam we focus particularly on illicit alcohol; in India on drink driving; in Australia on consumer information and preventing underage and binge drinking. Our new DRINKiQ site, launched in January 2016, was particularly well received in Australia.

Likewise we tailor our sustainability programmes to each market. Our operations in India have the highest concentration of sites in water-stressed areas, so water, and the wider 'WASH' agenda is a key focus there. In Thailand and China, female empowerment is a significant issue, which we address directly through our 'Plan W' programme.

Net sales in Asia Pacific grew 2% as a result of growth in India, South East Asia and Australia. In China, Chinese white spirits grew while scotch declined and the shift towards lower ABV products in Korea led to a decline in net sales. Global Travel Asia and Middle East business declined primarily due to the geopolitical developments in the Middle East. The changes made to improve performance in USL led to net sales growth of 5% in India, largely driven by growth in IMFL whisky and scotch. Net sales in South East Asia grew 16% as the inventory reduction experienced last year ended. Australia net sales grew 2% driven by scotch and Guinness. Reserve brands net sales grew 4% largely driven by the strong performance of Shui Jing Fang in China and Johnnie Walker in South East Asia. Margin improved 176bps as a result of reducing marketing in India with the termination of USL related party agreements, and for Johnnie Walker Black Label and Johnnie Walker Blue Label in China. The sale by USL of United Breweries Limited shares also contributed to operating margin expansion.

KEY HIGHLIGHTS

- **South East Asia** net sales were up 16% as it lapped the inventory reduction last year. In Thailand performance improved after a weak first half with net sales growing in the second half as the launch of Smirnoff Midnight 100 ready to drink offset the decline in scotch, which gained share in a declining category. In Indonesia net sales increased 1% as Guinness grew due to the focus on the on-trade post regulations restricting sale of alcohol in the off-trade were introduced last year. Vietnam was impacted by the special consumption tax on imported products introduced in January 2016 resulting in a net sales decline of 35%. Reserve brands performance was strong with net sales up 27% led by Johnnie Walker Gold Label Reserve and Johnnie Walker Blue Label.
- **Greater China** net sales were down 2%. In mainland China, scotch declined 42% as the continued weakness in premium scotch in the traditional on-trade channel resulted in distributors reducing inventory, although Diageo gained share in the super deluxe scotch segment. Chinese white spirits net sales grew 19% as growth in the second half was lower due to a tougher prior year comparison. In Taiwan net sales grew 8% driven by growth in Johnnie Walker.
- **India** net sales were up 5%, driven by the premiumisation strategy with good growth in Prestige and above brands and popular brands net sales flat. Royal Challenge and McDowell's No. 1 were relaunched during the year performed and contributed to growth with Royal Challenge net sales up 54%. Scotch grew 17% as Black Dog grew 23% and Johnnie Walker grew 22% with strong performance in Johnnie Walker Black Label, Johnnie Walker Red Label and Johnnie Walker Blue Label. The integration of Diageo´s brands into USL has created an exceptionally strong brand portfolio in India that participates across all price tiers in the IMFL and imported spirits segments. As a result of the focus on route to consumer, 20% of outlets are now meeting 'perfect outlet' standards driving recruitment and brand building. Gross margin improved 99bps with the growth of prestige and above brands driving positive mix and productivity initiatives that reduced the cost of goods sold. Operating margin improved 702bps as a result of gross margin improvement, lower marketing and the sale by USL of United Breweries Limited shares.
- **Global Travel Asia and Middle East** net sales declined 15% largely driven by the Middle East where net sales declined 20% as geopolitical developments led to weak performance in the domestic and travel retail business. Global Travel Asia net sales declined 7% as a result of lower spend by travellers and currency volatility.
- **Australia** net sales increased 2% with growth in scotch, vodka, liqueurs and gin offsetting the decline in the ready to drink business. In rum, strong growth of Captain Morgan both in ready to drink and spirits categories, offset the decline in Bundaberg. Reserve brands were up 7% largely driven by Johnnie Walker, as consumers continue to premiumise within the spirits category.
- **North Asia** net sales were down 5%. In Korea, net sales declined 10%, as Windsor suffered from increased competition in the traditional on-trade with net sales down 20% which offset growth from W-Ice, an innovation in the growing lower ABV premium whisky segment. In Japan, net sales were up 8% largely driven by scotch net sales growing 21% capitalising on the growth of the brown spirits segment.
- **Marketing** was 12% lower driven by reductions on Johnnie Walker Black Label and Johnnie Walker Blue Label in China and India where marketing reduced as a result of termination of USL related party agreements.

Markets and categories:	Organic volume movement %	Reported volume movement %	Organic net sales movement %	Reported net sales movement %
Asia Pacific	–	(3)	2	(6)
India	–	(4)	5	(11)
South East Asia	3	3	16	15
Greater China	(5)	(5)	(2)	–
Global Travel Asia and Middle East	(9)	(9)	(15)	(14)
Australia	2	2	2	(5)
North Asia	6	6	(5)	(6)
Spirits[i]	–	(3)	1	(7)
Beer	8	8	7	4
Ready to drink	(3)	(3)	(3)	(8)

Global giants and local stars[i]:	Reported volume movement[ii] %	Organic net sales movement %	Reported net sales movement %
Johnnie Walker	(4)	(2)	(2)
McDowell's	(2)	–	(16)
Windsor	(4)	(10)	(12)
Smirnoff	(4)	(7)	(9)
Guinness	8	7	4
Bundaberg	(5)	(3)	(10)
Shui Jing Fang	55	20	22

(i) Spirits brands excluding ready to drink.
(ii) Reported equals organic volume movement except for McDowell's 0%.

CATEGORY REVIEW



- **Scotch** represents 24% of Diageo net sales and was flat in the year. Net sales grew in North America, Europe and Latin America and Caribbean driven by Johnnie Walker and Buchanan's supported by new campaigns. Net sales declined in Africa; primarily in Angola, and in Asia Pacific driven by declines in China and Korea. The performance of Black and White was strong with net sales up 31%. Windsor net sales declined double digit in Korea due to the decline of the whisky category. Scotch reserve brands net sales grew 7% driven by strong growth in Johnnie Walker Gold Label Reserve, Johnnie Walker Blue Label and Johnnie Walker Green Label.
- **Vodka** represents 13% of Diageo's net sales and grew 1%. Performance of Smirnoff, the largest brand in the category, improved growing 2%. Ketel One vodka returned to growth in the United States and Canada supported by a new campaign and pricing strategy. In addition, Cîroc performance improved from the first half driven by the success of Cîroc Apple in the United States.
- **North American whisk(e)y** represents 8% of Diageo's net sales and grew 6%. Performance continued to be driven by strong growth in Crown Royal Regal Apple and Bulleit which continue to gain share in the United States.
- **Rum** represents 7% of Diageo's net sales and grew 3%. Captain Morgan grew 3% driven by the base variant Original Spiced rum growing 3% and the Cannon Blast launch going well in the United States. Kenya Cane, a mainstream rum in Kenya, and Zacapa also contributed to the growth.

Key categories	Reported volume movement[iii] %	Organic net sales movement %	Reported net sales movement %
Spirits[i]	(1)	3	(1)
Scotch	(3)	–	(4)
Vodka[ii]	–	1	2
North American whisk(e)y	4	6	12
Rum[ii]	2	3	(3)
Indian-Made Foreign Liquor (IMFL) whisky	(5)	3	(11)
Liqueurs	1	3	2
Gin[ii]	3	8	6
Tequila	15	8	28
Beer	21	6	1
Ready to drink	(9)	(11)	(11)

(i) Spirits brands excluding ready to drink.
(ii) Vodka, rum, gin including IMFL brands.
(iii) Reported equals organic volume movement except for IMFL whisky (1)%, Tequila (17)%, Beer 13% and Ready to drink (13)%.

Global giants, local stars and reserve[i]:	Reported volume movement[ii] %	Organic net sales movement %	Reported net sales movement %
Global giants			
Johnnie Walker	(4)	1	(3)
Smirnoff	1	2	–
Baileys	2	4	3
Captain Morgan	4	3	5
Tanqueray	11	12	15
Guinness	4	4	2
Local stars			
Crown Royal	5	6	11
Yenì Raki	1	4	(9)
Buchanan's	(2)	10	1
JεB	(6)	(9)	(12)
Windsor	(4)	(10)	(12)
Old Parr	(13)	1	(14)
Bundaberg	(6)	(3)	(10)
Bell's	–	(1)	(10)
White Horse	(11)	6	(15)
Ypióca	(6)	(6)	(28)
Cacique	25	9	(24)
McDowell's	(2)	–	(16)
Shui Jing Fang	55	20	22
Reserve			
Scotch malts	8	7	6
Cîroc	(2)	(3)	2
Ketel One vodka	4	4	10
Don Julio	25	18	40
Bulleit	27	29	36

(i) Spirits brands excluding ready to drink.
(ii) Reported equals organic volume movement except for White Horse (9)%, Don Julio (13)% and McDowell's 0%.

- **IMFL whisky** represents 5% of Diageo's net sales and grew 3%. The relaunches of two of the biggest brands Royal Challenge and McDowell's No.1 drove this growth with Royal Challenge net sales up 55% due to the relaunch.
- **Liqueurs** represents 5% of Diageo's net sales and grew 3%. Baileys, the leading brand in this category, grew 4% due to 9% growth in its biggest market, Europe. The key growth drivers were on premise visibility, focused media content and sampling.
- **Gin** represents 3% of Diageo's net sales and grew 8%. Tanqueray was the largest contributor growing double digit, followed by Gordon's.
- **Tequila** represents 1% of Diageo's net sales and grew 8%. The performance was driven by continued double digit growth of Don Julio in its biggest market, the United States.
- **Beer** represents 18% of Diageo's net sales and grew 6% driven by strong performance in Africa where net sales grew 11%. Key contributors were East Africa and Nigeria. Strong growth of Senator following the excise duty remission grew sales in East Africa. In Nigeria, Malta Guinness, Pilsner and value brand Satzenbrau delivered a strong performance. Europe grew 2% on Guinness driven by the effectiveness of the 'Made of More' advertising campaign, innovations like Hop House 13 lager from 'The Brewers Project' and strong activation around the Rugby World Cup.
- **Ready to drink** represents 6% of Diageo's net sales and declined 11%. This was largely driven by the decline in Orijin in Nigeria. The decline was partially offset by a good performance in Smirnoff Ice flavours in the United States driven by new marketing programmes and the launch of Orijin in Ghana and Cameroon. In Thailand, the Smirnoff Midnight 100 launch continued to progress well.
- **Global giants** represent 40% of Diageo net sales and grew at 3%.
  - **Johnnie Walker** net sales grew 1% due to reserve brands growing 10% driven by Johnnie Walker Gold Label Reserve, Johnnie Walker Blue Label and Johnnie Walker Green Label. Europe and North America were the largest contributors with 7% and 5% growth, respectively. In Latin America and Caribbean, double digit growth in Mexico and Colombia was more than offset by decline in Brazil. In Asia Pacific, double digit growth in India and South East Asia was offset by declines in the Middle East, Global Travel and China.
  - **Smirnoff** net sales grew 2%, as it returned to growth in the United States, the biggest market, where net sales were up 2%. In Europe, performance improved versus the first half and net sales grew 1%. South Africa and Mexico also delivered strong growth on Smirnoff growing double digit.
  - **Baileys** net sales grew 4%, driven by 9% growth in Europe with the brand growing double digit in Great Britain, Iberia, Germany and Austria.
  - **Captain Morgan** net sales grew 3% due to a strong performance in Europe and Russia. In the United States net sales grew 2% and it gained share in the category driven by increased on premise activity and the launch of Captain Morgan Cannon Blast.
  - **Tanqueray** net sales grew 12% with Europe and North America accounting for more than two thirds of the growth. All other regions also delivered strong growth.
  - **Guinness** net sales grew 4%. In Nigeria net sales grew 3% driven by the success of the 'Made of Black' campaign and activation against the football viewing occasion. In Cameroon and Ghana net sales increased double digit. Guinness also gained share and increased net sales in Great Britain and Ireland supported by the 'Brewers Project' innovations.
- **Local stars** represent 19% of net sales and grew 3%, due to Crown Royal in North America growing 6% and Buchanan's up 10%, largely in North America and Mexico. Growth in Yenì Raki in Turkey and Shui Jing Fang in China largely offset the declines in Windsor in Korea and J&B.
- **Reserve brands** represent 15% of net sales and grew 7%. The return to growth in the second half was a result of the improved performance of Cîroc driven by the success of Cîroc Apple in the United States. Scotch reserve brands grew 7% with Johnnie Walker driving the growth particularly in the United States where it grew 23% and scotch malts growing 7%. Bulleit continued its strong growth with net sales 29%. Net sales of Shui Jing Fang were up 20% and Tanqueray No. TEN grew 26%.
- In Africa there are four local beer brands Senator, Malta Guinness, Tusker and Harp. Their performance is covered in the Africa section.

OUR ROLE IN SOCIETY

Sustainability & Responsibility review

In a year which has seen unprecedented international focus on the developmental and climate challenges facing the world, we began a new chapter in our approach to sustainability and responsibility. Building on our long tradition of contributing to society as a company with strong governance and ethics, our new 2020 targets focus on the issues that matter most: creating a positive role for alcohol in society; building thriving communities; and reducing our environmental impact.

Our 2020 sustainability and responsibility targets enable us to make a positive contribution to society – and support our ambition to be one of the best performing, most trusted and respected consumer products companies in the world. They were developed to help us support the UN's Global Goals and World Health Organization (WHO) programmes on health, such as the Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Non-Communicable Diseases.

Put into action this year, the targets underpin our commitment to addressing the issues most material to our stakeholders, and to us as a business:

- **Creating a positive role for alcohol in society** by marketing responsibly, putting our resources and skills into programmes that prevent and reduce harmful drinking, working with others to raise awareness and change people's attitudes and behaviour, and providing the information consumers need to make informed decisions about drinking as part of a balanced lifestyle, or choosing not to drink
- **Building thriving communities** by empowering people throughout our value chain, including our employees – increasing their access to opportunity, to resources and to skills
- **Reducing our environmental impact** by using natural resources responsibly in our operations and throughout our supply chain, with a particular emphasis on water.

We see these priorities as interdependent. We recognise that we will only succeed in playing a positive role if we take a holistic approach to addressing all three, while continuing to act as a good corporate citizen with exemplary governance and ethics.

Making a positive contribution

We're proud of the brands we make and the enjoyment our products give to millions of people. And we create value in many other ways as well.

We directly employ around 32,000 people. Our partners employ many more, supporting our global manufacturing, distribution, sales and marketing operations. This year we paid over £4 billion in taxes and other duties to governments. Our manufacturing sites play crucial roles in their local communities.

We have interdependent relationships throughout our value chain, from the farmers who grow our ingredients, to our employees and contractors, to the consumers who buy our brands. We want to make sure that throughout that chain – wherever we source, make and sell – we are making a positive contribution which is aligned with the UN Global Goals and supports our core business.

Focus on impact and execution

Our 2020 targets are designed to create shared value and contribute broadly to the UN's Global Goals. Metrics for each target evaluate the impacts of our initiatives. This reflects a renewed focus on co-ordinating our efforts to achieve outcomes that bring the maximum possible value for our stakeholders, and for us. Our new Social Impact Framework is a critical tool for evaluating impact and focusing investment, described further in the 'Building thriving communities' section on page 42.

Focus on partnership

A key way to achieve greater impact is to work with partners who add value to our programmes and initiatives. This year we have developed new partnerships with UNITAR, USAID, and the NGO WaterAid, among others, details of which can be found in the relevant sections below.

Focus on human rights

Our commitment to human rights throughout our value chain is fundamental to who we are and how we do business. In the expanded 'Human rights' section on page 42, we describe our work to assess our human rights impact.

Focus on diversity and inclusion

Diversity and inclusion have always been a priority for us, and this year we have gone further than ever to ensure that we are an open, fair, and welcoming business. Details are in the 'Diversity and inclusion' section on page 43.

Focus on water and sustainable agriculture

The first full year of our Water Blueprint (see page 46) and the development of our new Sustainable Agriculture Strategy (see page 45) are significant milestones in our efforts to evaluate and reduce our impacts along our entire value chain and to build thriving communities.

Beyond 2020

While our targets are set for 2020, our strategy is designed to support Diageo's overall growth and performance for many years to come. It aims to help grow our brands' relationships with consumers and others, strengthen our supply chain, reduce our costs, and mitigate long-term risk.

In the year that saw the launch of the UN's Global Goals for sustainable development and the Paris Agreement on climate change, our strategy helps prepare us for a future in which the only successful business models will be those that can demonstrate a positive contribution to people and the planet.

CREATING A POSITIVE ROLE FOR ALCOHOL IN SOCIETY

We're proud of what we do – and fully aware of our responsibilities. Ensuring that alcohol plays a positive role in society matters to everyone at Diageo – and as a business it is our most material issue, at the heart of our licence to operate, and essential to our performance.

We work to reduce harmful drinking, promote rigorous company and industry standards for responsible marketing, and provide consumers with information to help them make responsible choices.

Reducing harmful drinking

We seek to raise awareness and shift attitudes and behaviour to encourage informed choices around drinking – or not drinking. Our programmes cover a wide range of issues depending on local concerns and include initiatives to prevent drink driving, underage drinking, binge drinking and drinking during pregnancy. Diageo shares the goal set by the WHO of reducing harmful drinking by 10% across the world by 2025 in an effort to reduce non-communicable diseases.

Working with others is essential to this effort. Our partnerships with international organisations, governments, law enforcement agents, educators, parents and civil society allow us to gain important insights and to reach more people.

This year Diageo entered into a two-year partnership with the UN Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR) to contribute to reducing death and injuries from traffic accidents. The project will target more than 60 countries with a focus on those with the highest road traffic death rates.

In 2016, we supported 335 programmes to reduce harmful drinking in 55 countries. Highlights include the Diageo-supported industry campaign in Spain, 'Minors Not a Single Drop', which won the White Cross of the Order of Merit granted by the Minister of Health, and Diageo India's road safety programme, which received the Prince Michael International Road Safety Award.

Giving consumers information

Diageo believes that responsible and moderate drinking can be part of a balanced lifestyle, and we want to provide our consumers with the information, tools and resources to make informed choices.

Our Diageo Consumer Information Standards, launched in June 2016, provide mandatory minimum standards for the information that must be included on labels and packaging on all Diageo-owned brands in all geographies (where legally permitted). Labels and packaging must include alcohol content and nutrition information per serve, alcohol content by volume, at least one and up to three responsible drinking symbols, a reference to our global responsible drinking website, DRINKiQ.com, a list of allergens, and recycling and sustainability symbols. We are the first in our industry to put this level of information in the hands of consumers, demonstrating our desire to be a true leader in helping consumers make informed decisions.

In January 2016 we relaunched DRINKiQ.com, which now has 25 specific country sites and is available in 12 languages. The refreshed site is making more information available to more people, especially via mobile.

Our 2020 target

Industry collaboration

Implement Global Beer, Wine and Spirits Producers' Commitments to reduce Harmful Drinking, including actions on:

- Reducing underage drinking
- Strengthening and expanding marketing codes of practice
- Providing consumer information and responsible product innovation
- Reducing drink driving
- Enlisting the support of retailers to reduce harmful drinking.

KPI:

Accenture has developed 19 KPIs for all signatories to the Commitments, published in the annual Commitments report and assured by KPMG.

The 2015 (latest available) annual report on the Commitments (see www.producerscommitments.org) shows progress against all action areas particularly underage drinking. For example, there was a 50% increase in the number of underage education initiatives, which are now available in 86 countries, compared with just 57 in 2014. These programmes directly engaged nearly 30 million unique adult influencers such as parents, teachers, and community leaders on the importance of respecting legal age limits on buying alcohol. Producers also worked with key stakeholders to enforce legal purchase age laws where they exist and to implement laws where they do not.

Responsible marketing

The Diageo Marketing Code and Digital Code are our mandatory minimum standards for responsible marketing, and we review them every 12–18 months to ensure they represent best practice. The Diageo Marketing Code was refreshed this year.

Five industry bodies publicly report breaches of their self-regulatory codes. This year, Diageo was found in breach by the ASA in the UK for a Smirnoff television advertisement on the grounds that the social occasion depicted depended on the presence of alcohol. We were also found in breach by the ASAI in Ireland for a post on the Guinness Facebook page on the grounds that it suggested that drinking may have therapeutic benefits. In both cases, the marketing material was immediately withdrawn.

Complaints about advertising upheld by industry bodies that report publicly (2015)

		Industry complaints upheld	Complaints upheld about Diageo brands
Australia	Alcohol Beverage Code	10	0
Ireland	Advertising Standards Authority for Ireland (ASAI)	7	1
United Kingdom	The Portman Group	1	0
	Advertising Standards Authority (ASA)	5	1
United States	Distilled Spirits Council of the United States (DISCUS)	1	0

Our 2020 target

Impactful programmes

- Going beyond industry commitments, we will work in partnership to support programmes to address harmful drinking in our top 19* countries. We will evaluate these initiatives for efficacy and impact and report on the results.

* Number of countries reduced from 20 to 19 following the sale of our Jamaican Red Stripe business (Jamaica having been one of our top countries).

KPI:

Number of countries that evaluate responsible drinking programmes.

This year, 84% of our top 19 countries assessed the effectiveness of their programmes, measuring increases in awareness or shifts in attitudes or behaviour. While evaluating our projects to determine reach is important, we continue to work with markets to create impact and to put in place robust mechanisms to evaluate that impact. We have developed and rolled out a measurement and evaluation toolkit supporting these efforts.

For examples of our evaluation in action, please see the 'Our role in society' section of our website.

Our 2020 target

Training

- Reach 1 million adults with training materials that will enable them to become responsible drinking (RD) ambassadors.

KPI:

Number of adults, above legal drinking age, who have completed interactive training (face-to-face or online) on responsible drinking, serving, selling, and marketing.

This year we reached 380,622 people, through training programmes such as DRINKiQ, Learning for Life, Diageo Bar Academy, Plan W and others. We are well on our way to meeting our 1 million target, with more than 700,000 RD ambassadors created in the past two years. Our training programmes reach a broad audience including consumers, retail and hospitality industry workers, police and government agency personnel, and members of the medical profession. This year, we also launched an interactive version of DRINKiQ as part of the overall visitor experience at the Guinness Storehouse in Dublin. It will deliver DRINKiQ training on responsible drinking to around 300,000 visitors from across the world each year. In the first two months since launch, we have reached 21,000 people.

BUILDING THRIVING COMMUNITIES

We create value for millions of people as a buyer of goods and services, as an employer, as corporate citizens, and as producers of some of the world's best-loved brands.

We want to continue to help the communities we live and work in thrive: by making Diageo a great, safe, and diverse place to work; by building sustainable supply chains; and through programmes that empower communities and individuals and increase their access to opportunity.

Human rights

Our commitment to, and respect for, human rights throughout our value chain is fundamental to who we are and how we do business. Our business is built on long-term relationships based on trust and shared value.

We have a clear policy which sets out our commitment to human rights. We do not tolerate discrimination, harassment, bullying or abuse; we comply with wage and working time laws; we respect our employees' decisions to join or not join a trade union; and we do not tolerate forced or compulsory labour. We will not work with anyone, including any supplier, who does not adopt these values.

Our 2020 target

- Act in accordance with the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.

We are signatories to the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGP). These set clear expectations around monitoring and management of human rights. In 2015 we partnered with Business for Social Responsibility, a global not-for-profit consultancy, to help articulate our human rights vision and strategy. We conducted a corporate level assessment, which included mapping all our existing policies, processes, and procedures against the UNGP requirements.

Based on this, during the year, we developed a robust and comprehensive human rights impact assessment (HRIA) approach with a toolkit to support markets through a systematic review of their businesses to identify and assess potential human rights impacts. The HRIA considers our entire value chain from sourcing to selling, and helps us focus our activities on any areas of concern.

We conducted our first HRIA in Kenya in February, and as a result we are strengthening our processes to prevent risks in areas such as land rights and labour standards in agriculture. We also used the Kenya process as a pilot, and are now developing our approach for conducting HRIAs in other markets.

Empowering and enabling communities through our programmes

We have a long history of direct investment in long-term, actively-managed programmes that address the developmental challenges facing the communities where we source, make, and sell our products. Our programmes support the three main strands of our strategy, which align with the UN Global Goals:

- Enabling entrepreneurship, employability and skills
- Improving health and wellbeing, including through access to clean water, sanitation and hygiene
- Helping to empower women.

Delivering impact

This year Diageo invested £16.3 million or 0.6% (2015 – 0.6%) of operating profit to charitable projects that help serve critical local need.

We want our programmes to have impact – it is how we will drive change. Working with partners magnifies our impact. For example, our new partnership with WaterAid helps support access to clean water and sanitation, and we have also announced a partnership with USAID on a joint programme in Colombia, building skills for veterans to support the country's transition and growth, and on farmer training in South Sudan.

Our partners have welcomed our new Social Impact Framework (SIF), launched this year, because it enables them and us to measure and evaluate the impact of our programmes. The SIF, which we developed with input from three of our partner NGOs in 11 countries, provides a clear guide for the consistent implementation of programmes within our overall strategy. It uses key performance indicators to quantify the impacts of programmes, allowing us to

identify and measure potential benefits and make a stronger case for investment. Our SIF is also helping us to ensure we quantify the full value of each of our existing programmes which have hitherto concentrated on a particular area.

Our 2020 target

- Our community programmes enable those who live and work in our communities, particularly women, to have the skills and resources to build a better future for themselves. We will evaluate and report on the tangible impacts of our programmes.

KPI:

As part of the SIF we developed detailed impact metrics, and are currently working to determine appropriate KPIs for each of our programmes, which will report in 2017.

Our programmes include:

- **Water of Life –** this programme has reached more than 10 million people in 18 countries in Africa since 2006. It is focused on access to water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) in line with UN Global Goal 6: 'Clean water and sanitation', and is increasingly active in rural areas that supply raw materials to our business. This year we provided access to safe water and sanitation to 351,700 more beneficiaries.
- **Plan W –** this programme aims to empower women, both our employees and those in our wider value chain, and enable them to play a greater role in the economy – this contributes to UN Global Goal 5: 'Gender Equality'. To date this programme has empowered 260,000 women. However, we have revised the target set in 2013 to empower 2 million women by 2016 because we realised that to achieve meaningful change we needed to focus on impact rather than simply reach.
- **Learning for Life –** this supports vocational and life-skills training which enable entrepreneurship, employability and skills, in line with UN Global Goal 4: 'Ensure inclusive and quality education for all and promote lifelong learning'. It also strengthens our value chain through its emphasis on hospitality, retail, and entrepreneurship. Learning for Life currently operates over 90 initiatives a year in more than 40 countries. Since we launched the programme in 2008, more than 115,000 young people have taken part.

Community investment by focus area[1]



Community aspects of responsible drinking projects[2]	46%
Brand-led and local community spend[3]	21%
Learning for Life	20%
Water of Life	7%
Plan W	6%

Community investment by region[1]



North America	38%
Europe and global functions	26%
Asia Pacific and GTME	14%
Latin America and Caribbean	14%
Africa	8%

[1] This excludes our legacy commitment to the Thalidomide Trust and the Thalidomide Foundation Ltd of £10.2 million which in prior years we included as part of our community investment data.

[2] This is a sub-section of the total responsible drinking budget.

[3] Category includes cause-related brand campaigns, local market giving and disaster relief.

Our people

We want our people to reach their full potential, and to play their part in Diageo reaching its full potential as a business. We aim to create a diverse, inclusive, and welcoming culture, where people are proud of their work, empowered to succeed, and know that their safety and other human rights are respected.

Health and safety

Our global Zero Harm programme is designed to ensure that all our people go home safe, every day – and our Health and Safety strategy aims for a business in which no-one is hurt, anywhere.

To reach that end we have set ourselves increasingly challenging milestones, with our current target being less than one lost-time accident (LTA) per 1,000 employees and no fatalities.

Sadly, in December 2015, a contractor fell to his death at our Ogba facility in Nigeria while carrying out maintenance work at height. We have long recognised our responsibility to contractors and visitors to our sites, and include them in our Severe and Fatal Incident Prevention (SFIP) programme, which is designed to identify and eliminate severe and fatal risks in our operations, and has significantly reduced the number of severe accidents. However, it is under constant review and, since this tragedy, we have conducted an in-depth review of SFIP compliance across Africa, in particular of contractors working at heights, to prevent accidents like this happening elsewhere.

Our 2020 target

Keep our people safe by achieving less than one LTA per 1,000 employees and no fatalities.

KPI:

Number of LTAs; number of fatalities.

This year we continued to drive significant improvement across the business through our Zero Harm programme, with a 13% reduction in LTAs, resulting in an LTA rate of 1.44. We focused particularly on embedding our programme in the recently acquired USL business in India. We also focused on our non-manufacturing sites to bring their safety record closer to that of our manufacturing sites, many of which are recording record low levels of safety incidents. Some of our locations, such as South East Asia and Venezuela, achieved zero LTAs this year, while two of our regions, North America and Africa, achieved less than one accident per 1,000 employees. With results like these, we are confident that Zero Harm is no longer just an aspiration, but a very real and achievable goal.

During the coming year we will continue to focus on practical programmes supported by behavioural change, in an effort to make Zero Harm a reality across Diageo.

Diversity and inclusion

We celebrate diversity and strive to create an inclusive culture that provides all individuals the freedom to succeed, irrespective of their gender, race, religion, disability, age or sexual orientation.

With 47% of our Executive Committee being women, we are proud of the progress we have made in developing female leaders, but there is more to do. We are also

committed to building local talent, with our general managers representing over 25 different nationalities.

Our focus over the past year has been on broadening and deepening our approach to diversity and inclusion. Each market has developed a detailed multi-year plan to achieve stretching goals, and their performance is regularly tracked and benchmarked. Examples of market activities undertaken as a result include leadership sessions on unconscious bias in Europe, North America and India, a 'Women in Supply' leadership programme delivered to over 390 participants globally, and our commitment to ensuring that 50% of hires to our global graduate programme are women.

Our 2020 target

Build diversity, with 30% of leadership positions held by women and measures implemented to help female employees attain and develop in leadership roles.

KPI:

% of leadership positions held by women.

This year, 28% of leadership roles were held by women. At the most senior level, 42% of our Board members and 47% of our Executive Committee members are women.

Engaged and empowered employees

Our people are at the heart of our business, and we trust them to use their passion for our brands and pride in what we do to deliver our performance.

We support our people through clear policies, competitive reward programmes, coaching and development opportunities, and health and wellbeing initiatives. We aim to engage and communicate with them through collaborative campaigns and activities, such as #proudofwhatwedo (see case study on page 18), and to give them the freedom to succeed by fostering a culture of open communication in which best practice is shared and there is a two-way channel to and from our leadership.

We want our people to be engaged: passionate about our strategy, connected to our values, and motivated to be and perform at their best. The importance of this to our business is reflected in the fact that we measure employee engagement as one of our overarching KPIs, as set out on pages 8–9. Our annual Values Survey helps us measure how we are engaging our people and enabling them to perform.

Lost-time accident frequency rate per 1,000 full-time employees[i]	2012	2013	2014	2015[ii]	2016
North America	4.15	1.64	0.84	1.83	**0.37**
Europe, Russia and Turkey	2.41	2.12	2.08	2.51	**1.28**
Africa	1.82	2.55	0.56	1.20	**0.77**
Latin America and Caribbean	1.44	10.88	4.7	0.66	**2.27**
Asia Pacific	0.0	1.26	1.62	1.21	**2.01**
Diageo (total)	2.14	2.97	1.66	1.66	**1.44**[Δ]

(i) Number of accidents per 1,000 employees and directly supervised contractors resulting in time lost from work of one calendar day or more.
(ii) 2015 data has not been restated to include USL, so this comparison does not include the additional improvements within USL that we have seen in 2016. For further detail and the reporting methodologies, see our Sustainability & Responsibility Performance Addendum 2016.
Δ Within PwC's independent limited assurance scope.

Number of days lost to accidents per 1,000 full-time employees	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Diageo (total)	106.6	66.0	49.7	89.4	**57**

Fatalities	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Diageo (total)	1	4	1	1	**1**

Average number of employees by region by gender[i]	Men	Women	Total
North America	1,729	1,166	2,895
Europe, Russia and Turkey	6,555	4,197	10,752
Africa	4,110	1,166	5,276
Latin America and Caribbean	2,015	1,140	3,155
Asia Pacific	8,178	1,822	10,000
Diageo (total)	22,587	9,491	32,078

Average number of employees by role by gender	Men	Women	Total
Senior manager[ii]	493	193	686
Line manager[iii]	3,798	1,650	5,448
Supervised employee[iv]	18,296	7,648	25,944
Total	22,587	9,491	32,078

New hires by region by gender[i]	Men	Women	Total	% of regional headcount
North America	175	130	305	10.5
Europe, Russia and Turkey	859	785	1,644	15.3
Africa	352	203	555	10.5
Latin America and Caribbean	484	270	754	23.9
Asia Pacific	548	317	865	8.6
Diageo (total)	2,418	1,705	4,123	12.9
Percentage of total new hires	58.6	41.4		

Leavers by region by gender[i]	Men	Women	Total	% of regional headcount
North America	568	342	910	31.4
Europe, Russia and Turkey	828	579	1,407	13.1
Africa	899	254	1,153	21.9
Latin America and Caribbean	646	374	1,020	32.3
Asia Pacific	817	294	1,111	11.1
Diageo (total)	3,758	1,843	5,601	17.5
Percentage of total leavers	67.1	32.9		

(i) Employees have been allocated to the region in which they reside.
(ii) Top leadership positions in Diageo, excluding Executive Committee.
(iii) All Diageo employees (non-senior managers), with one or more direct reports.
(iv) All Diageo employees (non-senior managers) who have no direct reports.

Our 2020 targets

- Increase employee engagement to 80%, becoming a top quartile performer on measures such as employee satisfaction, pride and loyalty.
- Raise our performance enablement score, which measures a link between engagement and performance commitment, to 83%.

KPI:

Employee satisfaction, loyalty, advocacy and pride, measured through our Values Survey.

This year, 97% of our people participated in the Values Survey* (24,843 out of the 25,712 able to participate), with 77% identified as engaged, and 80% feeling they were 'enabled to perform'. They confirmed that our core strengths continue to be our pride and sense of ownership in our business, a passion for our brands, and belief in our strategy.

Gratifyingly our survey scores continue to improve year on year and we are on our way to achieving our 2020 objective of reaching top quartile scores for the key metrics of engagement and performance enablement.

* In 2014, we reviewed our overall approach to measuring engagement, and adopted a revised index. The new index allows us to compare our results with other best-in-class organisations, and sets us a more stretching benchmark for employee engagement.

Sustainable supply chains

Our direct suppliers – around 28,000 from more than 100 countries – who provide us with raw materials, expertise, and other resources are essential to our business. We believe that we create value in return, by supporting and building capability among our supplier communities and by strengthening environmental practices, alongside the economic value from trade.

As a minimum, we're committed to responsible sourcing, which complies with legal and regulatory requirements, including those related to human rights and working conditions. With sustainable sourcing, we're going beyond compliance to tackle risks and maximise opportunities for us and the people throughout our supply chain, contributing to reducing poverty and inequality, addressing environmental challenges, increasing wellbeing, and improving livelihoods in line with the UN Global Goals.

Sustainable agriculture

Our new Sustainable Agriculture Strategy (due to be launched in summer 2016) is designed to build on our long and mutually beneficial relationships with farmers and suppliers. Our vision is to make our agricultural supply chains environmentally, socially and economically sustainable. That means:

- Respecting human rights (including land rights), building capacity and creating shared value with farming communities
- Using resources efficiently and safeguarding future crops and ecosystems
- Securing a supply for our business, while contributing to economic and wider growth.

Our 2020 targets

- Establish partnerships with farmers to develop sustainable agricultural supplies of key raw materials.

KPI:

As part of our work on the Sustainable Agriculture Strategy, we are in the process of developing metrics to help us drive progress and measure our performance.

By giving farmers the tools they need to increase yields, we can help them improve livelihoods and increase capacity. We do this through a variety of programmes, including: training; enhancing access to inputs that support better yields, such as seeds and fertiliser; providing access to capital through micro-loans; supporting farmers' groups; and encouraging sustainable practices that protect natural resources.

- Source 80% of our agricultural raw materials locally in Africa by 2020.

KPI:

% agricultural raw materials sourced locally in Africa.

We sourced 73% of agricultural materials locally within Africa for use by our African markets, compared to 70% in 2015. We are on track, and believe that our work building farmer capacity in Africa, combined with our long history of engagement in the region, will help us continue to make progress.

- Deliver our responsible sourcing commitments with suppliers to improve labour standards and human rights in our supply chains.

KPI:

% of potential high risk supplier sites audited.

We continued to work through SEDEX, a not-for-profit organisation that enables suppliers to share assessments and audits of ethical and responsible practices with multiple customers, and AIM-PROGRESS, a forum of over 40 leading consumer goods companies which promote responsible sourcing practices and sustainable supply chains. We also have an internal Know Your Business Partner programme to assess third parties against the risk of bribery and corruption.

To date, 1,061 of Diageo's supplier sites assessed as a potential risk have completed a SEDEX self-assessment questionnaire. Of the 318 supplier sites assessed as a potential high risk, 47% (150) were independently audited during the last three years. Of these, 70 were commissioned by Diageo and 80 were accessed through SEDEX or AIM-PROGRESS.

Global raw materials by volume 2016 (Total – 1.4 million tonnes)



Barley[1]	43%	Grapes	6%
Maize[2]	13%	Agave	3%
Wheat	9%	Rice	1%
Molasses	9%	Dairy	1%
Sorghum	6%	Rye	1%
Sugar	6%	Other (including raisins, cassava, hops and aniseed)	2%

Global packaging materials[3] by volume 2016 (Total – 1.3 million tonnes)



Glass	83%	Cans	1%
Corrugate	8%	Bags	1%
Cartons	2%	Labels and sleeves	1%
PET	1%	Crowns	1%
Closures	1%	Beverage cartons	1%

[1] Includes malted barley.
[2] Excludes maize used to make the neutral spirit we purchase in North America to ensure our figures represent only raw materials we buy directly; maize therefore represents 13% of raw materials by volume this year, compared with 22% in 2015.
[3] Excludes promotional materials.

REDUCING OUR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

We aim to be a business which uses natural resources efficiently, reduces our impact on climate change, causes no lasting damage to habitats or biodiversity and, where possible, improves the environment we operate in.

We are committed to minimising our environmental impact across all our operations, and we have continued to extend our environmental programmes into the broader supply chain. This will help ensure the sustainability and security of our supply chain, supporting the resilience and growth of our business.

Action on climate change

This year saw an unprecedented international focus on climate action, with the COP21 conference in December resulting in the Paris Agreement on climate change.

We have long advocated the reduction of carbon emissions by business in response to climate change. As members of the We Mean Business Coalition, we committed to carbon emissions reduction targets, eliminating commodity-driven deforestation, and providing climate change information in corporate filings. We are also committed to procuring 100% of our electricity from renewable sources by 2030 and reducing emissions from short-lived climate pollutants. During COP21, we confirmed our membership of the Business Alliance for Water and Climate Change.

Focus on water

Water remains one of our most material environmental issues: as a drinks company, water is an essential resource, and its careful management is a business priority.

Water is also a shared resource, with complex interdependencies between different users, which means that its use, especially in water-stressed areas, can have impacts on communities and the wider environment. The map on page 15 shows the number of our sites located in water-stressed areas. These account for approximately a third of our total production by volume. Our strategic aim is to reduce our overall impact, especially in water-stressed areas such as Africa, India and Brazil which this year saw severe droughts. During the year we developed specific local strategies to address water stewardship in East Africa and India.

Our Water Blueprint, launched in April 2015, outlines how we will protect and manage our water resources globally, particularly in relation to emerging markets. The strategy incorporates our global supply chain, which will enable better understanding and management of our total impact on water.

Performance against 2020 targets

2020 target	KPI	2016 performance	Cumulative performance vs baseline[i]
Reduce water use through a 50% improvement in water use efficiency	% improvement in litres of water used per litre of packaged product	12.5%	37.7%
Return 100% of wastewater from our operations to the environment safely	% reduction in wastewater polluting power, measured in BOD ('000 tonnes)	37.7%	38.6%
Replenish the amount of water used in our final product in water-stressed areas	% of water replenished in water-stressed locations	21.0%	21.0%
Reduce absolute GHG emissions from direct operations by 50%	% reduction in absolute GHG (kt CO_2e)	7.7%	36.2%
Achieve a 30% reduction in absolute GHG emissions along the total supply chain	% reduction in absolute GHG (kt CO_2e)	4.0%	18.2%
Reduce total packaging by 15%, while increasing recycled content to 45% and making 100% of packaging recyclable	% of total packaging by weight	0.8%	8.0%
	% of recycled content by weight	1.0%	40.0%
	% of recyclable packaging by weight	0.1%	98.7%
Achieve zero waste to landfill	% reduction in total waste to landfill (tonnes)	41.4%	90.1%

(i) Baseline year is 2007, except for packaging which is 2009 and water replenishment which is 2015.

A year of progress in all areas

This year we have made progress against all our 2020 environmental targets while broadening our scope and ambition. New acquisitions have been fully integrated into the business, including United National Breweries (UNB) in South Africa and Don Julio in Mexico, and are included in our independent environmental auditing and associated limited assurance statement.

Our 2020 targets

Water stewardship

- Reduce water use through a 50% improvement in water use efficiency.

KPI:
% improvement in litres of water used per litre of packaged product.

This year our water efficiency improved by 12.5% compared with 2015 and by 37.7% compared with our baseline. In our operations, this was driven by improvements in East Africa, where our Tusker Brewery in Nairobi improved water efficiency by 27%, and in Canada, where our Gimli distillery improved by 35%.

26,682 cubic metres of water were used for agricultural purposes on land under Diageo's operational control. This is reported separately from water used in our direct operations.

- Return 100% of wastewater from our operations to the environment safely.

KPI:
% reduction of wastewater polluting power measured in 1,000t BOD.

We reduced the polluting power of the wastewater we returned to the environment by 37.7% this year.

This year's performance was driven predominantly by maintaining excellent progress at our breweries in Africa and India, and reducing production (and consequently wastewater volume) from our Cameronbridge distillery in Scotland.

- Equip our suppliers with tools to protect water resources in our most water-stressed locations.

KPI:
% of key suppliers engaged in water management practices.

Since joining the CDP's Water Programme last year, we have made progress in developing ways to qualitatively evaluate our suppliers' use of water in future years and to encourage and support suppliers to protect water resources.

We engaged around 40 of our largest suppliers to disclose their water management practices through this programme. Of the 62% of suppliers that responded, 79% reported having a reduction target in place. In the coming year we will scale up this programme to over 100 of our key suppliers and third-party operators.

- Replenish the amount of water used in our final product in water-stressed areas.

KPI:
% of water replenished in water-stressed locations.

This year, 21% of total water used in final product in water-stressed areas was replenished.

This new target commits us to replenishing approximately 1,000,000 cubic metres of water by 2020, or 200,000 cubic metres each year. In the first year, we have replenished 215,000 cubic metres through reforestation, desilting of dams, water storage, and safe water and sanitation projects. The majority of these projects are near our sites located in acutely water-stressed areas in India and East Africa.

In addition, the volume of water recycled or reused in our own production was 1,797,985 cubic metres, representing 8.4% of total water withdrawals.

Water efficiency by region, by year (l/l)[(i), (ii)]

	2007	2014	2015	2016
North America	6.79	5.41	5.35	**5.20**
Europe, Russia and Turkey	7.89	7.02	6.73	**5.78**
Africa	8.48	5.60	5.14	**4.53**
Latin America and Caribbean	34.66	31.20	6.26	**4.58**
Asia Pacific	7.09	7.10	5.68	**4.98**
Diageo (total)	8.21	6.75	5.84	**5.11**[Δ]

Wastewater polluting power by region, by year (BOD/t)[(i)]

	2007	2014	2015	2016
North America	242	15	13	**101**
Europe, Russia and Turkey	22,927	35,851	31,543	**19,494**
Africa	9,970	2,727	670	**460**
Latin America and Caribbean	11	22	50	**48**
Asia Pacific	92	489	489	**298**
Corporate	0	0	0	**0**
Diageo (total)	33,242	39,104	32,765	**20,401**
Total under direct control	32,412	38,867	32,535	**20,123**[Δ]

(i) 2007 baseline data and data for each of the intervening years in the period ended 30 June 2015 have been restated in accordance with Diageo's environmental reporting methodologies.
(ii) In accordance with Diageo's environmental reporting methodologies, total water used excludes irrigation water for agricultural purposes on land under the operational control of the company.
Δ Within PwC's independent limited assurance scope.

Our 2020 targets

Carbon

- Reduce absolute GHG emissions from direct operations by 50%.

KPI:
% reduction in absolute GHG (kt CO_2e).

We use the World Resources Institute/World Business Council for Sustainable Development Greenhouse Gas Protocol as a basis for reporting our emissions, and we include all facilities over which we have operational control for the full fiscal year.

We reduced GHG emissions in our direct operations this year by 7.7% through a range of measures and initiatives, including a reduction in coal use in India, energy improvements at our US Virgin Islands business, and the transition to hydro-powered grid electricity in Cameroon.

Diageo's total direct and indirect carbon emissions (location/gross) were 845,000 tonnes (direct emissions (scope 1) 656,000 tonnes and indirect emissions (scope 2) 189,000 tonnes). In 2015, total direct and indirect carbon emissions (location/gross) were 903,000 tonnes (direct emissions 710,000 and indirect emissions 193,000 tonnes). The intensity ratio this year was 203 grams per litre packaged, compared to 227 grams per litre in 2015.

This year, approximately 52.1% of electricity at our production sites came from low-carbon sources such as wind, hydro and nuclear, compared to 57.6% in 2015. The divestment of the wines business and acquisition of UNB are the principal drivers of this year-on-year change. In the United Kingdom, 99.5% of our electricity came from low-carbon sources.

- Achieve a 30% reduction in absolute GHG emissions along the total supply chain.

KPI:
% reduction in absolute GHG (kt CO_2e).

This new target underpins our commitment to reduce carbon emissions, including collaborating with our suppliers, distributors and customers to reduce emissions along the total supply chain. This year, we established the total supply chain carbon footprint of our 2007 baseline year as 4.09 million tonnes. In 2016, our total supply chain carbon footprint was 3.34 million tonnes, a reduction of 18.2% versus the baseline and a 4% reduction since 2015. The key drivers are lower emission factors attributed to fertiliser use and consequently agricultural raw materials; reduced emissions from our packaging materials; and the reduced carbon footprint of our direct operations.

In our supply chain we engaged 145 key suppliers on measuring and managing their carbon emissions through the CDP. Of the 85% that responded to the CDP questionnaire, 48% reported having an emissions reduction target in place.

- Ensure all our new refrigeration equipment in trade is HFC-free, with a reduction in associated GHG emissions from 2015.

KPI:
% of equipment sourced HFC-free from 1 July 2015.

This year, 99% of the more than 17,000 fridges we purchased were sourced as HFC-free equipment.

Direct and indirect carbon emissions by weight (1,000 tonnes CO_2e)[1,2,°] (market-/net-based)



[1] CO_2e figures are calculated using the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol guidance available at the beginning of our financial year, the kWh/CO_2e conversion factor provided by energy suppliers, the relevant factors to the country of operation, or the International Energy Agency, as applicable.
[2] 2007 baseline data, and data for each of the intervening years in the period ended 30 June 2015, have been restated in accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol and Diageo's environmental reporting methodologies.
° Within PwC's independent limited assurance scope.

Carbon emissions by weight by region (1,000 tonnes CO_2e)[(i), (ii)]

	2007	2014	2015	2016
North America	214	55	53	**45**
Europe, Russia and Turkey	406	355	329	**285**
Africa	271	235	248	**250**
Latin America and Caribbean	7	15	15	**15**
Asia Pacific	151	152	81	**73**
Corporate	19	11	12	**13**
Diageo (total)	1,068	823	738	**681**[Δ]

(i) CO_2e figures (market/net) are calculated using the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol guidance available at the beginning of our financial year, the kWh/CO_2e conversion factor provided by energy suppliers, the relevant factors to the country of operation, or the International Energy Agency, as applicable.
(ii) 2007 baseline data, and data for each of the intervening years in the period ended 30 June 2015, have been restated in accordance with the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol and Diageo's environmental reporting methodologies.
Δ Within PwC's independent limited assurance scope.

Our 2020 targets

Packaging

- Reduce total packaging by 15%, while increasing recycled content to 45% and making 100% of packaging recyclable.

KPI:
% of total packaging by weight.

KPI:
% of recycled content by weight.

KPI:
% of recyclable packaging by weight.

This year we achieved a 0.8% reduction in packaging weight vs 2015 (8.0% vs 2009 baseline); a 1.0% increase in recycled content vs 2015 (40% vs 2009 baseline); and increased packaging recyclability by 0.1% vs 2015 (98.7% vs 2009 baseline).

Our Sustainable Packaging Commitments are used by brands and technical teams as well as suppliers and support our on-going programme to produce packaging with the lowest environmental impact. They were refreshed in 2016 to, among other things, introduce new guidance to support a circular economy approach.

- Sustainably source all of our paper and board packaging to ensure zero net deforestation.

KPI:
% sustainably sourced paper and board packaging.

We define sustainably sourced as Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) or Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC) certified, or recycled fibre. This year we established a strategic process for managing and reporting the volume of sustainably sourced paper and board packaging. To date we have engaged close to 100 suppliers to establish a baseline, and are embedding our sustainable sourcing criteria in how we work with our suppliers.

Our 2020 target

Waste

- Achieve zero waste to landfill.

KPI:
% reduction in total waste to landfill (tonnes).

We achieved a 41.4% reduction in waste to landfill compared to last year. A key driver was achieving approval for new ways to use organic waste from our Turkish operations, particularly reusing by-products from wastewater treatment facilities and using aniseed residues as fertiliser.

Total waste to landfill by region (tonnes)[(i)]

	2007	2014	2015	2016
North America	40,154	174	123	**148**
Europe, Russia and Turkey	22,464	6,525	7,207	**2,974**
Africa	37,062	12,699	7,507	**6,080**
Latin America and Caribbean	246	285	218	**155**
Asia Pacific	8,583	13,766	2,984	**703**
Corporate	591	687	687	**894**
Diageo (total)	109,100	34,136	18,726	**10,954**[Δ]

(i) 2007 baseline data and data for each of the intervening years in the period ended 30 June 2014 have been restated in accordance with Diageo's environmental reporting methodologies.
Δ Within PwC's independent limited assurance scope.

GOVERNANCE AND ETHICS

In a volatile political and commercial environment, governance and ethics grow ever more important. People want to trust the company behind the brands they love.

The global risk and compliance team provide rigorous oversight of our risk management, controls and compliance and ethics programme. As our business grows, so does our investment in improving our productivity and performance through increasing levels of automation and more efficient and effective systems and processes.

Communications and compliance training

KPI:
Number of eligible employees completing the Annual Certification of Compliance (ACC).

This year, 100% of manager level and above employees completed the ACC.

We have placed a renewed emphasis on building compliance capability across the business and are working hard to engage our employees.

We launched our refreshed Code of Business Conduct (our Code) to every employee in every market in July 2015, and we have continued to engage our employees through impactful communications.

Each market has its own training plan for our Code and key policies which they deliver through locally organised, risk-based training. We have strengthened our communication on good practice through annual market engagement events like the Pathway of Pride programme in Africa, Ethics Day in Asia Pacific and Compliance Awareness Day in Latin America.

We require all new employees to complete our Code training within 30 days of joining the business. We regularly review our training and communications material – and methods for delivery – to ensure they remain relevant to the risks our employees face in their roles.

Our ACC certifies that each employee at manager level and above fully understands what is expected of them. In 2016, the ACC was completed by all 9,668 eligible managers.

USL, which updated its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics in 2015, rolled out its first ACC to all eligible managers – 2,540 of USL employees, or approximately 44% of all employees (of which 3,238 work in a non-office environment with no access to computers or email).

Risk management

Following the launch of the new risk management global standard in 2015, we continue to evolve our risk management programme. This year we standardised our scenario planning methodologies and risk management training, and made it mandatory for a wider group within the business, in order to address the increased risk of volatility. Our global standard requires all markets and functions to perform risk assessments at least annually and to consider risks concerning human rights, bribery and corruption, anti-money laundering and all other relevant laws and regulation.

Controls and automation

The principles of our strong control programme remain unchanged and this year we worked on automating many of our manual control processes as part of our Next Generation Controls programme. Changes are carefully managed to ensure our control environment and assurance programme are robust, but also delivered in an efficient and effective manner.

Due diligence

Continuing to ensure that we do not expose ourselves to additional compliance risk from third-party business partners remains a priority. This year we introduced a globally automated system that carries out real-time sanctions due diligence, checking third parties for bribery and corruption risks.

Monitoring, auditing and reporting

We aim to create a culture in which employees feel comfortable raising concerns about potential breaches of our Code or policies. We expect anyone who comes across a breach to report it immediately, either through our confidential whistleblowing helpline SpeakUp, to their manager, or to a member of the global risk and compliance, human resources or legal teams. SpeakUp is also available to our business partners.

There were 752 suspected breaches reported this year, of which 340 were subsequently substantiated. Of the suspected breaches, 311 were reported through SpeakUp, compared with 386 in 2015.[1] All allegations are taken seriously and those that require action are investigated. Our response to proven breaches varies depending on the severity of the matter, and we monitor breaches to identify trends or common areas where further action may be required. This year, 94 people exited the business as a result of breaches of our Code or policies, compared with 131 in 2015.[1] Our overall case volumes have fallen by 10% since last year and the number of employees exiting our business as a result of breaches has fallen by 28%. We believe this is a positive indication that our compliance programme is maturing. Our employees better understand what constitutes a breach and what doesn't, and our training and enforcement is having an impact.

[1] 2015 numbers restated to include USL.

Reported and substantiated breaches



[1] Reported through SpeakUp – 311; 2015 – 386.

Governance and ethics

Helping our people make the right choices: global compliance awareness events

We're constantly looking for ways to strengthen our culture of integrity to help our people make the right choices. Since we refreshed our Code of Business Conduct (our Code) in July 2015, we've been seeking new opportunities to engage employees around the world through communications and training.

Control, compliance and ethics (CCE) managers in each market and function define and deliver their own local risk-based training plan for our Code and key policies relevant to their business. To support our CCE managers and their teams, we run a series of global capability events to stretch both the functional skills and the leadership impact of this network. These events include the Compliance Awareness Day, held this year in four countries in our WestLAC market, our Pathway of Pride programme across Africa, and our Ethics Day in Asia Pacific.

During these events, employees attend training sessions on policies including Human Rights, Employee Alcohol, Corporate Security and Crisis Management, as well as training tailored to employees' individual roles and locations. The training is supported by role-play scenarios, video diaries and question-and-answer sessions with senior leaders, and plenary sessions using real-life examples and case studies on policies relevant to all employees.

Feedback has been hugely positive, and these events have become an important part of our effort to sustain our culture of integrity in Diageo, every day, everywhere.

DEFINITIONS AND RECONCILIATIONS OF NON-GAAP MEASURES TO GAAP MEASURES

Diageo's strategic planning process is based on the following non-GAAP measures. They are chosen for planning and reporting, and some of them are used for incentive purposes. The group's management believes these measures provide valuable additional information for users of the financial statements in understanding the group's performance. These non-GAAP measures should be viewed as complementary to, and not replacements for, the comparable GAAP measures and reported movements therein.

Volume

Volume is a non-GAAP measure that is measured on an equivalent units basis to nine-litre cases of spirits. An equivalent unit represents one nine-litre case of spirits, which is approximately 272 servings. A serving comprises 33ml of spirits, 165ml of wine, or 330ml of ready to drink or beer. Therefore, to convert volume of products other than spirits to equivalent units, the following guide has been used: beer in hectolitres, divide by 0.9; wine in nine-litre cases, divide by five; ready to drink in nine-litre cases, divide by 10; and certain pre-mixed products that are classified as ready to drink in nine-litre cases, divide by five.

Organic movements

In the discussion of the performance of the business, 'organic' information is presented using pounds sterling amounts on a constant currency basis excluding the impact of exceptional items and acquisitions and disposals. Organic measures enable users to focus on the performance of the business which is common to both years and which represents those measures that local managers are most directly able to influence.

Calculation of organic movements

The organic movement percentage is the amount in the row titled 'Organic movement' in the tables below, expressed as a percentage of the amount in the row titled '2015 adjusted'. Organic operating margin is calculated by dividing operating profit before exceptional items by net sales after excluding the impact of exchange rate movements and acquisitions and disposals.

(a) Exchange rates

'Exchange' in the organic movement calculation reflects the adjustment to recalculate the prior year results as if they had been generated at the current year's exchange rates.

Exchange impacts in respect of the external hedging of intergroup sales of products and the intergroup recharging of third party services are allocated to the geographical segment to which they relate. Residual exchange impacts are reported in Corporate.

Exchange impacts in respect of profit on intergroup sales of products and intergroup recharges are reported in 'other operating expenses'.

(b) Acquisitions and disposals

For acquisitions in the current year, the post acquisition results are excluded from the organic movement calculations. For acquisitions in the prior year, post acquisition results are included in full in the prior year but are included in the organic movement calculation from the anniversary of the acquisition date in the current year. The acquisition row also eliminates the impact of transaction costs that have been charged to operating profit in the current or prior year in respect of acquisitions that, in management's judgement, are expected to complete.

Where a business, brand, brand distribution right or agency agreement was disposed of, or terminated, in the period up to the date of the external results announcement, the group, in the organic movement calculations, excludes the results for that business from the current and prior year. In the calculation of operating profit, the overheads included in disposals are only those directly attributable to the businesses disposed of, and do not result from subjective judgements of management. In addition, disposals include the elimination of the results (for volume, sales, net sales and marketing only) of operations in India where United Spirits Limited (USL) previously fully consolidated the results but which are now operated on a royalty or franchise model where USL now receives royalties only for sales made by that operation.

(c) Exceptional items

Exceptional items are those which, in management's judgement, need to be disclosed by virtue of their size or nature. Such items are included within the income statement caption to which they relate, and are separately disclosed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements, and are excluded from the organic movement calculations.

Organic movement calculations for the year ended 30 June 2016 were as follows:

	North America million	Europe, Russia and Turkey million	Africa million	Latin America and Caribbean million	Asia Pacific million	Corporate million	Total million
Volume (equivalent units)							
2015 reported	47.3	44.1	26.2	21.6	107.0	–	246.2
Disposals[iii]	(1.3)	(2.3)	(0.2)	(1.3)	(3.3)	–	(8.4)
2015 adjusted	46.0	41.8	26.0	20.3	103.7	–	237.8
Acquisitions and disposals[iii]	0.5	1.3	3.0	0.7	–	–	5.5
Organic movement	0.5	0.8	2.3	(0.4)	(0.1)	–	3.1
2016 reported	47.0	43.9	31.3	20.6	103.6	–	246.4
Organic movement %	1	2	9	(2)	–	n/a	1

	North America £ million	Europe, Russia and Turkey £ million	Africa £ million	Latin America and Caribbean £ million	Asia Pacific £ million	Corporate £ million	Total £ million
Sales							
2015 reported	3,909	4,683	1,868	1,297	4,129	80	15,966
Exchange[i]	199	(181)	(143)	(181)	(54)	–	(360)
Disposals[iii]	(283)	(247)	(31)	(119)	(48)	(48)	(776)
2015 adjusted	3,825	4,255	1,694	997	4,027	32	14,830
Acquisitions and disposals[iii]	117	124	89	76	8	–	414
Organic movement	95	214	92	5	(13)	4	397
2016 reported	4,037	4,593	1,875	1,078	4,022	36	15,641
Organic movement %	2	5	5	1	–	13	3
Net sales							
2015 reported	3,455	2,617	1,415	1,033	2,213	80	10,813
Exchange[i]	172	(87)	(102)	(134)	(21)	–	(172)
Disposals[iii]	(272)	(184)	(18)	(98)	(35)	(48)	(655)
2015 adjusted	3,355	2,346	1,295	801	2,157	32	9,986
Acquisitions and disposals[iii]	113	96	72	57	7	–	345
Organic movement	97	102	34	5	34	4	276
Reclassification[ii]	–	–	–	–	(122)	–	(122)
2016 reported	3,565	2,544	1,401	863	2,076	36	10,485
Organic movement %	3	4	3	1	2	13	3
Marketing							
2015 reported	542	388	147	194	344	14	1,629
Exchange[i]	23	1	(11)	(26)	–	–	(13)
Disposals[iii]	(22)	(7)	–	(11)	(1)	(2)	(43)
2015 adjusted	543	382	136	157	343	12	1,573
Acquisitions and disposals[iii]	8	2	6	10	–	–	26
Organic movement	(10)	20	1	–	(42)	(6)	(37)
2016 reported	541	404	143	167	301	6	1,562
Organic movement %	(2)	5	1	–	(12)	(50)	(2)
Operating profit before exceptional items							
2015 reported	1,448	804	318	263	356	(123)	3,066
Exchange[i]	77	(24)	(67)	(57)	(5)	(7)	(83)
Acquisitions and disposals[iii]	(55)	(34)	(5)	(17)	(1)	(2)	(114)
2015 adjusted	1,470	746	246	189	350	(132)	2,869
Acquisitions and disposals[iii]	25	10	(7)	12	1	(1)	40
Organic movement	56	45	(27)	(2)	44	(17)	99
2016 reported	1,551	801	212	199	395	(150)	3,008
Organic movement %	4	6	(11)	(1)	13	(13)	3
Organic operating margin %							
2016	44.2%	32.3%	16.5%	23.2%	18.0%	n/a	28.9%
2015	43.8%	31.8%	19.0%	23.6%	16.2%	n/a	28.7%
Margin improvement/(decline) (bps)	39	51	(252)	(39)	176	n/a	19

(1) For the reconciliation of sales to net sales and operating profit before exceptional items to operating profit see page 25 and page 103.
(2) Percentages and margin improvement/(decline) are calculated on rounded figures.

Notes: Information in respect of the organic movement calculations

(i) The exchange adjustments for sales, net sales, marketing and operating profit are principally in respect of the Nigerian naira, the South African rand, the Venezuelan bolivar, the Brazilian real and the Turkish lira, partially offset by the US dollar.

(ii) Following a review of the third party production arrangements in India it was determined to be more appropriate to ensure consistent reporting by reclassifying the excise duties payable by the third party production companies as excise duties. This change was implemented by USL in its first three months of its financial year ended 30 June 2016, and resulted in net sales for the year ended 30 June 2016 reducing by £122 million with a corresponding decrease in cost of sales. There was no impact on gross or operating profit.

(iii) In the year ended 30 June 2016 the acquisitions and disposals that affected volume, sales, net sales, marketing and operating profit were as follows:

	Volume equ. units million	Sales £ million	Net sales £ million	Marketing £ million	Operating profit £ million
Year ended 30 June 2015					
Acquisitions					
Integration costs	–	–	–	–	7
	–	–	–	–	7
Disposals					
North America Wines and Percy Fox	(2.2)	(386)	(343)	(18)	(58)
Grand Marnier	(0.2)	(26)	(20)	–	(2)
Bouvet	(0.1)	(16)	(16)	(1)	(2)
Argentina	(0.6)	(38)	(33)	(3)	(4)
South Africa	(0.2)	(27)	(15)	–	(3)
Jamaica and Red Stripe	(1.1)	(133)	(107)	(11)	(23)
Bushmills	(0.7)	(65)	(50)	(8)	(23)
USL owned to franchise	(3.2)	(29)	(17)	–	–
Gleneagles	–	(48)	(48)	(2)	(4)
Other	(0.1)	(8)	(6)	–	(2)
	(8.4)	(776)	(655)	(43)	(121)
Acquisitions and disposals	(8.4)	(776)	(655)	(43)	(114)
Year ended 30 June 2016					
Acquisitions					
Don Julio	0.3	34	22	6	23
United National Breweries	2.6	44	44	1	4
South Africa	0.3	35	23	5	(11)
Argentina	–	1	1	–	–
Transaction costs	–	–	–	–	(1)
	3.2	114	90	12	15
Disposals					
North America Wines and Percy Fox	1.1	181	161	8	12
Grand Marnier	0.3	28	22	–	3
Bouvet	–	7	7	–	1
Argentina	0.3	19	16	2	–
South Africa	0.1	9	4	–	(1)
Jamaica and Red Stripe	0.5	52	41	4	7
Bushmills	–	3	2	–	1
Other	–	1	2	–	2
	2.3	300	255	14	25
Acquisitions and disposals	5.5	414	345	26	40

Earnings per share before exceptional items

Earnings per share before exceptional items is calculated by dividing profit attributable to equity shareholders of the parent company before exceptional items by the weighted average number of shares in issue.

Earnings per share before exceptional items for the years ended 30 June 2016 and 30 June 2015 are set out in the table below.

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Profit attributable to equity shareholders of the parent company	2,244	2,381
Exceptional operating items attributable to equity shareholders of the parent company	171	268
Non-operating items attributable to equity shareholders of the parent company	(115)	(373)
Tax in respect of exceptional operating and non-operating items attributable to equity shareholders of the parent company	(58)	(51)
	2,242	2,225
Weighted average number of shares		
Shares in issue excluding own shares (million)	2,508	2,505
Dilutive potential ordinary shares (million)	10	12
	2,518	2,517
Basic earnings per share before exceptional items (pence)	89.4	88.8
Diluted earnings per share before exceptional items (pence)	89.0	88.4

Free cash flow

Free cash flow comprises the net cash flow from operating activities aggregated with the net cash received/paid for loans receivable and other investments and the net cash cost paid for property, plant and equipment and computer software that are included in net cash flow from investing activities.

The remaining components of net cash flow from investing activities that do not form part of free cash flow, as defined by the group's management, are in respect of the acquisition and sale of businesses.

The group's management regards the purchase and disposal of property, plant and equipment and computer software as ultimately non-discretionary since ongoing investment in plant, machinery and technology is required to support the day-to-day operations, whereas acquisitions and sales of businesses are discretionary.

Where appropriate, separate explanations are given for the impacts of acquisitions and sale of businesses, dividends paid and the purchase of own shares, each of which arises from decisions that are independent from the running of the ongoing underlying business.

Free cash flow reconciliations for the years ended 30 June 2016 and 30 June 2015 are set out in the table below:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Net cash from operating activities	2,548	2,551
Disposal of property, plant and equipment and computer software	57	52
Purchase of property, plant and equipment and computer software	(506)	(638)
Movements in loans and other investments	(2)	(2)
Free cash flow	2,097	1,963

Operating cash conversion

Operating cash conversion is calculated by dividing cash generated from operations excluding cash inflows/outflows in respect of exceptional items, dividends received from associates, maturing inventories, other items and post-employment payments in excess of the amount charged to operating profit by operating profit before depreciation, amortisation, impairment and exceptional operating items.

The ratio is stated at the budgeted exchange rate for the respective year in line with management reporting and is expressed as a percentage.

Operating cash conversion for the years ended 30 June 2016 and 30 June 2015 were as follows:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Operating profit	2,841	2,797
Exceptional operating items	167	269
Depreciation and amortisation[i]	355	371
Retranslation to budgeted exchange rates	18	146
	3,381	3,583
Cash generated from operations	3,360	3,456
Cash payments in respect of exceptional items	80	221
Post employment payments less amounts included in operating profit[i]	58	67
Net movement in maturing inventories	144	247
Dividends received from associates	(173)	(183)
Other items[i]	15	(21)
Retranslation to budgeted exchange rates	75	148
	3,559	3,935
Operating cash conversion	105.3%	109.8%

(i) excluding exceptional items.

Return on average total invested capital

Return on average total invested capital is used by management to assess the return obtained from the group's asset base and is calculated to aid evaluation of the performance of the business.

The profit used in assessing the return on average total invested capital reflects operating profit before exceptional items attributable to the equity shareholders of the parent company plus share of after tax results of associates and joint ventures after applying the tax rate before exceptional items for the year. Average total invested capital is calculated using the average derived from the consolidated balance sheets at the beginning, middle and end of the year. Average capital employed comprises average net assets attributable to equity shareholders of the parent company for the year, excluding post employment benefit net liabilities (net of deferred tax) and average net borrowings. This average capital employed is then aggregated with the average restructuring and integration costs net of tax, and goodwill written off to reserves at 1 July 2004, the date of transition to IFRS, to obtain the average total invested capital.

Calculations for the return on average total invested capital for the years ended 30 June 2016 and 30 June 2015 are set out in the table below.

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Operating profit	2,841	2,797
Exceptional operating items	167	269
Profit before exceptional operating items attributable to non-controlling interests	(108)	(87)
Share of after tax results of associates and joint ventures	221	175
Tax at the tax rate before exceptional items of 19.0% (2015 – 18.3%)	(593)	(577)
	2,528	2,577
Average net assets (excluding net post employment liabilities)	10,202	8,910
Average non-controlling interest	(1,558)	(1,240)
Average net borrowings	9,130	9,682
Average integration and restructuring costs (net of tax)	1,639	1,604
Goodwill at 1 July 2004	1,562	1,562
Adjustment in respect of acquisition of USL[i]	–	493
Average total invested capital	20,975	21,011
Return on average total invested capital	12.1%	12.3%

(i) For the year ended 30 June 2015 average net assets were adjusted for the inclusion of USL as though it was owned throughout the year as it became a subsidiary on 2 July 2014.

Tax rate before exceptional items

Tax rate before exceptional items is calculated by dividing the total tax charge on continuing operations before tax charges and credits, classified as or in respect of exceptional items, by profit before taxation adjusted to exclude the impact of exceptional operating and non-operating items, expressed as a percentage. The measure is used by management to assess the rate of tax applied to the group's continuing operations before tax on exceptional items.

The tax rates from operations before exceptional and after exceptional items for the year ended 30 June 2015 and 30 June 2016 are set out in the table below.

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Tax before exceptional items (a)	552	517
Tax in respect of exceptional items	(56)	(51)
Taxation on profit from operations (b)	496	466
Profit from operations before taxation and exceptional items (c)	2,902	2,829
Non-operating items	123	373
Exceptional operating items	(167)	(269)
Profit before taxation (d)	2,858	2,933
Tax rate before exceptional items (a/c)	19.0%	18.3%
Tax rate after exceptional items (b/d)	17.4%	15.9%

Other definitions

Volume share is a brand's retail volume expressed as a percentage of the retail volume of all brands in its segment.

Value share is a brand's retail sales value expressed as a percentage of the retail sales value of all brands in its segment. Unless otherwise stated, share refers to value share.

Price/mix is the number of percentage points by which the organic movement in net sales differs to the organic movement in volume. The difference arises because of changes in the composition of sales between higher and lower priced variants/markets or as price changes are implemented.

Depletion is the estimated volume of the first onward sales from our direct customers, measured on an equivalent units basis.

References to *emerging markets* include Russia, Eastern Europe, Turkey, Africa, Latin America and Caribbean, and Asia Pacific (excluding Australia, Korea and Japan).

References to *reserve brands* include Johnnie Walker Blue Label, Johnnie Walker Green Label, Johnnie Walker Gold Label 18 year old, Johnnie Walker Gold Label Reserve, Johnnie Walker Platinum Label 18 year old, John Walker & Sons Collection, Johnnie Walker The Gold Route, Johnnie Walker The Royal Route and other Johnnie Walker super premium brands; The Singleton, Cardhu, Talisker, Lagavulin and other malt brands; Buchanan's Special Reserve, Buchanan's Red Seal; Bulleit Bourbon, Bulleit Rye; Tanqueray No. TEN, Tanqueray Malacca Gin; Cîroc, Ketel One vodka; Don Julio, Zacapa, Bundaberg SDlx, Shui Jing Fang, Jinzu gin, Haig Club whisky, Orphan Barrel whiskey and DeLeón Tequila.

References to *global giants* include the following brand families: Johnnie Walker, Smirnoff, Captain Morgan, Baileys, Tanqueray and Guinness. *Local stars* spirits include, but are not limited to, Bell's, Buchanan's, Bundaberg, Bulleit, Cacique, Crown Royal, Don Julio, JεB, McDowell's, Old Parr, Yenì Raki, Ketel One vodka, scotch malts, White Horse, Windsor and Ypióca. Global giants and local stars exclude ready to drink.

References to *ready to drink* also include ready to serve products, such as pre-mix cans in some markets, and progressive adult beverages in the United States and certain markets supplied by the United States.

References to *beer* include non-alcoholic products such as Malta Guinness.

References to the *group* include Diageo plc and its consolidated subsidiaries.

This Strategic Report was approved by a duly appointed and authorised committee of the Board of Directors on 27 July 2016 and signed on its behalf by David Harlock, Company Secretary.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMPANY SECRETARY

Dr Franz B Humer (70)

Chairman, Non-Executive Director [3*]
Nationality: Swiss/Austrian

Appointed Chairman July 2008 (Appointed Non-Executive Director April 2005). He will retire from the Diageo Board on 1 January 2017
Current external appointments: Member, LetterOne Health Investment Advisory Board; Non-Executive Director, Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, CitiGroup Inc., Emil Frey SA and Kite Pharma Inc.; Adviser, Tamasek Holdings (Private) Limited and WISeKey SA
Previous relevant experience: Chairman, INSEAD Board of Directors; Chairman, F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd; Chief Operating Director, Glaxo Holdings plc

Javier Ferrán (59)

Chairman Designate, Non-Executive Director [1,3,4]
Nationality: Spanish

Appointed Chairman Designate, Non-Executive Director July 2016
Current external appointments: Partner, Lion Capital LLP; Non-Executive Director, Associated British Foods plc, Coca-Cola European Partners, Desigual; Member, Advisory Board of Agrolimen, ESADE Business School
Previous relevant experience: President and CEO, Bacardi Limited; Non-Executive Director, SAB Miller plc

Ivan Menezes (57)

Chief Executive, Executive Director [2*]
Nationality: American/British

Appointed Chief Executive July 2013 (Appointed Executive Director July 2012)
Current external appointments: Member of the Council, Scotch Whisky Association; Non-Executive Director, Coach Inc; Member of the Global Advisory Board, Kellogg School of Management, Northwestern University
Previous Diageo roles: Chief Operating Officer; President, North America; Chairman, Diageo Asia Pacific; Chairman, Diageo Latin America and Caribbean; senior management positions, Guinness and then Diageo
Previous relevant experience: marketing and strategy roles, Nestlé, Booz Allen Hamilton Inc. and Whirlpool

Kathryn Mikells (50)

Executive Director [2]
Nationality: American

Appointed Executive Director November 2015
Current external appointments: Non-Executive Director, The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.
Previous relevant experience: Corporate Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Xerox Corporation; Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, ADT Corporation; Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Nalco Holding Company and UAL Corporation

Lord Davies of Abersoch (63)

Senior Non-Executive Director [1,3,4*]
Nationality: British

Appointed Senior Non-Executive Director and Chairman of the Remuneration Committee October 2011 (Appointed Non-Executive Director September 2010)
Current external appointments: Partner and Chairman, Corsair Capital LLC; Chairman, Jack Wills; Chair of Trustees, Royal Academy of Arts; Vice Chairman, LetterOne Holdings S.A.
Previous relevant experience: Chairman, Chime Communications PLC; Minister for Trade, Investment and Small Business for the UK Government; Group Chief Executive, Standard Chartered PLC

Peggy B Bruzelius (66)

Non-Executive Director [1,3,4]
Nationality: Swedish

Appointed Non-Executive Director April 2009
Current external appointments: Chairman, Lancelot Asset Management; Non-Executive Director, Akzo Nobel NV, Lundin Petroleum AB and Skandia Liv AB
Previous relevant experience: Non-Executive Director, Axfood AB, Husgvarna; Syngenta AG, Scania AB; Managing Director, ABB Financial Services AB; Vice Chairman, Electrolux AB; Executive Vice President, Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB

Ho KwonPing (63)

Non-Executive Director [1,3,4]
Nationality: Singaporean

Appointed Non-Executive Director October 2012
Current external appointments: Executive Chairman and Founder, Banyan Tree Holdings Limited; Chairman, Laguna Resorts & Hotels Public Company Limited, Thai Wah Public Company Limited and Singapore Management University; Governor, London Business School; Chairman of School Advisory Committee, School of Hotel and Tourism Management of the Hong Kong Polytechnic University
Previous relevant experience: Member, Global Advisory Board of Moelis & Company; Chairman, MediaCorp Pte. Ltd, Non-Executive Director, Singapore Airlines Limited, Standard Chartered PLC and Singapore Power Limited

Betsy D Holden (60)

Non-Executive Director [1,3,4]
Nationality: American

Appointed Non-Executive Director September 2009
Current external appointments: Senior Advisor, McKinsey & Company; Non-Executive Director Time Inc and Western Union Company; Member of the Board of Trustees, Duke University; Member of the Executive Committee, Kellogg School of Management Global Advisory Board
Previous relevant experience: Non-Executive Director, Catamaran Corporation, Tribune Company and MediaBank LLC; President, Global Marketing and Category Development and Co-Chief Executive Officer, Kraft Foods, Inc; Member of the North American Advisory Board, Schneider Electric

Nicola S Mendelsohn (44)

Non-Executive Director [1,3,4]
Nationality: British

Appointed Non-Executive Director September 2014
Current external appointments: Vice president, Facebook EMEA; Director, Women's Prize for Fiction; Co-Chair, Creative Industries Council
Previous relevant experience: Executive Chairman, Karmarama; Deputy Chairman, Grey London; Board Director, BBH and Fragrance Foundation; President, Institute of Practitioners in Advertising; Board Member, CEW; Trustee, White Ribbon Alliance; Chair of the Corporate Board, Women's Aid

Philip G Scott (62)

Non-Executive Director [1*,3,4]
Nationality: British

Appointed Non-Executive Director and Chairman of the Audit Committee October 2007
Previous relevant experience: Non-Executive Director, Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc; President, Institute and Faculty of Actuaries; Chief Financial Officer, Aviva plc

Alan JH Stewart (56)

Non-Executive Director [1,3,4]
Nationality: British

Appointed Non-Executive Director September 2014
Current external appointments: Chief Financial Officer, Tesco plc; Member of the Advisory Board, Chartered Institute of Management Accountants; Member of the Main Committee & Chairman of Pension Committee, the 100 Group of Finance Directors
Previous relevant experience: Chief Financial Officer, Marks & Spencer and AWAS; Non-Executive Director, Games Workshop plc; Group Finance Director, WH Smith plc; Chief Executive, Thomas Cook UK

Emma Walmsley (46)

Non-Executive Director [1,3,4]
Nationality: British

Appointed Non-Executive Director January 2016
Current external appointments: Chief Executive Officer and Director, GSK Consumer Healthcare Holdings Limited
Previous relevant experience: President, GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Healthcare; Senior marketing and general manager roles, L'Oreal

David Harlock (55)

Company Secretary and General Counsel Corporate Centre
Nationality: British

Appointed Company Secretary and General Counsel Corporate Centre July 2016
Previous Diageo roles: General Counsel Corporate, Africa, Russia, Turkey; General Counsel Africa, Turkey, Russia & Eastern Europe; General Counsel M&A and Global Functions; Regional Counsel International; Counsel International
Previous relevant experience: Hogan Lovells

Laurence M Danon ceased to be a Non-Executive Director on 23 September 2015

Paul D Tunnacliffe ceased to be Company Secretary on 30 June 2016

Key to committees
1. Audit
2. Executive (comprising senior management)
3. Nomination
4. Remuneration
*Chairman of committee

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

David Cutter (48)

President, Global Supply and Procurement
Nationality: Australian

Appointed President, Global Supply and Procurement July 2014

Previous Diageo roles: Supply Director, International Supply Centre; President, Supply Americas; Supply Director, Asia Pacific
Previous relevant experience: leadership roles, Frito-Lay and SC Johnson
Current external appointments: Member of the Council, Scotch Whisky Association

Sam Fischer (48)

President, Diageo Greater China and Asia
Nationality: Australian

Appointed President, Greater China and Asia September 2014
Previous Diageo roles: Managing Director, Diageo Greater China; Managing Director of South East Asia, Diageo Asia Pacific; General Manager, Diageo IndoChina and Vietnam
Previous relevant experience: Senior management roles across Central Europe and Indochina, Colgate Palmolive

Brian Franz (51)

Chief Productivity Officer
Nationality: American/British

Appointed Chief Productivity Officer August 2015
Previous Diageo roles: CIO and Head of GDBS, IS Services
Previous relevant experience: Senior Vice President and CIO, PepsiCo International; Commercial CIO, various CIO and management roles, General Electric

Alberto Gavazzi (50)

President, Diageo Latin America and Caribbean
Nationality: Brazilian/Italian

Appointed President, Latin America and Caribbean July 2013
Previous Diageo roles: Managing Director, West Latin America and Caribbean; Global Category Director Whiskey, Gins and Reserve Brands; General Manager Brazil, Paraguay and Uruguay; Vice President Consumer Marketing, Chicago; Marketing Director, Brazil
Previous relevant experience: Colgate Palmolive; Unilever PLC

John Kennedy (51)

President, Diageo Europe, Russia and Turkey
Nationality: American

Appointed President, Diageo Europe, Russia and Turkey July 2015
Previous Diageo roles: President, Europe and Western Europe; Chief Operating Officer, Western Europe; Marketing Director, Australia; General Manager for Innovation, North America; President and Chief Executive Officer, Diageo Canada; Managing Director, Diageo Ireland
Previous relevant experience: brand management roles, GlaxoSmithKline and Quaker Oats

Anand Kripalu (57)

CEO, United Spirits Limited
Nationality: Indian

Appointed CEO, United Spirits Limited September 2014
Previous Diageo roles: CEO-designate, United Spirits Limited
Previous relevant experience: Various management roles at Mondelēz International, Cadbury and Unilever
Current external appointments: Non-Executive Director, Marico

Charlotte Lambkin (44)

Corporate Relations Director
Nationality: British

Appointed Corporate Relations Director January 2014
Previous relevant experience: Group Communications Director, BAE Systems; Director, Bell Pottinger Corporate & Financial

Deirdre Mahlan (54)

President, Diageo North America
Nationality: American

Appointed President, Diageo North America December 2015
Current external appointments: Non-Executive Director, Experian plc
Previous Diageo roles: Chief Financial Officer and Executive Director; Deputy Chief Financial Officer; Head of Tax and Treasury
Previous relevant experience: Member, Main Committee of the 100 Group of Finance Directors; senior finance positions, Joseph E. Seagram & Sons, Inc.; Senior manager, PricewaterhouseCoopers

Anna Manz (43)



Group Strategy Director
Nationality: British

Appointed Group Strategy Director July 2013. She will be leaving Diageo on 30 September 2016
Current external appointments: Non-Executive Director, ITV PLC
Previous Diageo roles: Regional Finance Director, Diageo Asia Pacific; Group Treasurer; Finance Director, Global Marketing, Sales and Innovation; Finance Director Ireland; Vice President Finance, Diageo North America
Previous relevant experience: Unilever PLC and ICI PLC

Siobhan Moriarty (54)

General Counsel
Nationality: Irish

Appointed General Counsel July 2013
Previous Diageo roles: General Counsel Designate; Corporate M&A Counsel; Regional Counsel Ireland; General Counsel Europe
Previous relevant experience: various positions in law firm private practice, Dublin and London

Mairéad Nayager (41)

Human Resources Director
Nationality: Irish

Appointed Human Resources Director October 2015
Previous Diageo roles: HR Director, Diageo Europe; HR Director, Brandhouse, South Africa; HR Director, Diageo Africa Regional Markets; Talent & Organisational Effectiveness Director, Diageo Africa; Employee Relations Manager, Diageo Ireland
Previous relevant experience: Irish Business and Employers' Confederation (IBEC)

John O'Keeffe (44)

President, Diageo Africa
Nationality: Irish

Appointed President Africa July 2015
Previous Diageo roles: CEO and Managing Director, Guinness Nigeria; Global Head of Innovation and Beer and Baileys; Managing Director Russia and Eastern Europe; various management and marketing positions, Diageo

Syl Saller (59)

Chief Marketing Officer
Nationality: American/British

Appointed Chief Marketing Officer July 2013
Previous Diageo roles: Global Innovation Director; Marketing Director, Diageo Great Britain
Previous relevant experience: brand management and marketing roles, Allied Domecq PLC, Gillette Company and Holson Burnes Group, Inc; Non-Executive Director, Dominos Pizza Group plc

Nick Blazquez, formerly President, Diageo Africa and Asia Pacific, ceased to be an Executive Committee member on 30 June 2016.

Larry Schwartz, formerly President, Diageo North America, ceased to be an Executive Committee member on 31 December 2015.

Leanne Wood, formerly Human Resources Director, ceased to be an Executive Committee member on 5 October 2015.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REPORT

Letter from the Chairman of the Board of Directors and the Company Secretary

Dear Shareholder

On behalf of the Board, we are pleased to present the corporate governance report for the year ended 30 June 2016.

Boards of Directors are ultimately responsible for the corporate governance of their companies, that is to say, the way in which companies are directed and controlled. The responsibilities of the Board include: setting the company's strategic aims and its values; providing the leadership to put them into effect; supervising and constructively challenging management who are responsible for the day to day operational running of the business; and reporting to shareholders on their stewardship. We continue to believe that Diageo's Board has the appropriate diversity and balance of skills, experience, independence and knowledge of the company to enable it to discharge these responsibilities effectively. The description in this report of Diageo's corporate governance structures and procedures and of the work of the Board, its committees and the Executive Committee is intended to give a sense of how this is carried out.

The principal corporate governance rules applying to Diageo (as a UK company listed on the London Stock Exchange (LSE)) for the year ended 30 June 2016 are contained in The UK Corporate Governance Code as updated and published by the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) in September 2014 (the Code) and the UK Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) Listing Rules, which require us to describe, in our Annual Report, our corporate governance from two points of view: the first dealing generally with our application of the Code's main principles and the second dealing specifically with non-compliance with any of the Code's provisions. The two descriptions together are designed to give shareholders a picture of governance arrangements in relation to the Code as a criterion of good practice.

Throughout the year, Diageo has complied with all relevant provisions set out in the Code (with the exception that two directors were unable to attend the 2015 AGM), which is publicly available under the heading 'Corporate Governance' at the website of the FRC, www.frc.org.uk.

Diageo must also comply with corporate governance rules contained in the FCA Disclosure Guidance and Transparency Rules as well as certain related provisions in the Companies Act 2006 (the Act).

As well as being subject to UK legislation and practice, as a company listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), Diageo is subject to the listing requirements of the NYSE and the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Compliance with the provisions of the US Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX), as it applies to foreign private issuers, is continually monitored. As Diageo follows UK corporate governance practice, differences from the NYSE corporate governance standards are summarised in Diageo's 20-F filing and on our website at www.diageo.com/en-row/ourbusiness/aboutus/corporategovernance.





Dr Franz B Humer
Chairman

David Harlock
Company Secretary

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Membership of the Board and Board Committees

The Chairmen, Senior Non-Executive Director and other members of the Board, Audit Committee, Nomination Committee and Remuneration Committee are as set out in this Annual Report in the biographies of Directors and members of the Executive Committee.

There is a clear separation of the roles of the Chairman and the Chief Executive. The Chairman, Dr Franz B Humer, is responsible for the running of the Board and for ensuring all Directors are fully informed of matters, sufficient to make informed judgements. As Chief Executive, Ivan Menezes has responsibility for implementing the strategy agreed by the Board and for managing the company and the group. He is supported in this role by the Executive Committee.

The Non-Executive Directors, all of whom the Board has determined are independent, are experienced and influential individuals from a range of industries, backgrounds and countries. No individual or group dominates the Board's decision-making processes.

On 19 May 2016, it was announced that Javier Ferrán would be appointed to the Board on 22 July 2016 and would succeed Dr Humer as Chairman on 1 January 2017.

A summary of the terms and conditions of appointment of the Non-Executive Directors is available at www.diageo.com/en-row/ourbusiness/aboutus/corporategovernance.

Activities and duties of the Board

The Board manages overall control of the company's affairs with reference to the formal schedule of matters reserved for the Board for decision. The schedule was last reviewed in July 2015 and is available at www.diageo.com/en-row/ourbusiness/aboutus/corporategovernance.

The Board has agreed an approach and adopted guidelines for dealing with conflicts of interest and responsibility for authorising conflicts of interest is included in the schedule of matters reserved for the Board. The Board confirmed that it was aware of no situations that may or did give rise to conflicts with the interests of the company other than those that may arise from Directors' other appointments as disclosed in their biographies.

In order to fulfil their duties, procedures are in place for Directors to seek both independent advice and the advice and services of the Company Secretary who is responsible for advising the Board, through the Chairman, on all governance matters. The Non-Executive Directors meet without the Chairman present, and also meet with the Chairman without management present, on a regular basis.

The terms of reference of Board Committees are available at www.diageo.com/en-row/ourbusiness/aboutus/corporategovernance.

Induction, training and business engagement

There is a formal induction programme for new Directors, which was followed during the year for Emma Walmsley. This included meeting with Executive Committee members and other senior executives individually and visiting a number of operations and sites around the group.

Following the initial induction for Non-Executive Directors, a continuing understanding of the business is developed through appropriate business engagements. Visits to customers, engagements with employees, and brand events were arranged during the year.

In addition, Executive Committee members and other senior executives are invited, as appropriate, to Board and strategy meetings to make presentations on their areas of responsibility.

All Directors are also provided with the opportunity, and encouraged, to attend regular training to ensure they are kept up to date on relevant legal developments or changes and best practice and changing commercial and other risks.

Performance evaluation

During the year an evaluation of the Board's effectiveness, including the effectiveness of the Audit Committee, the Nomination Committee and the Remuneration Committee was undertaken internally by way of written questionnaire followed by the Chairman of the Board meeting individually with all Directors.

A report was prepared and presented, with the conclusion that the Board and its Committees continued to operate effectively, meeting the requirements and spirit of the Code. The climate within the Board, its capability and the diversity of Board members, were again seen as significant factors which contributed to the Board's effectiveness during the year.

There were, nevertheless, areas identified as being of a particular focus: executive engagement, aimed at building the relationship between the Board and the executive team; understanding consumer insights and trends and the competitive performance; and investor relations, including the communication strategy and execution. A further objective was succession to the Board, in particular finding a successor to the Chairman, which has been successfully executed, as highlighted above, with the appointment of Javier Ferrán to the Board.

In conclusion, and without being complacent, the Board was considered to be in good shape to deal with the areas identified as being of particular focus and to support management in its aspirations to deliver the Performance Ambition.

A similar, internal, exercise building on this evaluation and covering the evaluation of the Board and its Committees will start in the subsequent financial year. A report will be prepared for the Board to consider. The results of the evaluation will be reported in next year's report.

The Chairman has confirmed that the Non-Executive Directors standing for re-election at this year's AGM continue to perform effectively, both individually and collectively as a Board, and that each demonstrate commitment to their roles. The senior Non-Executive Director led a performance evaluation of the Chairman. Feedback was discussed in a meeting with the Executive and Non-Executive Directors and then privately with the Chairman.

It is the Board's intention to continue to review annually its performance and that of its committees and individual directors. It is anticipated that the evaluation in 2017 will be externally facilitated and the results reported in a subsequent Annual Report.

Nomination Committee

Role of the Nomination Committee

The Nomination Committee is responsible for keeping under review the composition of the Board and succession to it, and succession planning for senior leadership positions. It makes recommendations to the Board concerning appointments to the Board.

Any new Directors are appointed by the Board and, in accordance with the company's articles of association, they must be elected at the next AGM to continue in office. All existing Directors retire by rotation every year.

Activities of the Nomination Committee

The principal activities of the Nomination Committee during the year were: the consideration of potential new Non-Executive Directors, in light of the review of the structure and composition of the Board and, in particular, the focus on finding a successor to the Chairman; the review of individual Director performance; a review of the Executive Committee membership and succession planning for it and for senior leadership positions, in addition to a review of diversity within the group.

In respect of the appointment of Emma Walmsley the recruitment process included the development of a candidate profile and the engagement of MWM Consulting, a professional search agency (which has no other connection with the Company) specialising in the recruitment of high calibre Non-Executive Directors. Reports on potential appointees were provided to the committee, which, after careful consideration, made a recommendation to the Board.

A similar but more focused search was undertaken for a successor to the Chairman, with an alternative professional search agency (JCA Group) used (again with no other connection with the Company). This search resulted in the Nomination Committee meeting on a number of separate occasions before making a recommendation to the Board that Javier Ferrán be appointed as the designated successor to the Chairman.

Diversity

Diageo supports diversity within its Board of Directors, including gender diversity. Further information is given in the section of this Annual Report on sustainability and responsibility, our people.

Remuneration Committee

Role of the Remuneration Committee

The role of the Remuneration Committee and details of how the company applies the principles of the Code in respect of Directors' remuneration are set out in the Directors' remuneration report.

The Chairman and the Chief Executive may, by invitation, attend Remuneration Committee meetings, except when their own remuneration is discussed. No Director is involved in determining his or her own remuneration.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND CONTROL

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee, appointed and chaired by the Chief Executive, supports him in discharging his responsibility for implementing the strategy agreed by the Board and for managing the company and the group.

It consists of the individuals responsible for the key components of the business: North America, Europe, Africa, Latin America and Caribbean, Asia Pacific, International Supply Centre and Corporate and other.

The Executive Committee focuses its time and agenda to align with the Performance Ambition and how to achieve Diageo's financial and non-financial performance objectives. Performance metrics have been developed to measure progress and a further designated focus is on the company's reputation. In support, monthly performance delivery calls, involving the senior leadership group, focus on progress against the six performance drivers.

To support the market visits made by the presidents in the ordinary course of their business, a small group led by the Chief Executive makes regular market visits focused on the execution of strategy and designed to assist in continuing the development of strategy and in the delivery of performance against the Performance Ambition.

Committees appointed by the Chief Executive and intended to have an ongoing remit, including the Audit & Risk Committee, Finance Committee and Filings Assurance Committee are shown (with their remits) at www.diageo.com/en-row/ourbusiness/aboutus/corporategovernance.

Additional information

Internal control and risk management

An ongoing process has been established for identifying, evaluating and managing risks faced by the group. This process, which complies with the requirements of the Code, has been in place for the full financial year and up to the date the financial statements were approved and accords with the guidance issued by the Financial Reporting Council in September 2014, Guidance on Risk management, Internal Control and related Financial and Business Reporting. The Board confirms that, through the activities of the Audit Committee described below, a robust assessment of the principal risks facing the company, including those that would threaten its business model, future performance, solvency or liquidity has been carried out. These risks and mitigations are set out above in the section of this Annual Report dealing with principal risks.

The Board acknowledges that it is responsible for the company's systems of internal control and risk management and for reviewing their effectiveness. The Board confirms that, through the activities of the Audit Committee described below, it has reviewed the effectiveness of the company's systems of internal control and risk management.

During the year, in line with the Code, the Board considered the nature and extent of the risks it was willing to take to achieve its strategic goals and reviewed the existing internal statement of risk appetite (which was considered and recommended to the Board by both the Audit & Risk Committee and the Audit Committee). In accordance with the Code, the Board has also considered the company's longer term viability, based on a robust assessment of its principal risks. This was done through the work of the Audit Committee which recommended the Viability Statement (as set out above) to the Board.

The company has in place internal control and risk management systems in relation to the company's financial reporting process and the group's process for preparation of consolidated accounts. Further, a review of the consolidated financial statements is completed by management to ensure that the financial position and results of the group are appropriately reflected therein.

Compliance and ethics programme

Diageo is committed to conducting its business responsibly and in accordance with all laws and regulations to which its business activities are subject. We hold ourselves to the principles in our Code of Business Conduct, which is embedded through a comprehensive training and education programme for all employees.

Our Code of Business Conduct, an updated version of which was launched during the fi ancial year, and other Diageo global policies are available at www.diageo.com/en-row/ourbusiness/aboutus/corporategovernance.

In accordance with the requirements of SOX (and related SEC rules), Diageo has adopted a code of ethics covering its Chief Executive, Chief Financial Officer, presidents and other identifiable persons in the group, including those performing senior accounting and controller functions. No amendments to, or waivers in respect of, the code of ethics were made during the year.

The full text of the code of ethics is available at www.diageo.com/en-row/ourbusiness/aboutus/corporategovernance.

Both the Audit & Risk Committee and the Audit Committee regularly review the strategy and operation of the compliance and ethics programme through the year.

Further information is given in the section of this Annual Report on sustainability and responsibility, governance and ethics.

Relations with shareholders

The Board's primary contact with institutional shareholders is through the Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officer. The Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officer are supported by the investor relations department, who are in regular contact with institutional shareholders and sell-side analysts. A monthly investor relations report, including coverage of the company by sell-side analysts, is circulated to the Board.

The Board also ensures that all Directors develop an understanding of the views of major institutional shareholders through an independent survey of shareholder opinion. In addition, major shareholders are invited to raise any company matters of interest to them at meetings with the chairman of the Board and the chairman of the remuneration committee. Reports on any meetings are made to the Board.

Private shareholders are invited to write to the chairman or any other Director and express their views on any issues of concern at any time and the AGM provides an opportunity for private shareholders to put their questions in person.

Political donations

During the year, Diageo Great Britain Limited (a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company) helped, with others, defray the costs of an economists' dialogue (and associated report) hosted by the independent Centre for European Reform think-tank, in the EU referendum context. These costs totalled £2,500 (2015: nil). During the year also, Diageo Germany GmbH (a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company) helped, with others, to support a dialogue between key German media and influencers called 'CDU Media Night' hosted by the political party CDU. These costs totalled approximately £1,000 (2015: nil). Otherwise, the group has not given any money for political purposes in the United Kingdom and made no donations to EU political organisations and incurred no EU political expenditure during the year.

The group made contributions to non-EU political parties totalling £0.4 million during the year (2015: £0.5 million). These contributions were made exclusively to federal and state candidates and committees in North America (consistent with applicable laws), where it is common practice to make political contributions. No particular political persuasion was supported and contributions were made with the aim of promoting a better understanding of the group and its views on commercial matters, as well as a generally improved business environment.

Going concern

The Directors confirm that, after making appropriate enquiries, they have reasonable expectation that the group has adequate resources to continue in operational existence. Accordingly, they continue to adopt the going concern basis in preparing the financial statements. Further information on going concern is given in this Annual Report under note 1 Accounting information and policies – going concern. Although not assessed over the same period as the going concern, the viability of the group has been assessed above.

Management's report on internal control over financial reporting

Management, under the supervision of the Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate control over the group's financial reporting.

Management has assessed the effectiveness of Diageo's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13(a)-13(f) and 15(d)-15(f) under the US Securities Exchange Act of 1934) based on the framework in 'Internal Control – Integrated Framework', issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organisations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in 2013. Based on this assessment, management concluded that, as at 30 June 2016, internal control over financial reporting was effective.

During the period covered by this report, there were no changes in internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC), an independent registered public accounting firm, who also audit the group's consolidated financial statements, has audited the effectiveness of the group's internal control over financial reporting, and has issued an unqualified report thereon, which is included in PwC's integrated audit report below and which will be included in the company's Form 20-F to be filed with the SEC.

Directors' responsibilities in respect of the Annual Report and financial statements

The Directors are responsible for preparing the Annual Report, the information filed with the SEC on Form 20-F and the group and parent company financial statements in accordance with applicable law and regulations.

Responsibility statement

Each of the Directors, whose names are set out in the Board of Directors and Executive Committee section of this Annual Report, confirms that to the best of his or her knowledge:

- the Annual Report and Accounts for the year ended 30 June 2016, taken as a whole, is fair, balanced and understandable, and provides the information necessary for shareholders to assess the group's position and performance, business model and strategy;
- the consolidated financial statements contained in the Annual Report and Accounts for the year ended 30 June 2016, which have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB and as adopted for use in the EU, give a true and fair view of the assets, liabilities, financial position and profit of the group; and
- the management report represented by the Directors' Report contained in the Annual Report and Accounts for the year ended 30 June 2016 includes a fair review of the development and performance of the business and the position of the group, together with a description of the principal risks and uncertainties that the group faces.

The responsibility statement was approved by the Board of Directors on 27 July 2016.

Directors' attendance record at the AGM, scheduled Board meetings and Board committee meetings, for the year ended 30 June 2016 was as set out in the table below. For Board and Board committee meetings, attendance is expressed as the number of meetings attended out of the number that each Director was eligible to attend.

	Annual General Meeting 2015	Board (maximum 6)[iii]	Audit Committee (maximum 4)	Nomination Committee (maximum 7)[iv]	Remuneration Committee (maximum 4)
Dr Franz B Humer	✓	6/6	4/4[i]	3/3	4/4[i]
Ivan Menezes	✓	6/6	2/2[ii]	3/3[i]	4/4[ii]
Deirdre Mahlan	✓	3/3	1/1[i]	n/a	n/a
Kathryn Mikells	n/a	3/3	3/3[i]	n/a	n/a
Lord Davies	✓	6/6	4/4	7/7	4/4
Peggy Bruzelius	✓	6/6	4/4	6/7	4/4
Laurence Danon	×	1/2	1/1	1/2	1/1
Betsy Holden	✓	6/6	4/4	7/7	4/4
Ho KwonPing	✓	6/6	3/4	5/7	4/4
Nicola Mendelsohn	×	5/6	4/4	5/7	4/4
Philip Scott	✓	6/6	4/4	7/7	4/4
Alan Stewart	✓	6/6	4/4	7/7	4/4
Emma Walmsley	n/a	2/2	2/2	3/3	2/2

(i) Attended by invitation.
(ii) Attended by invitation, for part only.
(iii) Where Non-Executive Directors were unable to attend a meeting they gave their views to the Chairmen of the respective meetings ahead of the meetings being held. Nicola Mendelsohn and Laurence Danon were unable to attend the 2015 AGM as a result of, respectively, a religious holiday and a prior engagement. A Sub-Committee meeting of the Audit Committee was also held involving P G Scott and P B Bruzelius.
(iv) Four meetings of the Nomination Committee were held on Chairman succession.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Letter from the Chairman of the Audit Committee

Dear Shareholder

On behalf of the Audit Committee, I am pleased to present its report for the year ended 30 June 2016.

The purpose of this report is to describe how the Committee has carried out its responsibilities during the year.

In overview, the role of the Audit Committee is to monitor and review: the integrity of the company's financial statements; internal control and risk management; audit and risk programmes; business conduct and ethics; 'whistleblowing'; and the appointment of the external auditor.

The work of the Committee during the year included various matters referred to in last year's report. The Committee oversaw compliance with both the updated version of the UK Corporate Governance Code (Code) (notably the requirements for a Viability Statement and increased disclosure on risk) and the Financial Reporting Council's new 'Guidance on Risk management, Internal Control and related Financial and Business Reporting' (which replaced the 'Turnbull' and other guidance). In addition the Committee oversaw the transition to the new auditors, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC).

With regard to the outcome of the UK referendum, to leave the European Union, the Committee will monitor the situation, with a view to ensuring that any resulting risks to the Company are properly managed.

In discharging its duties, the Audit Committee seeks to balance independent oversight of the matters within its remit with providing support and guidance to management. I remain confident that the Committee, supported by members of senior management and the external auditors, has carried out its duties in the year under review, effectively and to a high standard.

Philip G Scott
Chairman of the Audit Committee

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Role of the Audit Committee
The formal role of the Audit Committee is set out in its terms of reference, which are available at www.diageo.com/en-row/ourbusiness/aboutus/corporategovernance. Key elements of the role of the committee and work carried out during the year are set out as follows.

Financial statements
During the year, the Audit Committee met four times (and a sub-committee met once) and reviewed the annual reports and associated preliminary year end results announcement, focusing on key areas of judgement and complexity, critical accounting policies, provisioning and any changes required in these areas or policies. In addition, the Audit Committee reviewed the interim results announcement, which included the interim financial statements and oversaw the transition to the new auditors, PwC.

The company has in place internal control and risk management systems in relation to the company's financial reporting process and the group's process for preparation of consolidated accounts. A review of the consolidated financial statements is completed by management (through the work of its filings assurance committee (FAC)) to ensure that the financial position and results of the group are appropriately reflected therein. The Audit Committee reviewed the work of the FAC and a report on the conclusions of the FAC process was provided to the Audit Committee by the Chief Financial Officer.

Significant issues and judgements that were considered in respect of the 2016 financial statements were as follows. These include the matters relating to risks disclosed in the UK external auditor's report.

- Disclosure on the quality of the earnings and one off tems included in cash flow. The committee agreed that sufficient disclosure was made in the financial statements.
- The committee determined that exceptional items are appropriately classified considering their size and nature, and sufficient disclosure is provided in the financial statements (see note 4).
- Review of carrying value of assets – in particular intangible assets. The committee agreed that an impairment charge of £118 million (excluding tax) be made against the Ypióca brand and related fixed assets and goodwill allocated to the Paraguay, Uruguay and Brazil cash-generating unit but that, otherwise, the fair value of the company's assets was in excess of their carrying value (see notes 6 and 10).
- Exchange rate used to translate operations in Venezuela. The committee determined an appropriate rate used for the year ended 30 June 2016 for consolidation purposes, that represents the best estimation of the rate at which capital and dividend repatriations are expected to be realised (see note 1).
- Disclosure on taxation. The committee agreed that the separate presentation of the tax risk and the expansion of the tax note disclosure appropriately addresses the significant change in the international tax environment giving sufficient and transparent information for the users (see page 21 and note 7).
- Review of legal cases. The committee agreed that adequate provision has been made for all material litigation and disputes, based on the currently most likely outcomes, including the litigation summarised in note 18.
- Assumptions used in respect of post employment plans. Having considered advice from external actuaries and assumptions used by companies with comparator plans, the committee agreed that the assumptions used to calculate the income statement and balance sheet assets and liabilities for post employment plans were appropriate (see note 13).
- Viability Statement. The committee noted that severe but plausible risk scenarios had been identified; a robust risk assessment had been carried out; and the group's viability and going concern consideration proved with stress testing. Taking into account the company's balance sheet position, the committee expected the group to be able to meet its liabilities as they fell due over the three-year period ending 30 June 2019. The risk that the group would become insolvent during this timeframe was considered remote. The Committee recommended to the Board that the Viability statement above be approved.

As part of its review of the Annual Report, the committee considered whether the report is 'fair, balanced and understandable' (noting the Code's new reference to 'position' as well as 'performance, business model and strategy'. On the basis of this work, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that it could make the required statement that the Annual Report is 'fair, balanced and understandable'.

Internal control and risk management; audit and risk programme; business conduct and ethics (including 'whistleblowing')
At each of its meetings, the Audit Committee reviewed detailed reports from the heads of the Global Risk & Compliance (GRC) and Global Audit & Risk (GAR) teams (including coverage of the areas mentioned in the title of this section) and had sight of the minutes of meetings of management's Audit & Risk Committee. A key focus for the work of both GRC and GAR during the year and their reporting to the committee, continued to be a review of recent acquisitions. The Committee in turn were thus able to keep under review the development of the controls and compliance framework in acquired companies. The Committee also received regular updates from the group general counsel on significant litigation and from the head of tax on the group's tax profile and key issues.

The GRC reporting included a consideration of key risks and related mitigations, including those set out in the section of this Annual Report dealing with principal risks. Based on this activity during the year, the Audit Committee made a recommendation to the Board covering the nature and extent of the risks it was willing to take to achieve its strategic goals and its internal statement of risk appetite (this was considered also by the Audit & Risk Committee). The Board agreed this recommendation.

Through the activities of the Audit Committee described in this report and its related recommendations to the Board, the Board confirms that it has reviewed the effectiveness of the company's systems of internal control and risk management and that there were no material failings identified and no significant failings identified which require disclosure in this Annual Report.

External auditor
During the year, the Audit Committee reviewed the external audit strategy and the findings of the external auditor from its review of the interim results and its audit of the consolidated financial statements.

The Audit Committee reviews annually the appointment of the auditor (taking into account the auditor's effectiveness and independence and all appropriate guidelines) and makes a recommendation to the Board accordingly. Any decision to open the external audit to tender is taken on the recommendation of the Audit

Committee. There are no contractual obligations that restrict the company's current choice of external auditor.

The company has complied with the provisions of The Statutory Audit Services for Large Companies Market Investigation (Mandatory Use of Competitive Tender Processes and Audit Committee Responsibilities) Order 2014 ('CMA Order') for the financial year ended 30 June 2016.

The Audit Committee assesses the ongoing effectiveness and quality of the external auditor and audit process on the basis of meetings and a questionnaire-based internal review with the finance team and other senior executives. Given that the year under review was PwC's first in office (following a tender last year), the assessment will be carried out later in 2016.

The group has a policy on auditor independence and on the use of the external auditor for non-audit services, which is reviewed annually, most recently in July 2016. The review took into consideration the new regulations on non-audit services. Under this policy, the provision of any non-audit service must be approved by the Audit Committee, unless the proposed service is both expected to cost less than £250,000 and also falls within one of a number of service categories which the Audit Committee has pre-approved. Fees paid to the auditor for audit, audit related and other services are analysed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements. The nature and level of all services provided by the external auditor is a factor taken into account by the Audit Committee when it reviews annually the independence of the external auditor.

'Financial expert' and other attendees

For the purposes of the Code and the relevant rule under SOX, section 407, the Board has determined that Philip Scott is independent and may be regarded as an Audit Committee financial expert.

The Chairman, the Chief Financial Officer, the group general counsel the group financial controller, the head of GAR, the GRC director, the group chief accountant and the external auditor regularly attend meetings of the committee.

The Audit Committee met privately with the external auditor and with the head of global audit and risk as appropriate.

Training and deep dives

During the year, the Audit Committee had a risk review and training session, presented by senior executives, on data protection. In addition, as part of a Board meeting, Committee members had a presentation from senior management on the priorities and challenges of the Europe and GB businesses. The Committee concluded at the time that it was satisfied with the Company's position on these matters but that they would be kept under review.

DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION REPORT

Annual statement by the Chairman of the Remuneration Committee

Dear Shareholder

As Chairman of the Remuneration Committee, I am pleased to present the Directors' remuneration report for the year ended 30 June 2016. This report complies with the UK Directors' Remuneration Reporting Regulations 2013 and contains:

- The Directors' remuneration policy, as approved by shareholders at the AGM in September 2014.
- The annual report on remuneration, describing how the remuneration policy has been put into practice during the year ended 30 June 2016.

There have been no changes to the Directors' remuneration policy, as approved by shareholders at the 2014 AGM, and it is reproduced in full for both ease of reference and to provide context to the decisions taken by the Committee during the year. The annual remuneration report will be put forward for your consideration and approval by advisory vote at the AGM on 21 September 2016.

Diageo's remuneration principles

The principles underpinning executive remuneration remain fundamentally unchanged, with sustainable performance and long-term value creation for shareholders at the heart of our remuneration policies and practices:

- **Delivery of business strategy:** Short- and long-term incentive plans for Executive Directors and senior managers reward the achievement of Diageo's business strategy and performance goals.
- **Consistent performance:** The focus is on the delivery of performance in a consistent and responsible way which also creates long-term value for our shareholders. Alignment between the interests of Executive Directors and shareholders remains a key principle, with Executive Directors required to acquire and hold Diageo shares over the long term.
- **Performance-related compensation:** Reward components offer a balanced mix of short- and long-term incentives conditional upon achieving stretching performance targets. Performance measures such as organic net sales, organic operating margin, cash efficiency, relative total shareholder return (TSR) and earnings per share (eps) growth are key drivers of growth for the business that are aligned with the creation of shareholder value.
- **Competitive total remuneration:** Reward levels are framed in the context of total remuneration packages paid by relevant global comparators. In competition with similar global companies, the ability to recruit and retain the best talent from all over the world is critical to Diageo's continued business success.
- **Simplicity and transparency:** The Committee seeks to embed simplicity and transparency in the design and delivery of executive reward programmes. Performance targets clearly align with the company's short- and long-term goals.

Focus and highlights in 2016

The Committee continued its focus on:

- Understanding and responding to shareholder feedback and fostering continuous open dialogue;
- Reviewing and assessing the ongoing appropriateness of the current remuneration policy, executive plan design and target stretch; and
- Ensuring that remuneration arrangements continue to attract and retain the highest quality global talent with a clear link between performance and reward.

The Committee undertook a comprehensive review of total remuneration for Executive Directors and Executive Committee members ahead of the 2016 annual salary review, and, supported by the Committee's third party remuneration advisers, Kepler (a brand of Mercer), were satisfied that the shape and levels of our remuneration practice are appropriately positioned against those of comparator companies of similar size and global scope.

The Chief Executive, Ivan Menezes, requested that the company contribution to his retirement benefit plan be reduced from 40% to 30% of salary. This change was implemented on 1 July 2016. There was no compensatory payment or benefit in exchange for this reduction in contribution.

On 9 November 2015, Kathryn Mikells joined the company and was appointed Chief Financial Officer. In accordance with the approved remuneration policy, the Committee agreed a remuneration package that was in line with current practice at the Executive Committee level in terms of the mix of fixed and variable remuneration and also appropriately positioned against the external market.

During the year, the Committee also reviewed and increased the Chairman's fee from £500,000 to £600,000 per annum effective from 1 January 2016, following five successive years of no increases. The Chairman's fee is appropriately positioned against our comparator group of FTSE30 companies excluding financial services. The next review is scheduled for December 2017. Dr Franz B Humer will step down as Chairman of the Board on 1 January 2017 and will be succeeded by Javier Ferrán, who joined the Board on 22 July 2016. Javier Ferrán's fee from 1 January 2017 will be £600,000 per annum.

Following a comprehensive review of competitive market data, the Board also reviewed the fees for Non-Executive Directors and increased the basic fee from £84,000 to £87,000 per annum and the additional Senior Non-Executive Director fee from £20,000 to £25,000 per annum, also effective from 1 January 2016.

The clawback provisions in respect of annual incentive and long-term incentive awards to the Executive Directors have now been extended to all members of the Executive Committee, in addition to the existing malus provisions that apply to all participants under the Diageo Long-term Incentive Plan, as approved by the Committee last year.

Reward in 2016 at a glance

Base salary	2% increase for both the Chief Executive and the Chief Financial Officer in October 2016 (versus budgeted 2.2% in the United Kingdom and 3% in North America for the wider workforce)
Allowances and benefits	Unchanged from last year
Retirement benefits	Unchanged from last year
Annual incentive	Pay-out above target, delivering 64.8% and 69.8% of maximum opportunity for the Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officer respectively
Long-term incentives	30.6% vesting of performance shares and nil vesting of share options

As a reflection of the company's delivery of a good set of results in the year (see key performance indicators on pages 8 and 9), total variable pay to the Executive Directors in respect of the year ended 30 June 2016 is higher than the year ended 30 June 2015.

Over the period 1 July 2013 to 30 June 2016 (the performance period for long-term incentive awards that vest this year in September 2016), Diageo's share price grew by 7.9%, from 1933.5 pence to 2086.5 pence, and the company paid a total dividend of 160.0 pence per share. Dividend distribution to shareholders in the year ended 30 June 2016 increased by 7.6% compared to the previous year.

Planned for 2017

Looking ahead to the year ending 30 June 2017, we will continue to operate executive remuneration arrangements in line with the approved remuneration policy. No changes are proposed to the design of the annual or long-term incentive plan for the year ahead.

We will be reviewing our remuneration policy and actively engaging with shareholders and their advisory bodies in advance of putting the policy to shareholder vote at the 2017 AGM.

As you read our remuneration policy and annual remuneration report on the following pages, I hope it is clear how the Committee's decisions support the business strategy and the delivery of Diageo's performance ambition.

We were very pleased to receive a very strong vote in favour of our remuneration report last year. I highly value the direct engagement and feedback from our shareholders and their representative bodies on Diageo's remuneration policy and look forward to welcoming you and receiving your support again at the AGM this year.

Lord Davies of Abersoch
Senior independent Non-Executive Director and
Chairman of the Remuneration Committee

DIRECTORS' REMUNERATION POLICY

This section of the report sets out the policy for Executive Directors' remuneration. The policy was put to shareholders for approval in a binding vote at the AGM in 2014, in accordance with section 439A of the Companies Act 2006, and formally came into effect from 18 September 2014, the date of the AGM. The policy section of the report below is as disclosed in the 2014 Directors' remuneration report, with the exception of the reference to the number of employees across Diageo, a minor addition to the DLTIP section of the policy table to reflect the clarification note released following publication of the 2014 Directors' remuneration report, updates to the illustrations of remuneration policy charts to reflect projected remuneration for 2017 and amendments to reflect the change in incumbent for the Chief Financial Officer role. The remuneration policy as disclosed in the 2014 Directors' remuneration report can be found on the Diageo website at: http://www.diageo.com/en-row/newsmedia/Pages/resource.aspx?resourceid=2320.

Remuneration policy framework

The remuneration structures and performance measures used in executive incentive plans are designed to support Diageo's business strategy as follows:

- *Focused on consistent growth drivers:* As a public limited company, Diageo has a fiduciary responsibility to maximise long-term value for shareholders. Thus, variable elements of remuneration are dependent upon the achievement of performance measures that are identified as key consistent and responsible growth drivers for the business and that are aligned with the creation of shareholder value.
- *Variable with performance:* A significant proportion of total remuneration for the Executive Directors is linked to business and individual performance so that remuneration will increase or decrease in line with performance.
- *Share ownership:* Full participation in incentives is conditional upon building up a significant personal shareholding in Diageo to ensure the company's leaders think and act like owners.
- *Cost effectiveness:* Fixed elements of remuneration are determined by reference to the median of the market, individual experience and performance, and other relevant factors to ensure competitiveness while controlling fixed costs to maximise efficiency.

Future policy table

Set out below is the remuneration policy for Executive Directors which has been applied from the date of shareholder approval at the AGM on 18 September 2014.

Base salary

Purpose and link to strategy

Supports the attraction and retention of the best global talent with the capability to deliver Diageo's strategy and performance goals.

Operation

- Normally reviewed annually or following a change in responsibilities with changes usually taking effect from 1 October.
- The Remuneration Committee considers the following parameters when reviewing base salary levels:
  - Pay increases for other employees across the group;
  - Economic conditions and governance trends;
  - The individual's performance, skills and responsibilities;
  - Base salaries (and total remuneration) at companies of similar size and international scope to Diageo, with roles typically benchmarked against the top 30 companies in the FTSE100 by market capitalisation excluding companies in the financial services sector, or against similar comparator groups in other locations dependent on the Executive Director's home market.

Opportunity

Salary increases will normally be in line with increases awarded to other employees in relevant markets in which Diageo operates, typically the United Kingdom and the United States, unless there is a change in role or responsibility, or the need to align an Executive Director's salary to market level over time (provided the increase is merited by the individual's contribution and performance).

Benefits

Purpose and link to strategy

Provides market competitive and cost effective benefits.

Operation

- The provision of benefits depends on the country of residence of the Executive Director and may include a company car or car allowance, the provision of a car and contracted car service or equivalent, product allowance, life insurance, accidental death & disability insurance, medical cover for the Executive Director and family and financial counselling.
- The Remuneration Committee has discretion to offer additional allowances, or benefits, to Executive Directors, if considered appropriate and reasonable. These may include relocation expenses, housing allowance and school fees where a Director has to relocate from his/her home location as part of their appointment.

Opportunity

The benefits package is set at a level which the Remuneration Committee considers:

- Provides an appropriate level of benefits depending on the role and individual circumstances; and
- Is in line with comparable roles in companies of a similar size and complexity in the relevant market.

Post-retirement provisions

Purpose and link to strategy

Provides cost-effective, competitive post-retirement benefits.

Operation

- Provision of market competitive pension arrangements or a cash alternative based on a percentage of base salary.
- Further detail on current pension provisions for Executive Directors is disclosed in the annual report on remuneration.

Opportunity

- The maximum company pension contribution is 30% of base salary for any new external appointments to an Executive Director position.
- Current legacy company contributions for Ivan Menezes and Deirdre Mahlan in the year ended 30 June 2016 were 40% and 35% of base salary, respectively. At his request, Ivan Menezes' company contribution was reduced from 40% to 30% effective 1 July 2016. Kathryn Mikells' company contribution in the year ended 30 June 2016 was 20% of base salary.

Annual incentive plan (AIP)

Purpose and link to strategy

Incentivises year-on-year delivery of Diageo's annual financial and strategic targets. Provides focus on key financial metrics and the individual's contribution to the company's performance.

Operation

- Performance measures and stretching targets are set annually by the Remuneration Committee by reference to the annual operating plan.
- The level of award is determined with reference to Diageo's overall financial and strategic performance and individual performance and is paid out in cash after the end of the financial year.
- The Committee has discretion to amend the level of payment if it is not deemed to reflect appropriately the individual's contribution or the overall business performance. Any discretionary adjustments will be detailed in the following year's annual report on remuneration.
- The Committee has discretion to apply clawback to bonus, i.e. the company may seek to recover bonus paid, in exceptional circumstances such as gross misconduct or gross negligence during the performance period.
- Details of the AIP are set out in the annual report on remuneration on pages 75 and 76.

Opportunity

For threshold performance, up to 50% of salary may be earned, with up to 100% of salary earned for on-target performance and a maximum of 200% of salary payable for outstanding performance.

Performance conditions

Annual incentive plan awards are based 70%-90% on financial measures which may include, but are not limited to, measures of revenue, profit and cash and 10%-30% on broader objectives based on individual contribution and medium-term strategic goals. Details of the measures and weightings applicable for the year ending 30 June 2017 are set out on page 76. Details of the targets will be disclosed retrospectively in next year's annual report on remuneration, when they are no longer deemed commercially sensitive by the Board.

Diageo long-term incentive plan (DLTIP)

Purpose and link to strategy

Provides focus on delivering superior long-term returns to shareholders.

Operation

- An annual grant of performance shares and/or market price share options which vest subject to a performance test and continued employment normally over a period of three years.
- Measures and stretching targets are reviewed annually by the Remuneration Committee for each new award. Details of the measures, weightings and targets applicable for the financial year under review are provided in the annual report on remuneration.
- Following vesting there is a further retention period of two years. Executive Directors are able to exercise an option or sell sufficient shares to cover any tax liability when an award vests, provided they retain the net shares arising for the two-year retention period.
- Notional dividends accrue on performance share awards to the extent that the performance conditions have been met, delivered as shares or cash at the discretion of the Remuneration Committee at the end of the vesting period.
- The Committee has discretion to reduce the number of shares which vest (subject to HMRC rules regarding approved share options), for example in the event of a material performance failure, or a material restatement of the accounts. There is an extensive malus clause for awards made from September 2014. The Committee has discretion to decide that:
  - the number of shares subject to the award will be reduced;
  - the award will lapse;
  - retention shares (i.e. vested shares subject to the additional two-year retention period) will be forfeited;
  - vesting of the award or the end of any retention period will be delayed (e.g. until an investigation is completed);
  - additional conditions will be imposed on the vesting of the award or the end of the retention period; and/or
  - any award, bonus or other benefit which might have been granted or paid to the participant in any later year will be reduced or not awarded.

Malus provisions will apply up to delivery of shares at the end of the retention period (as opposed to the vesting date).

- Further details of the DLTIP are set out in the annual report on remuneration on pages 76-79.

Opportunity

- The maximum annual grant is 500% of salary in performance share equivalents (where a market price option is valued at one-third of a performance share). As clarified in the statement of further information on 15 August 2014, under the DLTIP no more than 375% of salary will be awarded in face value terms in options to any Executive Director in any year.
- Threshold vesting level of 20% of maximum with straight-line vesting up to 100% at maximum for financial metrics and a ranking profile for relative total shareholder return.

Diageo long-term incentive plan (DLTIP)

Performance conditions

- The vesting of awards is linked to a range of measures which may include, but are not limited to:
  - a growth measure (e.g. net sales, eps);
  - a measure of efficiency (e.g. operating margin, operating cash conversion, return on invested capital (ROIC)); and
  - a measure of Diageo's relative performance in relation to its peers (e.g. relative total shareholder return).

Measures that apply to performance shares and market price options may differ, as is the case for current awards. Weightings may vary year-on-year, subject to a minimum weighting of 25% of the total award. Details of the measures, including targets for the awards to be made in September 2016 are set out on page 79.

- The Remuneration Committee has discretion to amend the performance conditions in exceptional circumstances if it considers it appropriate to do so, e.g. in cases of accounting changes, M&A activities and disposals. Any such amendments would be fully disclosed and explained in the following year's annual report on remuneration.

All-employee share plans

Purpose and link to strategy

To encourage broader employee share ownership through locally approved plans.

Operation

- The company operates tax-efficient all-employee share savings plans in various jurisdictions.
- Executive Directors' eligibility may depend on their country of residence, tax status and employment company.

Opportunity

Limits for all employee share plans are set by the tax authorities. The company may choose to set its own lower limits.

Performance conditions

UK Freeshares: based on Diageo plc financial measures which may include, but are not limited to, measures of revenue, profit and cash.

Shareholding requirement

Purpose and link to strategy

Ensures alignment between the interests of Executive Directors and shareholders.

Operation

- The minimum shareholding requirement is 300% of base salary for the Chief Executive and 250% of base salary for any other Executive Directors.
- Executive Directors have five years from their appointment to the Board in which to build up their shareholding.
- Full participation in the DLTIP is conditional upon meeting this requirement beyond the five-year timeframe.

NOTES TO THE POLICY TABLE

Performance measures and targets

Further details of AIP performance measures and DLTIP performance measures and targets that will apply for awards made in September 2016, and how they are aligned with company strategy and the creation of shareholder value, are set out in the annual report on remuneration, on pages 76 and 79.

Performance targets are set to be stretching yet achievable, and take into account the company's strategic priorities and business environment. The Committee sets targets based on a range of reference points including the corporate strategy and broker forecasts for both Diageo and its peers.

Differences in remuneration policy for other employees

The remuneration approach for Executive Directors is consistent with the reward package for members of the Executive Committee and the senior management population.

Generally speaking, a much higher proportion of total remuneration for the Executive Directors is linked to business performance, compared to the rest of the employee population, so that remuneration will increase or decrease in line with business performance and to align the interests of Executive Directors and shareholders. The structure of the reward package for the wider employee population is based on the principle that it should be sufficient to attract and retain the best talent and be competitive within our broader industry, remunerating employees for their contribution linked to our holistic performance whilst mindful not to over-pay. It is driven by local market practice as well as level of seniority and accountability, reflecting the global nature of Diageo's business.

Illustrations of application of the remuneration policy

The graphs below illustrate scenarios for the projected total remuneration of Executive Directors at three different levels of performance: minimum, on-target and maximum. Note that the projected values exclude the impact of any share price movements. These charts reflect projected remuneration for the financial year ending 30 June 2017.



Basis of calculation and assumptions:

The 'Minimum' scenario shows fixed remuneration only, i.e. base salary for financial year 2017, total value of contractually agreed benefits for 2017, and pension. The pension value is based on the estimated pension benefits accrued over the financial year ending 30 June 2017. These are the only elements of the Executive Directors' remuneration packages which are not subject to performance conditions.

The 'On-target' scenario shows fixed remuneration as above, plus a target pay-out of 50% of the maximum annual bonus and threshold performance vesting for long-term incentive awards.

The 'Maximum' scenario reflects fixed remuneration, plus full pay-out of annual and long-term incentives.

Approach to recruitment remuneration

The Remuneration Committee's overarching principle for recruitment remuneration is to pay no more than is necessary to attract an Executive Director of the calibre required to shape and deliver Diageo's business strategy in recognition that Diageo competes for talent in a global marketplace. The Committee will seek to align the remuneration package with Diageo's remuneration policy as laid out above, but retains the discretion to offer a remuneration package which is necessary to meet the individual circumstances of the recruited Executive Director and to enable the hiring of an individual with the necessary skills and expertise. However, except as described below, variable pay will follow the policy.

Diageo is a global organisation operating in more than 180 countries around the world. The ability, therefore, to recruit and retain the best talent from all over the world is critical to the future success of the business. People diversity in all its forms is a core element of Diageo's global talent strategy and, managed effectively, is a key driver that will deliver Diageo's performance ambition.

On appointment of an external Executive Director, the Committee may decide to compensate for variable remuneration elements the Director forfeits when leaving their current employer. In doing so, the Committee will ensure that any such compensation would have a fair value no higher than that of the awards forfeited, and would generally be determined on a comparable basis taking into account factors including the form in which the awards were granted, performance conditions attached, the probability of the awards vesting (e.g. past, current and likely future performance) as well as the vesting schedules. Depending on individual circumstances at the time, the Committee has the discretion to determine the type of award (i.e. cash, shares or options, holding period and whether or not performance conditions would apply).

Any such award would be fully disclosed and explained in the following year's annual report on remuneration. When exercising its discretion in establishing the reward package for a new Executive Director, the Committee will very carefully consider the balance between the need to secure an individual in the best interests of the company against the concerns of investors about the quantum in the remuneration and, if considered appropriate at the time, will consult with the company's biggest shareholders. The Remuneration Committee will provide timely disclosure of the reward package of any new Executive Director.

In the event that an internal candidate was promoted to the Board, legacy terms and conditions would normally be honoured, including pension entitlements and any outstanding incentive awards.

Service contracts and policy on payment for loss of office (including takeover provisions)

Executive Directors have rolling service contracts, details of which are set out below. These are available for inspection at the company's registered office.

Executive Director	Date of service contract
Ivan Menezes	7 May 2013
Kathryn Mikells	1 October 2015
Deirdre Mahlan	1 July 2010

Notice period	The contracts provide for a period of six months' notice by the Executive Director or 12 months' notice by the company. A payment may be made in lieu of notice equivalent to 12 months' base salary and the cost to the company of providing contractual benefits (excluding incentive plans). The service contracts also provide for the payment of outstanding pay and bonus, if Executive Directors are terminated following a takeover, or other change of control of Diageo plc. If, on the termination date, the Executive Director has exceeded his/her accrued holiday entitlement, the value of such excess may be deducted by the company from any sums due to him/her, except to the extent that such deduction would subject the Executive Director to additional tax under Section 409A of the Code (in the case of Ivan Menezes). If the Executive Director on the termination date has accrued but untaken holiday entitlement, the company will, at its discretion, either require the Executive Director to take such unused holiday during any notice period or make a payment to him/her in lieu of it, provided always that if the employment is terminated for cause then the Executive Director will not be entitled to any such payment. For these purposes, salary in respect of one day of holiday entitlement will be calculated as 1/261 of salary.
Mitigation	The Remuneration Committee may exercise its discretion to require a proportion of the termination payment to be paid in instalments and, upon the Executive Director commencing new employment, to be subject to mitigation except where termination is within 12 months of a takeover, or within such 12 months the Executive Director leaves due to a material diminution in status. In the case of Deirdre Mahlan, the mitigation provision may be excluded in the event of termination as a result of being located permanently outside the United Kingdom and Ireland.
Annual incentive plan (AIP)	Where the Executive Director leaves for reasons including retirement, death in service, disability, ill-health, injury, redundancy, transfer out of the group and other circumstances at the Remuneration Committee's discretion ('Good Leaver Reasons') during the financial year, they are usually entitled to an incentive payment pro-rated for the period of service during the performance period, which is typically payable at the usual payment date. Where the Executive Director leaves for any other reason, no payment will be made. The amount is subject to performance conditions being met and at the discretion of the Committee. The Committee has discretion to determine an earlier payment date, for example on death in service.
Diageo 2014 long-term incentive plan (DLTIP)	When an Executive Director leaves for any reason other than Good Leaver Reasons, all unvested awards generally lapse immediately. In cases where Good Leaver Reasons apply, awards vest on the original vesting date unless the Remuneration Committee decides otherwise (for example in the case of death in service). The retention period for vested awards continues for all leavers other than in cases of disability, ill health or death in service, unless the Remuneration Committee decides otherwise. The proportion of the award released depends on the extent to which the performance condition is met. The number of shares is reduced on a pro-rata basis reflecting the length of time the Executive Director was employed by the company during the performance period, unless the Committee decides otherwise (for example in the case of death in service). On a takeover or other corporate event, awards vest subject to the extent to which the performance conditions are met and, unless the Committee decides otherwise, the awards are time pro-rated. Otherwise the Committee, in agreement with the new company, may decide that awards should be swapped for awards over shares in the new company; where awards are granted in the form of options then on vesting they are generally exercisable for 12 months (or six months for approved options). Awards may be adjusted on a variation of share capital, demerger or other similar event. The Remuneration Committee may amend the plans, except that any changes to the advantage of participants require shareholder approval, unless the change relates to the administration, or taxation of the plan or participants, or is needed to ensure that the plans operate effectively in another jurisdiction. Details of existing awards are set out in the annual report on remuneration.
Repatriation	In cases where an Executive Director was recruited from outside the United Kingdom and has been relocated to the United Kingdom as part of their appointment, the company will pay reasonable costs for the repatriation of Good Leavers.

Existing arrangements

The Remuneration Committee reserves the right to make any remuneration payments and payments for loss of office notwithstanding that they are not in line with the policy set out above where the terms of the payment were agreed (i) before the policy or the relevant legislation came into effect or (ii) at a time when the relevant individual was not a Director of the company and, in the opinion of the Committee, the payment was not in consideration for the individual becoming a Director of the company. For these purposes 'payments' include the Committee satisfying awards of variable remuneration and, in relation to an award over shares, the terms of the payment which are 'agreed' at the time the award is granted (including awards under the PSP and SESOP). Details of outstanding share awards are set out in the annual report on remuneration. For the purposes of section 226D(6) of the Companies Act, the effective date is the end of the financial year starting in 2014.

External appointments

Executive Directors may accept external appointments as Non-Executive Directors of other companies and retain any related fees paid to them, subject to the specific approval of the Board in each case.

Chairman of the Board and Non-Executive Directors

Purpose and link to strategy

Supports the attraction, motivation and retention of world-class talent and reflects the value of the individual, their skills and experience, and performance.

Operation

- Fees for the Chairman and Non-Executive Directors are normally reviewed annually.
- A proportion of the Chairman's annual fee is used for the monthly purchase of Diageo ordinary shares, which have to be retained until the Chairman retires from the company or ceases to be a Director.
- Fees are reviewed in the light of market practice in the top 30 companies in the FTSE100 by market capitalisation (excluding companies in the financial services sector) and anticipated workload, tasks and potential liabilities.
- The Chairman and Non-Executive Directors do not participate in any of the company's incentive plans or receive pension contributions or benefits.
- The Chairman and the Non-Executive Directors are eligible to receive a product allowance or cash equivalent at the same level as the Executive Directors.

Chairman of the Board and Non-Executive Directors

All Non-Executive Directors have letters of appointment. A summary of their terms and conditions of appointment is available at www.diageo.com. The Chairman of the Board, Dr Franz B Humer, commenced his appointment on 1 July 2008. Dr Humer had a letter of appointment for an initial five-year term from 1 July 2008 which has been extended to 31 December 2016. It is terminable on six months' notice by either party or, if terminated by the company, by payment of six months' fees in lieu of notice. Dr Humer will step down as Chairman of the Board on 1 January 2017 and will be replaced by Javier Ferrán, who joined the Board on 22 July 2016.

Opportunity

- Fees for Non-Executive Directors are within the limits set by the shareholders from time to time, currently an aggregate of £1,000,000, as approved by shareholders at the October 2005 AGM. This limit excludes the Chairman's fees.
- Current fee levels are disclosed in the annual report on remuneration.

Consideration of employment conditions elsewhere in the company

When reviewing and determining pay for Executive Directors, the Committee takes into account the level and structure of remuneration as well as salary budgets for other employees in the group. More specifically, the Committee reviews annual salary increase budgets for the general employee population in the United Kingdom and North America as well as the remuneration structure and policy for the global Senior Management population.

Diageo employs 32,078 employees and operates in more than 180 countries around the world. Given its global scale and complexity, the Committee has not consulted directly with employees when designing the remuneration policy for its Executive Directors. Diageo runs annual employee surveys which give employees the opportunity to give feedback and express their views on a variety of topics, including remuneration. Any comments relating to Executive Directors' remuneration are fed back to the Remuneration Committee.

Consideration of shareholder views

The Committee values the continued dialogue with Diageo's shareholders and engages directly with them and their representative bodies at the earliest opportunity when setting out Diageo's remuneration policy and approach, proposed base salary increases for the Executive Directors and targets for the long-term incentive plan award. This year, the company has engaged with shareholders about the base salary proposals for 2016, the fee review for the Chairman and Non-Executive Directors, long-term incentive plan targets for awards to be made in 2016 as well as the buy-out share award to the Chief Financial Officer on appointment to the company.

ANNUAL REPORT ON REMUNERATION

Single total figure of remuneration for Executive Directors (audited)

The table below details the Executive Directors' remuneration for the year ended 30 June 2016.

	Ivan Menezes[i]				Kathryn Mikells[ii]		Deirdre Mahlan[iii]	
Fixed pay	2016 '000	2016 '000	2015 '000	2015 '000	2016 '000	2015 '000	2016 '000	2015 '000
Salary	£1,027	$1,520	£968	$1,520	£419	–	£258	£727
Benefits[iv]	£78	$115	£155	$243	£64	–	£102	£34
Pension[v]	£486	$719	£424	$666	£83	–	£105	£267
Total fixed pay	£1,591	$2,354	£1,547	$2,429	£566		£465	£1,028
Performance related pay								
Annual incentive	£1,330	$1,969	£535	$840	£585	–	£363	£428
Long-term incentives [vi]	£1,162	$1,720	£1,380	$2,167	£1,660	–	£245	£870
Other incentives[vii]	–	–	–	–	–	–	£3	£4
Total remuneration for Executive Director appointment	£4,083	$6,043	£3,462	$5,436	£2,811	–	£1,076	£2,330
Other performance related pay								
(Granted prior to appointment as Executive Director – performance conditions relate to previous role)								
Long-term incentives [viii]	£357	$528	£330	$518	–	–	–	–
TOTAL SINGLE FIGURE	£4,440	$6,571	£3,792	$5,954	£2,811	–	£1,076	£2,330

Notes

(i) The amounts shown in sterling are converted using the cumulative weighted average exchange rate for the respective financial year. For the year ended 30 June 2015, the exchange rate was £1 = $1.57 and for the year ended 30 June 2016 the exchange rate was £1 = $1.48.

(ii) Kathryn Mikells was appointed as Chief Financial Officer on 9 November 2015, replacing Deirdre Mahlan.

(iii) Deirdre Mahlan stepped down from the board and was appointed President, North America on the Executive Committee on 9 November 2015. Deirdre Mahlan's remuneration has been pro-rated to reflect the period 1 July 2015 to 9 November 2015.

(iv) Benefits is the gross value of all taxable benefits. For Ivan Menezes, these include medical insurance (£17k), company car allowance (£17k), chauffeur (£8k), financial counselling (£33k), product allowance, flexible benefits allowance and life and long-term disability cover. Deirdre Mahlan's benefits include flexible benefits allowance (£6k), contracted car service (£6k), financial counselling (£7k), medical insurance, life cover, product allowance and the cost of relocating her from the United Kingdom to the United States (£81k). Kathryn Mikells' benefits include flexible benefits allowance (£13k), financial counselling (£24k), contracted car service, life cover, product allowance and relocation costs in relation to her move from the United States to the United Kingdom (£25k).

(v) Pension benefits earned during the year represent the increase in the pension fund balances over the year in the Diageo North America Inc. pension plans over and above the increase due to inflation. As Ivan Menezes has been a deferred member of the Diageo Pension Scheme (DPS) in the United Kingdom since 31 January 2012, and receives standard statutory increases in deferment the UK pension amount that accrued over the two years in excess of inflation is nil. Kathryn Mikells became a director and started accruing benefits in the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) with effect from 9 November 2015. The pension input amount for the year ended 30 June 2016 only reflects the period from 9 November 2015 and Kathryn Mikells did not build up any pension benefits prior to that point. Deirdre Mahlan's accrued benefits over the year ended 30 June 2016 have been pro-rated to reflect the period of time she was an Executive Director from 1 July 2015 to 9 November 2015. In previous years, Ivan Menezes' and Deirdre Mahlan's deferred pension benefits in the US Cash Balance Plan and the Benefits Supplemental Plan (BSP) have been disclosed although benefits accrual ceased in August 2012 and June 2010 respectively. On further review, and in line with the disclosure requirements, these deferred benefits have been excluded from 2016 remuneration and 2015 has been restated in line with this revised methodology.

(vi) Long-term incentives represent the estimated gain delivered through options and performance shares where performance conditions have been met in the respective financial year. For 2016, this includes performance shares awarded under the PSP in 2013 and due to be released in September 2016 and the estimated value of accrued dividend shares on this award. Though the outcome of the performance conditions is known, the share price on the vesting date is estimated, using the average market value of Diageo shares between 1 April and 30 June 2016 (1875.1 pence for ordinary shares and $108.14 for ADRs) for the purpose of this calculation. Share options awarded under the SESOP in 2013 all lapsed due to the performance condition not being met. Long-term incentives for 2015 have been adjusted to reflect the actual share price on the date of vesting on 1 October 2015 (1765.5 pence for ordinary shares and $107.50 for ADRs). For further information on the SESOP and PSP performance conditions and vesting outcomes please refer to the 'LTIP awards vesting in the year ended 30 June 2016' section of the report on pages 76 and 77. For Kathryn Mikells, long-term incentives represents the face value of 87,736 time-vesting replacement share awards (not subject to performance conditions) made on 9 November 2015 in recognition of share awards forfeited from her former employer. The average closing share price of an ordinary share over the three dealing days prior to the date of grant was 1892.0 pence.

(vii) Other incentives include the face value of awards made under all-employee share plans. Awards do not have performance conditions attached.

(viii) Ivan Menezes retains interests in long-term incentive awards that were granted to him in 2012, prior to joining the board under 'below-board' plans (Discretionary Incentive Plan), details of which are shown on page 78. The value of the second tranche of the award based on performance for the year ended 30 June 2016 is shown in the table above and calculated on the basis of the average market value of Diageo shares between 1 April and 30 June 2016 ($108.14 for ADRs). The value of the part of the award based on continuing employment for the year ended 30 June 2016 is not included in the table above and amounts to 14,642 ADRs. The second tranche of the award will vest on 8 March 2017. For 2015, the value of the first tranche of the award that vested on 8 March 2016 has been restated to account for the share price on the date of vesting ($106.14 for ADRs).

Salary

Salary increases to be applied in the year ending 30 June 2017

In June 2016, the Remuneration Committee reviewed base salaries for senior management and agreed new salaries which will apply from 1 October 2016. In determining these salaries, the Remuneration Committee took into consideration a number of factors including general employee salary budgets and employment conditions, individual performance and experience, and salary positioning relative to internal and external peers. The overall budgeted salary increase for the salary review in October 2016 is 2.2% of base salary for the business in the United Kingdom and 3% in North America.

The Committee considered very carefully the total remuneration positioning of the Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officer, the salary budget for all employees in the United Kingdom and the expectations of shareholders with respect to continuing pay restraint. As a result, it was agreed that there would be a 2% salary increase for both the Chief Executive and the Chief Financial Officer, effective from 1 October 2016.

	Ivan Menezes		Kathryn Mikells[i]	
Salary at 1 October ('000)	2016	2015	2016	2015
Base salary	$1,550	$1,520	£663	£650
% increase (over previous year)	2%	0%	2%	–

(i) For Kathryn Mikells, the 2015 salary refers to her salary on appointment on 9 November 2015.

Annual incentive plan (AIP) (audited)

AIP payout for the year ended 30 June 2016

Performance against the group financial measures and the Individual Business Objectives (IBOs), as assessed by the Remuneration Committee, is described below.

The overall level of performance achieved resulted in an AIP award equating to 129.6% of base salary for Ivan Menezes and 139.6% of base salary for both Kathryn Mikells and Deirdre Mahlan (pro-rated to reflect the period of their appointments on the Board). The actual awards received in respect of their Executive Director appointments are shown in the 'single total figure of remuneration' table on page 74.

Annual incentive plan (AIP) outcome in the year ended 30 June 2016

Diageo group[i] (80% of total AIP opportunity)		Threshold	Target	Maximum	Payout (% of total AIP opportunity)
Net sales measure[ii] (% growth) (25% of total)	Performance target	1.9%	3.9%	5.8%	
	Actual performance	3.2%			
	AIP opportunity	6.25%	12.50%	25.00%	10.4%
Profit before exceptional items and tax measure[iii] (% growth) (25% of total)	Performance target	4.6%	7.0%	9.2%	
	Actual performance	9.6%			
	AIP opportunity	6.25%	12.50%	25.00%	25.0%
Operating cash conversion measure[iv] (%) (30% of total)	Performance target	97.0%	102.0%	107.0%	
	Actual performance	104.3%			
	AIP opportunity	7.5%	15.0%	30.0%	21.9%
Total Diageo group AIP outcome		20%	40%	80%	57.3%

(i) Performance against the AIP measures is calculated using 2016 budgeted exchange rates in line with management reporting and excludes the impact of IAS 21 in respect of short-term intercompany funding balances and IAS 39 in respect of market value movements as recognised in net finance charges and any exceptional items.
(ii) For AIP purposes, the net sales value measure is calculated after adjustments for acquisitions and disposals.
(iii) For AIP purposes, the profit before exceptional items and tax measure is calculated as operating profit plus earnings from associated companies less net interest, IAS 21/39 adjustments, adjustments for acquisitions and disposals and year-on-year foreign exchange on interest.
(iv) The operating cash conversion measure is calculated by dividing cash generated from operations excluding cash inflows/outflows in respect of exceptional items, dividends, maturing inventories and post-employment payments in excess of the amount charged to operating profit by operating profit before depreciation, amortisation, impairment and exceptional items. The ratio is stated at the budgeted exchange rate for the respective year in line with management reporting and is expressed as a percentage.

		Payout					
Individual	Individual Bonus Objectives (IBOs)[v]	IBOs	Group	Total (% max)	Total (% salary)	Total (£'000)	Total ($'000)
Maximum AIP opportunity		20%	80%	**100%**	200%		
Ivan Menezes CEO	– Delivery of investor critical growth priorities – Deliver a transformation in commercial standards and sales capabilities – Enhance our Corporate Reputation	7.5%	57.3%	**64.8%**	129.6%	£1,330	$1,969
Kathryn Mikells CFO	– Deliver cash targets versus plan – Drive improvements in Working Capital through focus on supply chain interventions – Build support for Diageo investment story of good sustainable performance, including demonstration of commitment to cost	12.5%	57.3%	**69.8%**	139.6%	£585	
Deirdre Mahlan Former CFO	– Deliver cash targets versus plan – Drive improvements in Working Capital through focus on supply chain interventions – Build support for Diageo investment story of good sustainable performance, including demonstration of commitment to cost	12.5%	57.3%	**69.8%**	139.6%	£363	

(v) The Committee assessed the Executive Directors' performance against each of the IBOs and awarded a rating based on whether they had partially met, achieved or exceeded each goal. The average of all IBO ratings (weighted 50% on the first goal and 25% on each of the second and third goals) is shown as the final payout against the IBO element in the table above.

AIP design for the year ending 30 June 2017

The measures and targets used in the AIP are reviewed annually by the Remuneration Committee and are chosen to drive financial and individual business performance goals related to the company's short term strategic operational objectives. The AIP design for the year ending 30 June 2017 will comprise of four measures (weightings in brackets):

- Profit before exceptional items and tax (% growth) (25%): stretching profit targets drive operational efficiency and influence the level of returns that can be delivered to shareholders through increases in share price and dividend income;
- Net sales (25%): year-on-year net sales growth is a key performance measure;
- Operating cash conversion (30%): ensures focus on efficient conversion of profit into cash; and
- Individual business objectives (20%): are measurable deliverables that are specific to the individual and are focused on supporting the delivery of key strategic objectives.

Details of the targets for the performance period ending 30 June 2017 will be disclosed retrospectively in next year's annual report on remuneration, by which time they will no longer be deemed commercially sensitive by the Board.

Long-term incentive plans (LTIPs) (audited)

LTIP awards vesting in the year ended 30 June 2016 (audited)

Until 30 June 2014, long-term incentives were a combination of share options under the Senior Executive Share Option Plan 2008 (SESOP) and performance share awards under the Performance Share Plan 2008 (PSP). Awards were designed to incentivise Executive Directors and senior managers to deliver long-term sustainable performance. Awards made under both sets of plans were subject to performance conditions normally measured over a three-year period. As approved by shareholders at the AGM in September 2014, these plans were replaced by the Diageo Long-Term Incentive Plan (DLTIP) for awards from 2014 onwards.

SESOP – granted in September 2013, vesting in September 2016 (audited)

On 5 September 2013, Ivan Menezes and Deirdre Mahlan received awards of 46,239 (ADRs) and 135,022 (ordinary shares) market price options, respectively, under the SESOP. Awards were subject to a performance condition based on compound annual growth in adjusted eps over a three-year period. For the purpose of the SESOP, an adjusted measure of eps is used to ensure that elements such as exceptional items and the impact of movements in exchange rates are excluded from year-on-year comparisons of performance. Options only vest when stretching adjusted eps targets are achieved. Vesting is on a pro rata basis ranging from a threshold level of 25% to a maximum level of 100%.

The adjusted eps growth targets and actual performance for the 2013 SESOP awards are set out below:

Vesting of 2013 SESOP awards	Target	Vesting (% maximum)
Compound annual adjusted eps growth over 1 July 2013 – 30 June 2016		
Threshold	7%	25%
Maximum	11%	100%
Actual	**1.8%**	**0.0%**

Accordingly, the 2013 SESOP award, which is due to vest in September 2016, has not met the threshold under the performance condition and the options under the award will lapse.

PSP – awarded in September 2013, vesting in September 2016 (audited)

On 5 September 2013, Ivan Menezes and Deirdre Mahlan received awards of 47,484 (ADRs) and 110,241 (ordinary shares) performance shares, respectively, under the PSP. Awards vest after a three-year period subject to the achievement of specified performance tests. Notional dividends accrue on awards and are paid out either in cash or shares in accordance with the vesting schedule.

For the 2013 awards, the primary performance test is split between three equally weighted performance measures:

1. A comparison of Diageo's three-year total shareholder return (TSR) – the percentage growth in Diageo's share price (assuming all dividends and capital distributions are re-invested) – with the TSR of a peer group of international drinks and consumer goods companies. TSR is calculated on a common currency (US dollar) basis;
2. Growth in organic net sales on a compound annual basis; and
3. Total organic operating margin improvement.

For the part of the award subject to the TSR condition to vest, there must also be an improvement in the underlying financial performance of the company. In addition, the Remuneration Committee must be satisfied that performance in both organic net sales and organic operating margin is above an appropriate level before any of the award under either measure can be released.

The targets and vesting profile for the PSP awards granted in September 2013 are shown in the following table:

Vesting of 2013 PSP awards	Threshold	Mid-point	Maximum	Actual	Vesting (% maximum)
Organic net sales (CAGR)	5.0%	6.5%	8.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Organic operating margin improvement	75bps	100bps	125bps	120bps	91.9%
Relative total shareholder return	Median ranking (ninth)	–	Upper quintile (third or above)	15th	0.0%
Vesting (% maximum)	25.0%	62.5%	100%		30.6%

The three conditions are weighted equally. For operating margin and net sales, there is straight-line vesting between threshold and the midpoint, and between the mid-point and the maximum. The full vesting profile for TSR is shown below:

TSR ranking (out of 17)	Vesting profile for PSP awards	Vesting profile for DLTIP performance share awards from 2014
1st, 2nd or 3rd	100%	100%
4th	95%	95%
5th	75%	75%
6th	65%	65%
7th	55%	55%
8th	45%	45%
9th	25%	20%
10th or below	0%	0%

TSR peer group (16 companies)	
AB Inbev	Mondelēz International
Brown Forman	Nestlé
Carlsberg	PepsiCo
Coca-Cola	Pernod Ricard
Colgate-Palmolive	Procter & Gamble
Groupe Danone	Reckitt Benckiser
Heineken	SABMiller
Kimberly-Clark	Unilever

On the basis of this performance, the 2013 PSP award, which is due to vest in September 2016, has partially met the performance conditions and, consequently, the shares under award will vest at 30.6% of the initial award.

The Committee has taken into consideration all factors regarding the underlying quality of the performance of the business at the end of the performance period and is satisfied that the level of vesting is warranted.

Diageo Incentive Plan (DIP) (audited)

Ivan Menezes retains interests in awards under the Diageo Incentive Plan that were granted to him in 2012, prior to his appointment as Executive Director. The number of shares granted to him on 8 March 2012 was 117,142 ADRs. 50% of this award is subject to meeting the midpoint of the targets for the financial measures under the long-term incentive plan over the three-year performance periods ending 30 June 2015, 30 June 2016, 30 June 2017 and 30 June 2018. The remaining 50% is subject to continued satisfactory employment. The financial measures under the performance part of the award are equally weighted. Actual performance for the second tranche of the 2012 DIP award (i.e. the tranche based on performance over the three years to 30 June 2016) versus target is set out below:

Vesting of second performance-based tranche of March 2012 DIP award

Performance measures (equally weighted)	Target	Actual	Vesting (% of maximum)
Organic net sales growth (CAGR)	6.50%	1.0%	0%
Organic operating margin improvement	100bps	120bps	100.0%
Compound annual adjusted eps growth	9%	1.8%	0%
Total			33.3%

As the table shows, 33.3% of the performance related ADRs under the second tranche of the 2012 DIP award will vest in March 2017, subject to continuing employment. The total award that will vest to Ivan Menezes in March 2017 will therefore be 66.6% of the second tranche (including the ADRs that vest on time only), or 19,523 ADRs, provided he remains employed at the time of vesting. The Committee has assessed the underlying performance of the business at the end of the performance period and is satisfied that this level of vesting is warranted. The value of the part of the award based on performance and vesting in March 2017 is included in the single total figure of remuneration.

DLTIP awards made during the year ended 30 June 2016 (audited)

On 3 September 2015, Ivan Menezes and Deirdre Mahlan received awards of 49,825 (ADRs) and 140,515 (ordinary shares) performance shares, respectively and 49,825 (ADRs) and 140,515 (ordinary shares) market price share options, respectively, under the DLTIP; details are provided in the table below. The three-year period over which performance will be measured is 1 July 2015 to 30 June 2018. The performance measures are relative total shareholder return, organic net sales growth, cumulative free cash flow and adjusted eps growth, equally weighted. 20% of the award will vest at threshold, with straight-line vesting up to 100% if the maximum level of performance is achieved.

Executive Director	Date of grant	Plan	Share type	Awards made during the year	Exercise price	Face value '000	Face value (% of salary)
Ivan Menezes	03/09/2015	DLTIP – share options	ADR	49,825	$104.93	$5,700	375%
Ivan Menezes	03/09/2015	DLTIP – performance shares	ADR	49,825	–	$5,700	375%
Deirdre Mahlan	03/09/2015	DLTIP – share options	Ord	140,515	1709p	£2,635	360%
Deirdre Mahlan	03/09/2015	DLTIP – performance shares	Ord	140,515	–	£2,635	360%

The table above specifies the number of performance shares and share options initially awarded under the DLTIP. The proportion of the awards that will vest is dependent upon the achievement of performance conditions, and the actual value may be nil. The vesting outcomes will be disclosed in the 2018 annual report.

The face value of each award has been calculated using the share price at the time of grant. In accordance with the rules, the number of performance shares and share options granted under the DLTIP was calculated by using the average closing share price for the last six months of the preceding financial year (1875 pence for ordinary shares and $114.40 for ADRs). In accordance with the plan rules, the exercise price was calculated using the average closing share price of the three days preceding the grant date (1709 pence for ordinary shares and $104.93 for ADRs). The share price on the date of grant was 1713.5 pence for ordinary shares and $104.30 for ADRs.

DLTIP awards to be made in the year ending 30 June 2017

The long-term incentive plan (DLTIP) was approved by shareholders at the AGM in September 2014.

The long-term incentive plan measures are reviewed annually by the Remuneration Committee and are selected to reward long-term consistent performance in line with Diageo's business strategy and to create alignment with the delivery of value for shareholders. The DLTIP measures for awards to be granted in September 2016 are the same as those that applied to awards made in September 2015 and are:

- Relative total shareholder return: reflects the value of share price growth plus dividends, thus measuring the value returned on shareholder investments;
- Organic net sales: sustained year-on-year organic net sales growth is a key performance measure;
- Cumulative free cash flow: measures the efficiency of cash management;
- Compound annual adjusted eps growth: reflects profitability and is a key measure for shareholders.

The table below outlines the targets and the vesting profile for these awards. The measures are equally weighted, with performance shares subject to performance against relative total shareholder return, organic net sales and cumulative free cash flow, and share options subject to performance against adjusted eps growth. Performance will be tested over three financial years, beginning with the year ending 30 June 2017.

	Performance shares			Share options	
	Relative total shareholder return (25%)	Organic net sales (CAGR) (25%)	Cumulative free cash flow (£m) (25%)	Adjusted eps growth (CAGR) (25%)	Vesting profile
Threshold	Median ranking (ninth)	3.5%	£5,700m	4.0%	20%
Midpoint	–	4.75%	£6,400m	6.75%	60%
Maximum	Upper quintile (third or above)	6.0%	£7,100m	9.5%	100%

It is intended that a performance share award of 375% of base salary and an award of market price share options of 125% of base salary (in performance share equivalents; one market price option is valued at one-third of a performance share) will be made to Ivan Menezes in September 2016.

It is intended that Kathryn Mikells will be awarded a performance share award of 360% of base salary and an award of market price share options of 120% of base salary (in performance share equivalents) in September 2016.

Award on appointment in the year ended 30 June 2016 (audited)

On her appointment as Chief Financial Officer on 9 November 2015, Kathryn Mikells was awarded shares in Diageo plc in recognition of the share awards forfeited under the terms of her previous employer's long-term incentive plans. As prescribed by the approved remuneration policy, the fair value of the replacement award in Diageo shares was no higher than the estimated fair value of the awards being forfeited.

The share awards that Kathryn forfeited on leaving her previous employer had a combined face value of £9.1 million (based on the share price at the time of valuing the forfeited stock) and comprised a number of time-vesting shares vesting on 1 July 2016 and a number of performance shares vesting on 1 January 2017, 1 July 2017 and 1 July 2018. The fair value of awards was estimated at £3.9 million.

Replacement awards in Diageo shares were delivered in a mixture of time-vesting and performance-based restricted shares with vesting staggered over a three-year period, to take account of the vesting schedule of the forfeited stock and to ensure appropriate retention value for the company. The face value of replacement awards was £6.3 million and the fair value was £3.9 million on grant.

As was disclosed to the market at the time, Kathryn Mikells was awarded:

- 43,868 ordinary shares, which will vest on 9 May 2017, subject to continuing employment;
- 43,868 ordinary shares, which will vest on 9 November 2018, subject to continuing employment; and
- 246,300 ordinary shares, which will vest on 9 November 2018, subject to the achievement of performance conditions based on net sales growth, cumulative free cash flow and relative total shareholder return over the three-year period ending 30 June 2018 (the same performance conditions and targets that apply to performance share awards granted in September 2015 under the DLTIP. The share price on award, being the average closing price of an ordinary share over the three dealing days prior to the date of grant, was 1892 pence and the face value noted above is based on this price.

Pension and benefits in the year ended 30 June 2016

Benefits

Benefits provisions for the Executive Directors continue to be in line with the information set out in the future policy table.

Pension arrangements (audited)

Ivan Menezes, Kathryn Mikells and Deirdre Mahlan are members of the Diageo North America Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) with an accrual rate of 40%, 20% and 35% of base salary, respectively during the year ended 30 June 2016. On his request, the accrual rate for Ivan Menezes was reduced from 40% to 30% of salary, effective from 1 July 2016. There will be no compensatory payment or benefit in exchange for this reduction in contribution.

The SERP is an unfunded, non-qualified supplemental retirement programme. Under the plan, accrued company contributions are subject to quarterly interest credits. Under the rules of the SERP, employees can withdraw the balance of the plan in the form of five equal annual instalments or a lump sum upon reaching age 55 (Kathryn Mikells and Deirdre Mahlan) and after having left service with Diageo (within six months of separation from service).

Ivan Menezes and Deirdre Mahlan participated in the US Cash Balance Plan and the Benefit Supplemental Plan (BSP) until August 2012 and June 2010, respectively and have accrued benefits under both plans. The Cash Balance Plan is a qualified funded pension arrangement; employer contributions are 10% of pay capped at the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) limit. The BSP is a non-qualified unfunded arrangement; notional employer contributions are 10% of pay above the IRS limit. Interest (notional for the BSP) is credited quarterly on both plans.

Ivan Menezes was also a member of the Diageo Pension Scheme (DPS) in the United Kingdom between 1 February 1997 and 30 November 1999. The accrual of pensionable service ceased in 1999 but the linkage to salary remained until January 2012. Under the Rules of the Scheme, this benefit is payable unreduced from age 60.

Upon death in service, a life insurance benefit of $3 million is payable to Ivan Menezes and a lump sum of four times base salary is payable to Kathryn Mikells and Deirdre Mahlan.

The table below shows the pension benefits accrued by each Director to date. Note that the accrued UK benefits for Ivan Menezes are annual pension amounts, whereas the accrued US benefits for Ivan Menezes, Kathryn Mikells and Deirdre Mahlan are one-off cash balance amounts.

	30 June 2016		30 June 2015	
Executive Director	UK pension £'000 p.a.	US benefit £'000	UK pension £'000 p.a.	US benefit £'000
Ivan Menezes[i]	69	5,588	69	4,218
Kathryn Mikells[ii]	Nil	92	n/a	n/a
Deirdre Mahlan[iii]	Nil	1,808	Nil	1,239

(i) Ivan Menezes' US benefits are higher at 30 June 2016 than at 30 June 2015 by £1,370k:
(a) £486k of which is due to pension benefits earned over the year (all of which is over and above the increase due to inflation) – as reported in the single figure of remuneration, see page 74;
(b) £61k of which is due to interest earned on his deferred US benefits over the year; and
(c) £823k of which is due to exchange rate movements over the year.

(ii) Kathryn Mikells' US benefits are higher at 30 June 2016 than on her appointment date on 9 November 2015 by £92k:
(a) £83k of which is due to pension benefits earned over the year (all of which is over and above the increase due to inflation) – as reported in the single figure of remuneration, see page 74; and
(b) £9k of which is due to exchange rate movements over the year.

(iii) Deirdre Mahlan's US benefits are higher at 30 June 2016 than at 30 June 2015 by £569k:
(a) £296k of which is due to pension benefits earned over the year (all of which is over and above the increase due to inflation) – which equates to £105k pro-rated for the period 1 July 2015 – 9 November 2015 when she was a Director, as reported in the single figure of remuneration, see page 74;
(b) £14k of which is due to interest earned on her deferred US benefits over the year; and
(c) £259k of which is due to exchange rate movements over the year.

The Normal Retirement Age applicable to each Director's benefits depends on the pension scheme, as outlined below.

Executive Director	UK benefits (DPS)	US benefits (Cash balance)	US benefits (BSP)	US benefits (SERP)
Ivan Menezes[i]	60	65	6 months after age of leaving service	6 months after age of leaving service
Kathryn Mikells	n/a	n/a	n/a	6 months after age of leaving service, or age 55 if later
Deirdre Mahlan	n/a	65	6 months after age of leaving service	6 months after age of leaving service, or age 55 if later

(i) Ivan Menezes is able to take his UK pension benefits from age 58 without consent, and his benefits would not be subject to any actuarial reduction in respect of early payment. However, this is a discretionary policy Diageo offers that is not set out in the DPS Scheme Rules.

Performance graph and table

The graph below shows the total shareholder return for Diageo and the FTSE100 Index since 30 June 2009 and demonstrates the relationship between pay and performance for the Chief Executive, using current and previously published single total remuneration figures. The FTSE100 Index has been chosen because it is a widely recognised performance benchmark for large companies in the United Kingdom.



	Paul S Walsh £'000	Paul S Walsh £'000	Paul S Walsh £'000	Paul S Walsh £'000	Ivan Menezes[i] £'000	Ivan Menezes[i] £'000	Ivan Menezes[i] £'000
Chief Executive total remuneration (includes legacy LTIP awards)	3,231	4,449	11,746	15,557	7,312	3,792	4,440
Annual incentive (% maximum opportunity)	86%	77%	74%	51%	9%	28%	65%
LT – SESOP (% maximum opportunity)	100%	100%	100%	100%	71%	0%	0%
LTI – PSP (% maximum opportunity)	0%	0%	65%	95%	55%	33%	31%

(i) To enable comparison Ivan Menezes' single total figure of remuneration has been converted into sterling using the cumulative average weighted exchange rate for the relevant financial year.

Percentage change in remuneration of the director undertaking the role of Chief Executive

The table below shows a comparison of the percentage change in the Chief Executive's remuneration to the average percentage change in remuneration for the UK and US population from 2015 to 2016. The chosen population represents the most appropriate comparator group for the Chief Executive, as the Committee considers salary increase budgets in these countries when reviewing Executive Directors' base salaries. Furthermore, the majority of Executive Committee members as well as the Executive Directors are on UK or US reward packages.

	Salary	Taxable benefits	Bonus
	% change	% change	% change
Chief Executive percentage change from 2015 to 2016	0%	(53%)	134%
Average % change for the UK and US workforce from 2015 to 2016	3%	0%	101%

The percentage change for the Chief Executive is based on the remuneration of Ivan Menezes from 2015 to 2016. Taxable benefits in 2015 included one-off relocation payments.

UK salary, benefits and bonus data for both 2015 and 2016 have been converted into USD using the cumulative weighted average exchange rate for the year ended 30 June 2016 of £1 = $1.48.

Directors' shareholding requirements and share and other interests (audited)

The beneficial interests of the Directors in office at 30 June 2016 (and their connected persons) in the ordinary shares (or ordinary share equivalents) of the company are shown in the table below.

	Ordinary shares or equivalent[i]					
	14 July 2016	30 June 2016 (or date of departure, if earlier)	30 June 2015 (or date of appointment, if later)	Shareholding requirement (% salary)[ii]	Shareholding at 14 July 2016 (% salary)[ii]	Shareholding requirement met
Chairman						
Dr Franz B Humer	67,699	67,316	60,097	–	–	–
Executive Directors						
Ivan Menezes[iii]	864,714	864,714	749,518	300%	1552%	Yes
Kathryn Mikells[iii] [vi]	13,589	13,580	–	250%	39%	No
Deirdre Mahlan[iii]	308,447	308,447	281,153	250%	777%	Yes
Non-Executive Directors						
Peggy B Bruzelius	5,000	5,000	5,000	–	–	–
Laurence M Danon[iv]	–	5,000	5,000	–	–	–
Lord Davies of Abersoch	5,052	5,052	5,052	–	–	–
Betsy D Holden[iii]	17,400	17,400	17,400	–	–	–
Ho KwonPing	4,353	4,353	4,223	–	–	–
Philip G Scott	10,000	10,000	10,000	–	–	–
Nicola S Mendelsohn	5,000	5,000	5,000	–	–	–
Alan JH Stewart	2,560	2,560	1,500	–	–	–
Emma Walmsley[v]	5,094	5,094	–	–	–	–

Notes

(i) Each person listed beneficially owns less than one percent of Diageo's ordinary shares. Ordinary shares held by Directors have the same voting rights as all other ordinary shares.

(ii) Both the shareholding requirement and shareholding at 14 July 2016 are expressed as a percentage of base salary earned in the year ended 30 June 2016 and calculated using an average share price for the year ending 30 June 2016 of 1843.5 pence.

(iii) Ivan Menezes, Deirdre Mahlan, Kathryn Mikells and Betsy D Holden have share interests in ADRs (one ADR is equivalent to four ordinary shares); the share interests in the table are stated as ordinary share equivalents.

(iv) Laurence M Danon ceased to be a Non-Executive Director on 23 September 2015 and therefore her shareholding is not disclosed at 14 July 2016.

(v) Emma Walmsley was appointed to the Board on 1 January 2016.

(vi) Kathryn Mikells has five years from the date of her appointment, that is, until 9 November 2020, to build up the required shareholding in Diageo shares.

Outstanding share plan interests (audited)

Plan name	Date of award	Performance period	Date of vesting	Share type	Share price on date of grant	Exercise price	Number of shares/ options at 30 June 2015[i]	Granted	Vested/ exercised	Dividends awarded and released	Lapsed	Number of shares/ options at 30 June 2016	Total number of shares/ options in Ords[ii]
Ivan Menezes													
SESOP[iii]	Sep 2010	2010–2013	2013	ADR		$67.84	55,512					55,512	
SESOP[iii]	Sep 2011	2011–2014	2014	ADR		$76.70	36,587					36,587	
SESOP	Oct 2012	2012–2015	2015	ADR		$112.72	46,575				46,575	–	
Total number of vested but unexercised share options													**368,396**
SESOP[v]	Sep 2013	2013–2016	2016	ADR		$123.27	46,239					46,239	
DLTIP – share options[vi]	Sep 2014	2014–2017	2017	ADR		$117.55	45,447					45,447	
DLTIP – share options	Sep 2015	2015–2018	2018	ADR		$104.93		49,825				49,825	
Total number of unvested share options subject to performance													**566,044**
DIP[iv]	Sep 2011	2011–2014	2014–2015	ADR	$74.11		21,309		21,309			–	
DIP[iv]	Mar 2012	2012–2019	2016–2019	ADR	$96.44		58,571		4,880		9,763	43,928	
PSP	Oct 2012	2012–2015	2015	ADR	$113.62		54,927		18,309	1,853	36,618	–	
PSP[v]	Sep 2013	2013–2016	2016	ADR	$123.08		47,484					47,484	
DLTIP – performance shares[vi]	Sep 2014	2014–2017	2017	ADR	$115.80		45,447					45,447	
DLTIP – performance shares	Sep 2015	2015–2018	2018	ADR	$104.30			49,825				49,825	
Total number of unvested shares subject to performance													**746,736**
DIP[iv]	Mar 2012	2012–2019	2016–2019	ADR	$96.44		58,571		14,642			43,929	
Total number of unvested shares not subject to performance													**175,716**
Deirdre Mahlan[viii]													
SESOP[iii]	Sep 2009	2009–2012	2012	ADR		$63.13	20,790					20,790	
SESOP	Sep 2010	2010–2013	2013	Ord		1080p	199,652					199,652	
SESOP	Sep 2011	2011–2014	2014	Ord		1232p	135,069					135,069	
SESOP	Oct 2012	2012–2015	2015	Ord		1743p	146,299				146,299	–	
Total number of vested but unexercised share options													**417,881**
SESOP[v]	Sep 2013	2013–2016	2016	Ord		1983p	135,022					135,022	
DLTIP – share options[vi]	Sep 2014	2014–2017	2017	Ord		1796p	140,590					140,590	
DLTIP – share options	Sep 2015	2015–2018	2018	Ord		1709p		140,515				140,515	
Total number of unvested share options subject to performance													**416,127**
PSP	Oct 2012	2012–2015	2015	Ord	1772p		134,653		44,884	4,380	89,769	–	
PSP[v]	Sep 2013	2013–2016	2016	Ord	1978p		110,241					110,241	
DLTIP – performance shares[vi]	Sep 2014	2014–2017	2017	Ord	1779p		140,590					140,590	
DLTIP – performance shares	Sep 2015	2015–2018	2018	Ord	1714p			140,515				140,515	
Total number of unvested shares subject to performance													**391,346**
Kathryn Mikells													
DBOP – performance shares[vii]	Nov 2015	2015–2018	2018	Ord	1866p			246,300				246,300	
Total number of unvested shares subject to performance													**246,300**
DBOP – restricted shares[vii]	Nov 2015	2015–2017	2017	Ord	1866p			43,868				43,868	
DBOP – restricted shares[vii]	Nov 2015	2015–2018	2018	Ord	1866p			43,868				43,868	
Total number of unvested shares not subject to performance													**87,736**

(i) For unvested awards this is the number of shares/options initially awarded. For exercisable share options, this is the number of outstanding options. All share options have an expiry date of ten years after the date of grant.
(ii) ADRs have been converted to Ords (one ADR is equivalent to four ordinary shares) for the purpose of calculating the total number of vested and unvested shares and options.
(iii) Shares/options granted prior to the Executive's appointment to the Board.
(iv) Ivan Menezes retains interests in awards that were granted to him prior to joining the Board under 'below-board' plans (Discretionary Incentive Plan), amounting to a total of 188,172 ADRs, granted in 2011 and 2012. 50% of the initial 2011 award of 71,030 ADRs lapsed in September 2014, as disclosed in the 2014 remuneration report. Of the remainder, 40% vested in September 2014, and the remaining portion vested in September 2015. The 2012 award is subject to performance conditions and continuing employment. 66.67% of the first tranche vested in March 2016 and 66.7% of the second tranche is due to vest in March 2017, with the remaining tranches vesting in March 2018 and March 2019.
(v) Awards made under the PSP and SESOP in September 2013 and due to vest in September 2016 are included here as unvested share awards subject to performance conditions, although the awards have also been included under long-term incentives in the single figure of total remuneration on page 74, since the performance period ended during the year ended 30 June 2016.
(vi) Details of the performance conditions attached to PSP and SESOP awards granted in 2014 were disclosed in Diageo's 2015 Annual Report.
(vii) Replacement shares awarded to Kathryn Mikells on her appointment as Chief Financial Officer on 9 November 2015, in recognition of share awards she forfeited from her previous employer. These awards were made under the Diageo Buy Out Plan (DBOP).
(viii) Awards granted to Deirdre Mahlan after she stepped down from the Board on 9 November 2015 have not been disclosed as she was no longer an Executive Director.

Payments to former directors (audited)

There were no payments to former directors above the de minimis level of £3k in the year ended 30 June 2016. This does not apply to Deirdre Mahlan, who stepped down from the Board on 9 November 2015.

Payments for loss of office (audited)

There were no payments for loss of office to Executive Directors in relation to the year ended 30 June 2016.

Non-Executive Directors' fees

The Chairman's fee was reviewed in December 2015 and increased from £500,000 to £600,000 per annum, effective from 1 January 2016, following five successive years of no increases. The Chairman's fee is appropriately positioned against our comparator group of FTSE30 companies excluding financial services. The next review is scheduled for December 2017.

Following a comprehensive review of competitive market data, the Board also reviewed the fees for Non-Executive Directors and increased the basic fee from £84,000 to £87,000 per annum and the Senior Non-Executive Director fee from £20,000 to £25,000 per annum, also effective from 1 January 2016. There are no changes to the additional fees for the Chairman of the Audit Committee and the Remuneration Committtee.

Per annum fees	January 2016 £'000	January 2015 £'000
Chairman of the Board	600	500
Non-Executive Directors		
Base fee	87	84
Senior Non-Executive Director	25	20
Chairman of the Audit Committee	30	30
Chairman of the Remuneration Committee	25	25

Non-Executive Directors' remuneration for the year ended 30 June 2016 (audited)

	Fees £'000		Taxable benefits[i] £'000		Total £'000	
	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Chairman						
Dr Franz B Humer[ii]	550	500	6	12	556	512
Non-Executive Directors						
Peggy B Bruzelius	86	84	6	13	92	97
Laurence M Danon[iii]	21	84	1	6	22	90
Lord Davies of Abersoch	133	129	3	3	136	132
Betsy D Holden	86	84	10	35	96	119
Ho KwonPing	86	84	1	1	87	85
Philip G Scott	116	114	12	5	128	119
Nicola S Mendelsohn	86	70	1	1	87	71
Alan JH Stewart	86	70	1	1	87	71
Emma Walmsley[iv]	44	–	1	–	45	–

(i) Other benefits include a contracted car service, product allowance and expense reimbursements relating to travel, accommodation and subsistence in connection with the attendance of Board meetings during the year, which are deemed by HMRC to be taxable in the United Kingdom. The amounts in the single figure of total remuneration table above include the grossed-up cost of UK tax paid by the company on behalf of the directors. Non-taxable expense reimbursements have not been included in the single figure of remuneration table above.
(ii) As in the previous year, £96,000 of Dr Franz B Humer's net remuneration in the year ended 30 June 2016 was used for the monthly purchase of Diageo ordinary shares, which must be retained until he retires from the company or ceases to be a Director for any other reason.
(iii) Laurence M Danon ceased to be a Non-Executive Director on 23 September 2015.
(iv) Emma Walmsley was appointed to the Board on 1 January 2016.

External appointments held by the Executive Directors

Executive Directors may accept external appointments as Non-Executive Directors of other companies and retain any related fees paid to them, subject to the specific approval of the Board in each case.

Ivan Menezes – During the year ended 30 June 2016, Ivan Menezes served as a Non-Executive Director of Coach Inc. and earned fees of $75,000, which he retained. In line with the Coach Inc. policy for outside directors, Ivan Menezes is eligible to be granted share options and restricted share units (RSUs). During the year ended 30 June 2016, he was granted 11,734 options at an option price of $32.28 and 2,367 RSUs (including dividends received) at a fair market value of $32.28 per share.

Kathryn Mikells – During the year ended 30 June 2016, Kathryn Mikells served as a Non-Executive Director of Hartford Financial Services Group Inc. and earned fees of $100,000 for the full year, which were deferred into equity.

Deirdre Mahlan – During the year ended 30 June 2016, Deirdre Mahlan served as a Non-Executive Director of Experian plc and earned fees of €68,864, which she retained.

Relative importance of spend on pay

The graph below illustrates the relative importance of spend on pay (total remuneration of all group employees) compared with distributions to shareholders, and the percentage change from the year ended 30 June 2015 to the year ended 30 June 2016. Distributions to shareholders are total dividends. The Committee considers that there are no other significant distributions or payments of profit or cash flow.

Relative importance of spend on pay – percentage change



Remuneration committee

The Remuneration Committee consists of the following independent Non-Executive Directors: Peggy B Bruzelius, Lord Davies of Abersoch, Betsy D Holden, Ho KwonPing, Philip G Scott, Nicola S Mendelsohn, Alan JH Stewart and Emma Walmsley. Lord Davies is the Chairman of the Remuneration Committee. The Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive may, by invitation, attend Remuneration Committee meetings except when their own remuneration is discussed. Diageo's Global Human Resources Director and Capability, Performance and Reward Director are also invited from time to time by the Remuneration Committee to provide their views and advice. The Global Human Resources Director is not present when her own remuneration is discussed. The Chief Financial Officer may also attend to provide performance context to the Committee during its discussions about target setting. Information on meetings held and director attendance is disclosed in the corporate governance report.

The Remuneration Committee's principal responsibilities are:

- Making recommendations to the Board on remuneration policy as applied to the Executive Directors and the Executive Committee;
- Setting, reviewing and approving individual remuneration arrangements for the Chairman of the Board, Executive Directors and Executive Committee members including terms and conditions of employment;
- Determining arrangements in relation to termination of employment of the Executive Directors and other designated senior executives; and
- Making recommendations to the Board concerning the introduction of any new share incentive plans which require approval by shareholders.

Full terms of reference for the Committee are available at www.diageo.com and on request from the Company Secretary.

External advisors

During the year ended 30 June 2016, the Remuneration Committee received advice from Kepler (a brand of Mercer), appointed by the Committee in December 2013 following a tendering process, who provided independent advice on remuneration best practice and senior executive remuneration.

Kepler is a signatory to, and abides by, the Remuneration Consultants Group Code of Conduct. Further details can be found at www.remunerationconsultantsgroup.com. Kepler's parent company, Mercer, provides unrelated services to the company in the areas of all-employee reward and retirement benefits. The Remuneration Committee is satisfied that the advice it receives from Kepler is independent. During the year, Kepler supported the Committee in preparing this Directors' remuneration report, provided remuneration benchmarking survey data to support the salary review for the Executive Committee, provided advice on the design of the long-term incentives, and calculated the total shareholder return of Diageo and its peer companies for the 2012 and 2013 PSP awards and provided periodic updates on all outstanding performance cycles. The fees paid to Kepler in relation to advice provided to the Committee were £137,935 and are determined on a time and expenses basis.

During the year, Linklaters provided advice on the Directors' remuneration report. Fees paid in relation to this advice, again on a time and expenses basis, were £6,000. Linklaters also provide other legal advice from time to time on certain corporate matters.

The Committee is satisfied that the Kepler and Linklaters engagement partners and teams that provide remuneration advice to the Committee do not have connections with Diageo that may impair their independence. The Committee reviewed the potential for conflicts of interest and judged that there were appropriate safeguards against such conflicts.

Clifford Chance provided advice on the operation of share plans during the year.

Statement of voting

The following table summarises the details of votes cast in respect of the resolutions on the Directors' remuneration policy at the 2014 AGM and annual report on remuneration at the 2015 AGM.

	For	Against	Total votes cast	Abstentions
Directors' remuneration policy				
Total number of votes	1,663,866,061	43,275,688	1,707,141,749	18,288,488
Percentage of votes cast	97.47%	2.53%	100%	n/a
Annual report on remuneration				
Total number of votes	1,767,690,112	64,973,516	1,832,663,628	35,221,124
Percentage of votes cast	96.45%	3.55%	100%	n/a

The Committee was pleased with the level of support shown for the remuneration policy and annual report on remuneration and appreciated the active participation of shareholders and their representative advisory bodies in consulting on executive remuneration matters.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Emoluments and share interests of senior management

The total emoluments for the year ended 30 June 2016 of the Executive Directors, the Executive Committee members and the Company Secretary (together, the senior management) of Diageo comprising base salary, annual incentive plan, share incentive plan, termination payments and other benefits were £20.5 million (2015 – £14.0 million).

The aggregate amount of gains made by the senior management from the exercise of share options and from the vesting of awards during the year was £9.5 million. In addition, they were granted 968,293 performance-based share options under the DLTIP during the year at a weighted average share price of 1709 pence, exercisable by 2025 and 43,444 options not subject to performance under the DLTIP, which will vest in three years. In addition they were granted 212 options over ordinary shares under the UK savings-related share options scheme (SAYE). They were also awarded 901,720 performance shares under the DLTIP in September 2015, which will vest in three years subject to the performance test described in the section on DLTIP awards made during the year ended 30 June 2016, and 4,250 shares not subject to performance under the DLTIP. They were also awarded 146,904 shares under the DIP, which will vest in September 2018, subject to the performance conditions being met. This excludes the replacement share awards made to Kathryn Mikells on 9 November 2015.

Senior management options over ordinary shares

At 14 July 2016, the senior management had an aggregate beneficial interest in 1,743,277 ordinary shares in the company and in the following options over ordinary shares in the company:

	Number of options	Weighted average exercise price	Option period
Ivan Menezes	934,440	1535p	2013 – 2025
Deirdre Mahlan	834,008	1488p	2012 – 2025
Other[i]	1,862,324	1731p	2011 – 2025
	3,630,772		

(i) Other members of the Executive Committee and the Company Secretary.

Key management personnel related party transactions (audited)

Key management personnel of the group comprises the Executive and Non-Executive Directors, the members of the Executive Committee and the Company Secretary.

Diageo plc has granted rolling indemnities to the Directors and the Company Secretary, uncapped in amount, in relation to certain losses and liabilities which they may incur in the course of acting as Directors or Company Secretary (as applicable) of Diageo plc or of one or more of its subsidiaries. These indemnities continue to be in place at 30 June 2016.

Other than disclosed in this report, no Director had any interest, beneficial or non-beneficial, in the share capital of the company. Save as disclosed above, no Director has or has had any interest in any transaction which is or was unusual in its nature, or which is or was significant to the business of the group and which was effected by any member of the group during the financial year, or which having been effected during an earlier financial year, remains in any respect outstanding or unperformed. There have been no material transactions during the last three years to which any Director or officer, or 3% or greater shareholder, or any spouse or dependent thereof, was a party. There is no significant outstanding indebtedness to the company from any Directors or officer or 3% or greater shareholder.

Statutory and audit requirements

This report was approved by a duly authorised Committee of the Board of Directors, on 27 July 2016 and was signed on its behalf by Lord Davies of Abersoch who is senior Non-Executive Director and Chairman of the Remuneration Committee.

The Board has followed the principles of good governance as set out in the UK Corporate Governance Code (with the exception that the directors were unable to attend the 2015 AGM) and complied with the regulations contained in the Schedule 8 of the Large and Medium-sized Companies and Groups (Accounts and Reports) (Amendment) Regulations 2013, the Listing Rules of the Financial Conduct Authority and the relevant schedules of the Companies Act 2006.

The Companies Act 2006 and the Listing Rules require the Company's auditor to report on the audited information in their report and to state that this section has been properly prepared in accordance with these regulations.

PWC LLP has audited the report to the extent required by the Regulations, being the sections headed Single total figure of remuneration for Executive Directors (and notes), Annual incentive plan (AIP), Long-term incentive plans (LTIPs), Pension arrangements, Directors' shareholding requirements and share and other interests, Outstanding share plan interests, Non-Executive Directors' remuneration and Key management personnel related party transactions.

The annual report on remuneration is subject to shareholder approval at the AGM on 21 September 2016; the Directors' remuneration policy was approved by shareholders at the 2014 AGM.

Terms defined in this remuneration report are used solely herein.

DIRECTORS' REPORT

The Directors have pleasure in submitting their Annual Report for the year ended 30 June 2016.

Annual General Meeting

The AGM will be held at The Mermaid Conference & Events Centre, Puddle Dock, Blackfriars, London EC4V 3DB at 2.30pm on Wednesday, 21 September 2016.

Directors

The Directors of the company who served during the year are shown in the section 'Board of Directors and Company Secretary' and 'Executive Committee' above.

In accordance with the UK Corporate Governance Code all the Directors will retire by rotation at the AGM and offer themselves for re-election. The Non-Executive Directors proposed for re-election do not have service contracts. Emma Walmsley and Javier Ferrán have been appointed, as Non-Executive Directors, with effect from 1 January 2016 and 22 July 2016 respectively and will offer themselves for election at the AGM. Javier Ferrán will be appointed Chairman on 1 January 2017 on the retirement of Dr Franz B Humer.

Further details of Directors' contracts, remuneration and their interests in the shares of the company at 30 June 2016 are given in the Directors' remuneration report.

The Directors' powers are determined by UK legislation and Diageo's articles of association. The Directors may exercise all the company's powers provided that Diageo's articles of association or applicable legislation do not stipulate that any powers must be exercised by the members.

Auditor

The auditor, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, is willing to continue in office and a resolution for its re-appointment as auditor of the company will be submitted to the AGM.

Disclosure of information to the auditor

The Directors who held office at the date of approval of this Directors' report confirm that, so far as they are each aware, there is no relevant audit information of which the company's auditor is unaware; and each Director has taken all reasonable steps to ascertain any relevant audit information and to ensure that the company's auditor is aware of that information.

Corporate governance statement

The corporate governance statement, prepared in accordance with rule 7.2 of the Financial Conduct Authority's Disclosure Guidance and Transparency Rules, comprises the following sections of the Annual Report: the 'Corporate governance report', 'the Report of the Audit Committee' and the 'Additional information for shareholders'.

Significant agreements – change of control

The following significant agreements contain certain termination and other rights for Diageo's counterparties upon a change of control of the company.

Under the agreement governing the company's 34% investment in Moët Hennessy SNC (MH) and Moët Hennessy International SAS (MHI), if a competitor (as defined therein) directly or indirectly takes control of the company (which, for these purposes, would occur if such competitor acquired more than 34% of the voting rights or equity interests in the company), LVMH Moët Hennessy – Louis Vuitton SA (LVMH) may require the company to sell its shares in MH and MHI to LVMH.

The master agreement governing the operation of the group's regional joint ventures with LVMH states that upon a change of control of the company (being, for these purposes, the acquisition by a third party of 30% or more of the issued share capital having voting rights in the company), LVMH may either appoint and remove the chairman of each joint venture entity governed by such master agreement, who shall be given a casting vote, or require each joint venture entity to be wound up.

Other information

Other information relevant to the Directors' report may be found in the following sections of the Annual Report:

Information (including that required by UK Listing Authority Listing Rule 9.8.4)	Location in Annual Report
Agreements with controlling shareholders	Not applicable
Amendment of articles of association	Additional information for shareholders – Articles of association
Contracts of significance	Not applicable
Details of long-term incentive schemes	Directors' remuneration report
Directors – appointment and powers	Additional information for shareholders – Articles of association – Directors
Directors' indemnities and compensation	Directors' remuneration report – Directors' remuneration policy and Additional information; Financial statements – note 20 Related party transactions
Dividends	Financial statements – Unaudited financial information and group financial review
Employment policies	Strategic report – How we will deliver our Performance Ambition; Strategic report – How we protect our business: risk management and principal risks; Strategic report – Sustainability and Responsibility Review
Events since 30 June 2016	None
Financial risk management	Financial statements – note 15 Financial instruments and risk management
Future developments	Chairman's statement; Chief Executive's Statement; Market dynamics
Greenhouse gas emissions	Strategic report – Sustainability and Responsibility Review – Reducing our environmental impact; Additional information for shareholders – External limited assurance of selected sustainability & responsibility performance data
Interest capitalised	Not applicable
Non pre-emptive issues of equity for cash (including in respect of major unlisted subsidiaries)	Not applicable
Parent participation in a placing by a listed subsidiary	Not applicable
Political donations	Corporate governance report
Provision of services by a controlling shareholder	Not applicable
Publication of unaudited financial information	Unaudited information
Purchase of own shares	Additional information for shareholders – Repurchase of shares; Financial statements – note 17 Equity
Research and development	Financial statements – note 3 Operating costs
Restrictions on transfer of securities	Additional information for shareholders – Restrictions on transfer of shares
Review of the business and principal risks and uncertainties	Chief Executive's statement; Strategic report: How we protect our business: risk management and principal risks
Share capital – structure, voting and other rights	Additional information for shareholders – Share capital and Articles of association; Financial statements – note 17 Equity
Share capital – employee share plan voting rights	Financial statements – note 17 Equity
Shareholdings in the company	Additional information for shareholders – Share capital
Shareholder waivers of dividends	Note 17 Equity
Shareholder waivers of future dividends	Note 17 Equity
Sustainability and responsibility	Strategic report – How we will deliver our Performance Ambition: Sustainability & responsibility; Strategic report – How we protect our business: risk management and principal risks; Strategic report – Sustainability and Responsibility Review
Waiver of emoluments by a director	Not applicable
Waiver of future emoluments by a director	Not applicable

The Directors' report of Diageo plc for the year ended 30 June 2016 comprises these pages and the sections of the Annual Report referred to under 'Directors', 'Corporate governance statement' and 'Other information' above, which are incorporated into the Directors' report by reference. In addition, certain disclosures required to be contained in the Directors' report, have been incorporated into the 'Strategic report' as set out in 'Other information' above.

The Directors' report was approved by a duly appointed and authorised committee of the Board of Directors on 27 July 2016 and signed on its behalf by David Harlock, the Company Secretary.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

Introduction and contents

Introduction

The financial statements of the group are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted for use in the European Union (EU) and as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB).

The financial statements of Diageo plc (the company) are prepared in accordance with the Companies Act 2006 and in accordance with Financial Reporting Standard 101 Reduced Disclosure Framework (FRS 101). The company has applied IFRS 1 for the first time for the year ended 30 June 2016 and has restated its comparative information accordingly.

The financial statements also include 'Unaudited Financial Information' which is not required by the relevant accounting standards or other regulations but management believes this section provides important additional information.

Contents

Independent auditor's report to the members of Diageo plc only	**89**
Primary statements	
Consolidated income statement	95
Consolidated statement of comprehensive income	96
Consolidated balance sheet	97
Consolidated statement of changes in equity	98
Consolidated statement of cash flows	99
Accounting information and policies	**100**
1. Accounting information and policies	100
Results for the year	**102**
2. Segmental information	102
3. Operating costs	105
4. Exceptional items	106
5. Finance income and charges	108
6. Investments in associates and joint ventures	109
7. Taxation	110
8. Discontinued operations	112
Operating assets and liabilities	**113**
9. Acquisition and sale of businesses and purchase of non-controlling interests	113
10. Intangible assets	117
11. Property, plant and equipment	119
12. Other investments	121
13. Post employment benefits	121
14. Working capital	125
Risk management and capital structure	**127**
15. Financial instruments and risk management	127
16. Net borrowings	133
17. Equity	134
Other financial information	**137**
18. Contingent liabilities and legal proceedings	137
19. Commitments	141
20. Related party transactions	141
21. Principal group companies	142
Financial statements of the company	**143**
Unaudited financial information	**152**

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF DIAGEO plc

Report on the financial statements

Our opinion

In our opinion:

- Diageo plc's group financial statements and company financial statements (the financial statements) give a true and fair view of the state of the group's and of the company's affairs as at 30 June 2016 and of the group's profit and cash flows for the year then ended;
- the group financial statements have been properly prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs) as adopted by the European Union;
- the company financial statements have been properly prepared in accordance with United Kingdom Generally Accepted Accounting Practice; and
- the financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Companies Act 2006 and, as regards the group financial statements, Article 4 of the IAS Regulation.

Separate opinion in relation to IFRSs as issued by the IASB

As explained in note 1 to the financial statements, the group, in addition to applying IFRSs as adopted by the European Union, has also applied IFRSs as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB).

In our opinion, the group financial statements comply with IFRSs as issued by the IASB.

What we have audited

The financial statements, included within the Annual Report, comprise:

- the consolidated balance sheet as at 30 June 2016;
- the company balance sheet as at 30 June 2016;
- the consolidated income statement and the consolidated statement of comprehensive income for the year then ended;
- the consolidated statement of cash flows for the year then ended;
- the consolidated statement of changes in equity for the year then ended;
- the statement of changes in equity for the company for the year then ended; and
- the notes to the financial statements, which include a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Certain required disclosures have been presented elsewhere in the Annual Report, rather than in the notes to the financial statements. These are cross-referenced from the financial statements and are identified as audited.

The financial reporting framework that has been applied in the preparation of the group financial statements is IFRSs as adopted by the European Union, and applicable law. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in the preparation of the company financial statements is United Kingdom Accounting Standards, comprising FRS 101 Reduced Disclosure Framework, and applicable law (United Kingdom Generally Accepted Accounting Practice).

Our audit approach

Overview

Materiality

- Overall group materiality: £140 million, which represents 5% of profit before taxation and exceptional items (as defined in note 4 to the group financial statements).

Audit scope

- We conducted full scope audit work in ten countries in which the group has significant operations. Our work also covered the four group shared service centres.
- In addition, we performed the audit of specific balances and transactions in six countries, as well as over Moët Hennessy, the group's principal associate.
- During the year, the group engagement team visited all countries where full scope audits were performed, all shared service centres and two of the countries where audits of specific balances and transactions took place. They also visited the Moët Hennessy audit team.

Areas of focus

- Carrying value of goodwill and intangible assets.
- Taxation matters.
- Presentation of exceptional items, including business disposals.
- Provisions and contingent liabilities.
- Post-employment benefit obligations.

The scope of our audit and our areas of focus

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) ("ISAs (UK & Ireland)").

We designed our audit by determining materiality and assessing the risks of material misstatement in the financial statements. In particular, we looked at where the directors made subjective judgements, for example in respect of significant accounting estimates that involved making assumptions and considering future events that are inherently uncertain. As in all of our audits we also addressed the risk of management override of internal controls, including evaluating whether there was evidence of bias by the directors that represented a risk of material misstatement due to fraud.

The risks of material misstatement that had the greatest effect on our audit, including the allocation of our resources and effort, are identified as "areas of focus" in the table below. We have also set out how we tailored our audit to address these specific areas in order to provide an opinion on the financial statements as a whole, and any comments we make on the results of our procedures should be read in this context. This is not a complete list of all risks identified by our audit.

Area of focus	How our audit addressed the area of focus
Carrying value of goodwill and intangible assets *Refer to the Report of the Audit Committee, note 4 – Exceptional items, and note 10 – Intangible assets.* The group has goodwill of £2,699 million, indefinite-lived brand intangibles of £8,079 million and other intangible assets of £1,592 million as at 30 June 2016, contained within 21 cash generating units ('CGUs'). Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets must be tested for impairment on at least an annual basis. The determination of recoverable amount, being the higher of value-in-use and fair value less costs to dispose, requires judgement on the part of management in both identifying and then valuing the relevant CGUs. Recoverable amounts are based on management's view of variables and market conditions such as future price and volume growth rates, the timing of future operating expenditure, and the most appropriate discount and long term growth rates. With challenging trading conditions in certain territories, the group's performance and prospects have been impacted. As a result, impairment charges have been recognised in the year ended 30 June 2016. A pre-tax impairment charge of £118 million was recognised in respect of the Ypióca brand intangible and Paraguay, Uruguay and Brazil ('PUB') CGU goodwill. In addition, CGUs containing the USL goodwill, Greater China goodwill and Meta brand have been determined by management to be sensitive to reasonably possible changes in the assumptions used, which could result in the calculated recoverable amount being lower than the carrying value of the CGU. Additional sensitivity disclosures have been included in the group financial statements in respect of these CGUs.	We evaluated the appropriateness of management's identification of the group's CGUs and tested the operation of the group's controls over the impairment assessment process, which we found to be satisfactory for the purposes of our audit. Our audit procedures included challenging management on the appropriateness of the impairment model and reasonableness of the assumptions used, with particular attention paid to Ypióca, USL, Greater China and Meta, through performing the following: • benchmarking Diageo's key market-related assumptions in the models, including discount rates, long term growth rates and foreign exchange rates, against external data, using our valuation expertise; • assessing the reliability of cash flow forecasts through a review of actual past performance and comparison to previous forecasts; • testing the mathematical accuracy and performing sensitivity analyses of the models; • understanding the commercial prospects of the assets, and where possible comparison of assumptions with external data sources; and • for USL, assessing the reasonableness of assumptions compared to the original fair value model and performance since acquisition. We assessed the appropriateness and completeness of the related disclosures in note 4 and note 10 of the group financial statements, including the sensitivities provided with respect to USL, Greater China and Meta, and considered these reasonable. Based on our procedures, we noted no material exceptions and considered management's key assumptions to be within reasonable ranges.
Taxation matters *Refer to the Report of the Audit Committee, note 7 – Taxation, and note 18 – Contingent liabilities and legal proceedings.* The group operates across a large number of jurisdictions and is subject to periodic challenges by local tax authorities on a range of tax matters during the normal course of business, including transfer pricing, direct and indirect taxes, and transaction related tax matters. As at 30 June 2016, the group has current taxes payable of £340 million, deferred tax assets of £298 million and deferred tax liabilities of £1,982 million. Where the amount of tax payable is uncertain, the group establishes provisions based on management's judgement of the probable amount of the liability. The group has also undertaken a number of disposal transactions during the year which has resulted in a net exceptional tax charge of £56 million. We focused on the judgements made by management in assessing the quantification and likelihood of potentially material exposures and therefore the level of provision required. In particular we focused on the impact of changes in local tax regulations and ongoing inspections by local tax authorities, which could materially impact the amounts recorded in the group financial statements.	We evaluated the design and implementation of controls in respect of identifying uncertain tax positions, which we found to be satisfactory for the purposes of our audit. We also evaluated the related accounting policy for provisioning for tax exposure and found it to be appropriate. We used our tax specialists to gain an understanding of the current status of tax assessments and investigations and to monitor developments in ongoing disputes. We read recent rulings and correspondence with local tax authorities, as well as external advice received by the group where relevant, to satisfy ourselves that the tax provisions had been appropriately recorded or adjusted to reflect the latest external developments. We challenged management's key assumptions, in particular on cases where there had been significant developments with tax authorities, noting no significant deviations from our expectations. We assessed the appropriateness of the related disclosures in note 7 and note 18 of the group financial statements, and considered these reasonable.

Area of focus	How our audit addressed the area of focus
Presentation of exceptional items, including business disposals *Refer to the Report of the Audit Committee and note 4 – Exceptional items.* In the past few years the group has had significant levels of exceptional items that are disclosed separately within the consolidated income statement and are excluded from management's reporting of the underlying results of the business. The nature of these exceptional items is explained within the group accounting policy and includes restructuring costs, gains or losses arising on acquisitions or disposals, impairment charges or reversals, and costs resulting from non-recurring legal or regulatory matters. This year the group has identified £167 million of net operating exceptional costs and £123 million of non-operating exceptional income before tax, which relate primarily to: • impairment charges (£118 million); • the gain on sale of the group's shareholdings in D&G (Jamaican Red Stripe business) and GAPL (Singapore and Malaysia beer business) (£457 million); and • the loss on sale of the group's wine interests in the United States and UK (Percy Fox) (£191 million). Our specific area of focus was to assess whether the items identified by management as exceptional met the definition of the group's accounting policy and have been treated consistently, as the identification of such items requires judgement by management. Consistency in the identification and presentation of these items is important to ensure comparability of year-on-year reporting.	We evaluated the design and implementation of controls in respect of exceptional items, which we found to be satisfactory for the purposes of our audit. We considered the judgements within management's accounting papers for the business disposals and other one-off transactions, and obtained corroborative evidence for the items presented within 'exceptional items'. This included the timing of recognition and nature of costs associated with the business disposals. We considered these reasonable. We challenged management's rationale for the designation of certain items as 'exceptional' and assessed such items against the group's accounting policy. For the disposal transactions, we read underlying contractual and other agreements and verified that the accounting papers, and associated calculations prepared by management, reflected the substance of these. We also vouched the receipt of net proceeds received, where applicable. No material exceptions were identified. We assessed the appropriateness and completeness of the disclosures in note 4 and other related notes of the group financial statements, and checked that these reflected the output of management's accounting papers, noting no significant deviations from our expectations. We also considered whether there were items that were recorded within underlying profit that we determined to be 'exceptional' in nature and should have been included within 'exceptional items'. No such material items were identified.
Provisions and contingent liabilities *Refer to the Report of the Audit Committee, note 14(d) – Working capital (provisions) and note 18 – Contingent liabilities and legal proceedings.* The group faces a number of threatened and actual legal and regulatory cases. There is a high level of judgement required in estimating the level of provisioning and/or the level of disclosure required.	We evaluated the design and implementation of controls in respect of litigation and regulatory procedures, which we found to be satisfactory for the purposes of our audit. Our procedures included the following: • where relevant, reading external legal advice obtained by management; • discussing open matters and developments with the group general counsel and regional general counsel; • meeting with regional and local management and reading subsequent correspondence; • assessing and challenging management's conclusions through understanding precedents set in similar cases; and • circularising relevant third party legal representatives and follow up discussions, where appropriate, on certain material cases. Based on the evidence obtained, whilst noting the inherent uncertainty with such legal and regulatory matters, we determined that the level of provisioning at 30 June 2016 to be appropriate. We assessed the appropriateness of the related disclosures in note 14(d) and note 18 of the group financial statements, and believed these to be reasonable.

Area of focus	How our audit addressed the area of focus
Post employment benefit obligations Refer to the Report of the Audit Committee, note 13 – post employment benefits. The group has approximately 40 defined benefit post employment plans. The total present value of obligations is £9,447 million at 30 June 2016, which is significant in the context of the overall balance sheet of the group. The group's most significant plans are in the United Kingdom, Ireland and North America. The valuation of pension plan liabilities requires judgement in determining appropriate assumptions such as salary increase, mortality rates, discount rates, inflation levels and the impact of any changes in individual pension plans. Movements in these assumptions can have a material impact on the determination of the liability. Management uses external actuaries to assist in determining these assumptions.	We evaluated the design and implementation of controls in respect of post employment benefit obligations, which we found to be satisfactory for the purposes of our audit. We used our actuarial specialists to assess whether the assumptions used in calculating the liabilities for the United Kingdom, Ireland and North America pension plans were reasonable, by performing the following: • assessing whether salary increases and mortality rate assumptions, were consistent with the specifics of each plan and, where applicable, with relevant national and industry benchmarks; • verifying that the discount and inflation rates used were consistent with our internally developed benchmarks and in line with other companies' recent external reporting; and • reviewing the calculations prepared by external actuaries to assess the consistency of the assumptions used. Based on our procedures, we noted no exceptions and considered management's key assumptions to be within reasonable ranges.

How we tailored the audit scope

We tailored the scope of our audit to ensure that we performed enough work to be able to give an opinion on the financial statements as a whole, taking into account the geographic structure of the group, the accounting processes and controls, and the industry in which the group operates.

The group operates as 21 geographically based markets across five regions, and the supply and the corporate functions. These markets report through a significant number of individual reporting components, which are supported by the group's four principal shared service centres in Hungary, Kenya, Colombia and the Philippines. The outputs from these shared service centres are included in the financial information of the reporting components they service, and therefore are not separate reporting components. In establishing the overall approach to the group audit, we determined the type of work that needed to be performed at reporting components by us, as the group engagement team, or component auditors from either other PwC network firms or non-PwC firms operating under our instruction. This included consideration of the procedures required to be performed by our audit teams at the group's shared service centres to support our component auditors.

We identified three reporting components which, in our view, required an audit of their complete financial information, due to their financial significance to the group. Those reporting components were North America, USL and the supply operations in Scotland. A further 13 reporting components had an audit of their complete financial information, either due to their size or their risk characteristics, which included operating (six) and treasury (four) reporting components. We audited specific balances and transactions at a further seven reporting components, including the financial information of Moët Hennessy, the group's principal associate, primarily to ensure appropriate audit coverage. The work performed at each of the four shared services centres, including testing of transaction processing and controls, supported the financial information of the reporting components they serve.

Certain specific audit procedures over central corporate functions and areas of significant judgement, including goodwill and intangible assets, taxation, and material provisions and contingent liabilities, were performed at the group's head office. We also performed work centrally on systems and IT general controls, consolidation journals and the disposal transactions undertaken by the group during the year.

Together, the central and component locations at which work was performed by the group engagement team and component auditors accounted for 74% of consolidated net sales, 85% of the consolidated total assets, and 63% of the consolidated profit before tax and exceptional items, with work performed by the group engagement team over exceptional items contributing a further 6% coverage over the consolidated profit before tax (total of 69%). At the group level, we also carried out analytical and other procedures on the reporting components not covered by the procedures described above.

Where the work was performed by component auditors, including by our shared service centre auditors, we determined the level of involvement we needed to have in the audit work at those locations to be able to conclude whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence had been obtained as a basis for our opinion on the group financial statements as a whole. We issued formal, written instructions to component auditors setting out the work to be performed by each of them and maintained regular communication throughout the audit cycle. These interactions included attending component clearance meetings and holding regular conference calls, as well as reviewing and assessing matters reported.

Senior members of the group engagement team also visited all component locations in scope for an audit of their complete financial information, as well as three of the shared centre locations and two of the countries where audits of specific balances and transactions took place, and met with the Moët Hennessy audit team. These visits included meetings with local management and with the component auditors, as well as certain operating site tours. The group engagement partners also attended the year-end clearance meetings for North America, USL and Scotland, and the group engagement team reviewed the audit working papers for these components.

Materiality

The scope of our audit was influenced by our application of materiality. We set certain quantitative thresholds for materiality. These, together with qualitative considerations, helped us to determine the scope of our audit and the nature, timing and extent of our audit procedures on the individual financial statement line items and disclosures and in evaluating the effect of misstatements, both individually and on the financial statements as a whole.

Based on our professional judgement, we determined materiality for the financial statements as a whole as follows:

Overall group materiality	£140 million.
How we determined it	5% of profit before taxation and exceptional items (as defined in note 4 to the group financial statements).
Rationale for benchmark applied	We consider an adjusted measure to be one of the principal considerations for the members of Diageo plc in assessing the recurring financial performance of the group as it best represents results from underlying operations.
Component materiality	For each component in our audit scope, we allocated a materiality that was less than our overall group materiality. The range of materiality allocated across components was between £7 million and £100 million.

We agreed with the Audit Committee that we would report to them misstatements identified during our audit above £7 million as well as misstatements below that amount that, in our view, warranted reporting for qualitative reasons.

Going concern

Under the Listing Rules we are required to review the directors' statement, set out on page 61, in relation to going concern. We have nothing to report having performed our review.

Under ISAs (UK & Ireland) we are required to report to you if we have anything material to add or to draw attention to in relation to the directors' statement about whether they considered it appropriate to adopt the going concern basis in preparing the financial statements. We have nothing material to add or to draw attention to.

As noted in the directors' statement, the directors have concluded that it is appropriate to adopt the going concern basis in preparing the financial statements. The going concern basis presumes that the group and parent company have adequate resources to remain in operation, and that the directors intend them to do so, for at least one year from the date the financial statements were signed. As part of our audit we have concluded that the directors' use of the going concern basis is appropriate. However, because not all future events or conditions can be predicted, these statements are not a guarantee as to the group's and parent company's ability to continue as a going concern.

OTHER REQUIRED REPORTING

Consistency of other information

Companies Act 2006 opinion

In our opinion, the information given in the Strategic Report and the Directors' Report for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the financial statements.

ISAs (UK & Ireland) reporting

Under ISAs (UK & Ireland) we are required to report to you if, in our opinion:

• information in the Annual Report is: – materially inconsistent with the information in the audited financial statements; or – apparently materially incorrect based on, or materially inconsistent with, our knowledge of the group and company acquired in the course of performing our audit; or – otherwise misleading.	We have no exceptions to report.
• the statement given by the directors on page 61, in accordance with provision C.1.1 of the UK Corporate Governance Code (the "Code"), that they consider the Annual Report taken as a whole to be fair, balanced and understandable and provides the information necessary for members to assess the group's and company's position and performance, business model and strategy is materially inconsistent with our knowledge of the group and company acquired in the course of performing our audit.	We have no exceptions to report.
• the section of the Annual Report on page 64, as required by provision C.3.8 of the Code, describing the work of the Audit Committee does not appropriately address matters communicated by us to the Audit Committee.	We have no exceptions to report.

The directors' assessment of the prospects of the group and of the principal risks that would threaten the solvency or liquidity of the group

Under ISAs (UK & Ireland) we are required to report to you if we have anything material to add or to draw attention to in relation to:

• the directors' confirmation on page 60 of the Annual Report, in accordance with provision C.2.1 of the Code, that they have carried out a robust assessment of the principal risks facing the group, including those that would threaten its business model, future performance, solvency or liquidity.	We have nothing material to add or to draw attention to.
• the disclosures in the Annual Report that describe those risks and explain how they are being managed or mitigated.	We have nothing material to add or to draw attention to.
• the directors' explanation on page 19 of the Annual Report, in accordance with provision C.2.2 of the Code, as to how they have assessed the prospects of the group, over what period they have done so and why they consider that period to be appropriate, and their statement as to whether they have a reasonable expectation that the group will be able to continue in operation and meet its liabilities as they fall due over the period of their assessment, including any related disclosures drawing attention to any necessary qualifications or assumptions.	We have nothing material to add or to draw attention to.

Under the Listing Rules we are required to review the directors' statement that they have carried out a robust assessment of the principal risks facing the group and the directors' statement in relation to the longer-term viability of the group. Our review was substantially less in scope than an audit and only consisted of making inquiries and considering the directors' process supporting their statements; checking that the statements are in alignment with the relevant provisions of the Code; and considering whether the statements are consistent with the knowledge acquired by us in the course of performing our audit. We have nothing to report having performed our review.

Adequacy of accounting records and information and explanations received

Under the Companies Act 2006 we are required to report to you if, in our opinion:

- we have not received all the information and explanations we require for our audit; or
- adequate accounting records have not been kept by the company, or returns adequate for our audit have not been received from branches not visited by us; or
- the company financial statements and the part of the Directors' remuneration report to be audited are not in agreement with the accounting records and returns.

We have no exceptions to report arising from this responsibility.

Directors' remuneration

Directors' remuneration report – Companies Act 2006 opinion

In our opinion, the part of the Directors' remuneration report to be audited has been properly prepared in accordance with the Companies Act 2006.

Other Companies Act 2006 reporting

Under the Companies Act 2006 we are required to report to you if, in our opinion, certain disclosures of directors' remuneration specified by law are not made. We have no exceptions to report arising from this responsibility.

Corporate governance statement

Under the Listing Rules we are required to review the part of the Corporate Governance Statement relating to ten further provisions of the Code. We have nothing to report having performed our review.

RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND THE AUDIT

Our responsibilities and those of the directors

As explained more fully in the Responsibility statement, the directors are responsible for the preparation of the financial statements and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view.

Our responsibility is to audit and express an opinion on the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and ISAs (UK & Ireland). Those standards require us to comply with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards for Auditors.

This report, including the opinions, has been prepared for and only for the company's members as a body in accordance with Chapter 3 of Part 16 of the Companies Act 2006 and for no other purpose. We do not, in giving these opinions, accept or assume responsibility for any other purpose or to any other person to whom this report is shown or into whose hands it may come save where expressly agreed by our prior consent in writing.

What an audit of financial statements involves

An audit involves obtaining evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements sufficient to give reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. This includes an assessment of:

- whether the accounting policies are appropriate to the group's and the company's circumstances and have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed;
- the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by the directors; and
- the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We primarily focus our work in these areas by assessing the directors' judgements against available evidence, forming our own judgements, and evaluating the disclosures in the financial statements.

We test and examine information, using sampling and other auditing techniques, to the extent we consider necessary to provide a reasonable basis for us to draw conclusions. We obtain audit evidence through testing the effectiveness of controls, substantive procedures or a combination of both.

In addition, we read all the financial and non-financial information in the Annual Report to identify material inconsistencies with the audited financial statements and to identify any information that is apparently materially incorrect based on, or materially inconsistent with, the knowledge acquired by us in the course of performing the audit. If we become aware of any apparent material misstatements or inconsistencies we consider the implications for our report.

Ian Chambers (Senior Statutory Auditor)
for and on behalf of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Chartered Accountants and Statutory Auditors
London
27 July 2016

- The maintenance and integrity of the Diageo plc website is the responsibility of the directors; the work carried out by the auditors does not involve consideration of these matters and, accordingly, the auditors accept no responsibility for any changes that may have occurred to the financial statements since they were initially presented on the website.
- Legislation in the United Kingdom governing the preparation and dissemination of financial statements may differ from legislation in other jurisdictions.

CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENT

	Notes	Year ended 30 June 2016 £ million	Year ended 30 June 2015 £ million	Year ended 30 June 2014 £ million
Sales	2	15,641	15,966	13,980
Excise duties	3	(5,156)	(5,153)	(3,722)
Net sales	2	10,485	10,813	10,258
Cost of sales	3	(4,251)	(4,610)	(4,029)
Gross profit		6,234	6,203	6,229
Marketing	3	(1,562)	(1,629)	(1,620)
Other operating expenses	3	(1,831)	(1,777)	(1,902)
Operating profit		2,841	2,797	2,707
Non-operating items	4	123	373	140
Finance income	5	262	244	241
Finance charges	5	(589)	(656)	(629)
Share of after tax results of associates and joint ventures	6	221	175	252
Profit before taxation		2,858	2,933	2,711
Taxation	7	(496)	(466)	(447)
Profit from continuing operations		2,362	2,467	2,264
Discontinued operations	8	–	–	(83)
Profit for the year		2,362	2,467	2,181
Attributable to:				
Equity shareholders of the parent company – continuing operations		2,244	2,381	2,331
Equity shareholders of the parent company – discontinued operations		–	–	(83)
Non-controlling interests – continuing operations		118	86	(67)
		2,362	2,467	2,181
Weighted average number of shares		million	million	million
Shares in issue excluding own shares		2,508	2,505	2,506
Dilutive potential ordinary shares		10	12	11
		2,518	2,517	2,517
Basic earnings per share		pence	pence	pence
Continuing operations		89.5	95.0	93.0
Discontinued operations		–	–	(3.3)
		89.5	95.0	89.7
Diluted earnings per share				
Continuing operations		89.1	94.6	92.6
Discontinued operations		–	–	(3.3)
		89.1	94.6	89.3

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Year ended 30 June 2016 £ million	Year ended 30 June 2015 £ million	Year ended 30 June 2014 £ million
Other comprehensive income			
Items that will not be recycled subsequently to the income statement			
Net remeasurement of post employment plans			
– group	(851)	125	(169)
– associates and joint ventures	(4)	(10)	2
– non-controlling interests	(1)	(2)	–
Tax on post employment plans	166	(11)	20
	(690)	102	(147)
Items that may be recycled subsequently to the income statement			
Exchange differences on translation of foreign operations			
– group	1,217	(345)	(1,117)
– associates and joint ventures	325	(205)	(294)
– non-controlling interests	176	56	(120)
Net investment hedges	(843)	269	398
Exchange loss recycled to the income statement			
– on translation of foreign operation	133	88	–
– on net investment hedges	(82)	–	–
Tax on exchange differences – group	(8)	30	12
Tax on exchange differences – non-controlling interests	4	–	–
Effective portion of changes in fair value of cash flow hedges			
– gains/(losses) taken to other comprehensive income – group	28	(40)	59
– gains/(losses) taken to other comprehensive income – associates and joint ventures	3	(6)	(5)
– recycled to income statement	(145)	(58)	34
Tax on effective portion of changes in fair value of cash flow hedges	3	18	2
Fair value movements on available-for-sale investments			
– gains taken to other comprehensive income – group	4	11	55
– gains taken to other comprehensive income – non-controlling interests	4	9	–
– recycled to income statement – group	(15)	–	(140)
– recycled to income statement – non-controlling interests	(13)	–	–
Tax on available-for-sale fair value movements	4	(4)	–
Hyperinflation adjustment	6	18	11
Tax on hyperinflation adjustment	(2)	–	(2)
	799	(159)	(1,107)
Other comprehensive profit/(loss), net of tax, for the year	109	(57)	(1,254)
Profit for the year	2,362	2,467	2,181
Total comprehensive income for the year	2,471	2,410	927
Attributable to:			
Equity shareholders of the parent company	2,183	2,261	1,114
Non-controlling interests	288	149	(187)
Total comprehensive income for the year	2,471	2,410	927

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

	Notes	30 June 2016 £ million	30 June 2016 £ million	30 June 2015 £ million	30 June 2015 £ million
Non-current assets					
Intangible assets	10	12,370		11,231	
Property, plant and equipment	11	3,881		3,690	
Biological assets		10		65	
Investments in associates and joint ventures	6	2,528		2,076	
Other investments	12	31		109	
Other receivables	14	46		46	
Other financial assets	15	420		292	
Deferred tax assets	7	298		189	
Post employment benefit assets	13	55		436	
			19,639		18,134
Current assets					
Inventories	14	4,579		4,574	
Trade and other receivables	14	2,686		2,435	
Assets held for sale		3		143	
Other financial assets	15	495		46	
Cash and cash equivalents	16	1,089		472	
			8,852		7,670
Total assets			28,491		25,804
Current liabilities					
Borrowings and bank overdrafts	16	(2,058)		(1,921)	
Other financial liabilities	15	(280)		(156)	
Trade and other payables	14	(3,372)		(2,943)	
Liabilities held for sale		–		(3)	
Corporate tax payable		(340)		(162)	
Provisions	14	(137)		(105)	
			(6,187)		(5,290)
Non-current liabilities					
Borrowings	16	(8,071)		(7,917)	
Other financial liabilities	15	(500)		(443)	
Other payables	14	(70)		(69)	
Provisions	14	(253)		(238)	
Deferred tax liabilities	7	(1,982)		(1,896)	
Post employment benefit liabilities	13	(1,248)		(695)	
			(12,124)		(11,258)
Total liabilities			(18,311)		(16,548)
Net assets			10,180		9,256
Equity					
Share capital	17	797		797	
Share premium		1,347		1,346	
Other reserves		2,625		1,994	
Retained earnings		3,761		3,634	
Equity attributable to equity shareholders of the parent company			8,530		7,771
Non-controlling interests	17		1,650		1,485
Total equity			10,180		9,256

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

These consolidated financial statements were approved by a duly appointed and authorised committee of the Board of Directors on 27 July 2016 and were signed on its behalf by Ivan Menezes and Kathryn Mikells, Directors.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

					Retained earnings/(deficit)					
	Share capital £ million	Share premium £ million	Capital redemption reserve £ million	Hedging and exchange reserve £ million	Own shares £ million	Other retained earnings £ million	Total £ million	Equity attributable to parent company shareholders £ million	Non-controlling interests £ million	Total equity £ million
At 30 June 2013	797	1,344	3,146	8	(2,232)	3,973	1,741	7,036	1,052	8,088
Profit for the year	–	–	–	–	–	2,248	2,248	2,248	(67)	2,181
Other comprehensive income	–	–	–	(911)	–	(223)	(223)	(1,134)	(120)	(1,254)
Employee share schemes	–	–	–	–	(48)	(67)	(115)	(115)	–	(115)
Share-based incentive plans	–	–	–	–	–	37	37	37	–	37
Share-based incentive plans in respect of associates	–	–	–	–	–	3	3	3	–	3
Tax on share-based incentive plans	–	–	–	–	–	1	1	1	–	1
Shares issued	–	1	–	–	–	–	–	1	–	1
Acquisitions	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	8	8
Change in fair value of put options	–	–	–	–	–	(7)	(7)	(7)	–	(7)
Purchase of non-controlling interests	–	–	–	–	–	(19)	(19)	(19)	(18)	(37)
Dividends paid	–	–	–	–	–	(1,228)	(1,228)	(1,228)	(88)	(1,316)
At 30 June 2014	797	1,345	3,146	(903)	(2,280)	4,718	2,438	6,823	767	7,590
Profit for the year	–	–	–	–	–	2,381	2,381	2,381	86	2,467
Other comprehensive income	–	–	–	(249)	–	129	129	(120)	63	(57)
Employee share schemes	–	–	–	–	52	(58)	(6)	(6)	–	(6)
Share-based incentive plans	–	–	–	–	–	35	35	35	–	35
Share-based incentive plans in respect of associates	–	–	–	–	–	2	2	2	–	2
Tax on share-based incentive plans	–	–	–	–	–	4	4	4	–	4
Shares issued	–	1	–	–	–	–	–	1	–	1
Acquisitions	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	641	641
Change in fair value of put options	–	–	–	–	–	(9)	(9)	(9)	–	(9)
Disposal of non-controlling interests	–	–	–	–	–	1	1	1	–	1
Dividends paid	–	–	–	–	–	(1,341)	(1,341)	(1,341)	(72)	(1,413)
At 30 June 2015	797	1,346	3,146	(1,152)	(2,228)	5,862	3,634	7,771	1,485	9,256
Profit for the year	–	–	–	–	–	2,244	2,244	2,244	118	2,362
Other comprehensive income	–	–	–	631	–	(692)	(692)	(61)	170	109
Employee share schemes	–	–	–	–	39	(38)	1	1	–	1
Share-based incentive plans	–	–	–	–	–	29	29	29	–	29
Share-based incentive plans in respect of associates	–	–	–	–	–	1	1	1	–	1
Tax on share-based incentive plans	–	–	–	–	–	10	10	10	–	10
Shares issued	–	1	–	–	–	–	–	1	–	1
Disposal of non-controlling interests	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	(24)	(24)
Purchase of non-controlling interests	–	–	–	–	–	(18)	(18)	(18)	(3)	(21)
Purchase of rights issue of non-controlling interests	–	–	–	–	–	(5)	(5)	(5)	5	–
Dividends paid	–	–	–	–	–	(1,443)	(1,443)	(1,443)	(101)	(1,544)
At 30 June 2016	797	1,347	3,146	(521)	(2,189)	5,950	3,761	8,530	1,650	10,180

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Notes	Year ended 30 June 2016 £ million	Year ended 30 June 2016 £ million	Year ended 30 June 2015 £ million	Year ended 30 June 2015 £ million	Year ended 30 June 2014 £ million	Year ended 30 June 2014 £ million
Cash flows from operating activities							
Profit for the year		2,362		2,467		2,181	
Discontinued operations		–		–		83	
Taxation		496		466		447	
Share of after tax results of associates and joint ventures		(221)		(175)		(252)	
Net finance charges		327		412		388	
Non-operating items		(123)		(373)		(140)	
Operating profit			2,841		2,797		2,707
Increase in inventories		(95)		(204)		(229)	
(Increase)/decrease in trade and other receivables		(86)		274		(276)	
Increase/(decrease) in trade and other payables and provisions		128		47		(92)	
Net (increase)/decrease in working capital			(53)		117		(597)
Depreciation, amortisation and impairment		473		440		629	
Dividends received		173		183		228	
Post employment payments less amounts included in operating profit		(59)		(70)		(196)	
Other items		(15)		(11)		(80)	
			572		542		581
Cash generated from operations			3,360		3,456		2,691
Interest received		174		183		143	
Interest paid		(479)		(599)		(575)	
Taxation paid		(507)		(489)		(469)	
			(812)		(905)		(901)
Net cash from operating activities			2,548		2,551		1,790
Cash flows from investing activities							
Disposal of property, plant and equipment and computer software		57		52		80	
Purchase of property, plant and equipment and computer software		(506)		(638)		(642)	
Movements in loans and other investments		(2)		(2)		7	
Sale of businesses	9	1,062		978		2	
Acquisition of businesses	9	(15)		(1,284)		(536)	
Net cash inflow/(outflow) from investing activities			596		(894)		(1,089)
Cash flows from financing activities							
Proceeds from issue of share capital		1		1		1	
Net purchase of own shares for share schemes		(1)		(8)		(113)	
Dividends paid to non-controlling interests		(101)		(72)		(88)	
Disposal of non-controlling interests		–		1		–	
Purchase of shares of non-controlling interests	9	(21)		–		(37)	
Proceeds from bonds	16	–		791		1,378	
Repayment of bonds	16	(1,003)		(1,492)		(1,471)	
Net movements on other borrowings	16	(233)		386		(64)	
Equity dividends paid	17	(1,443)		(1,341)		(1,228)	
Net cash outflow from financing activities			(2,801)		(1,734)		(1,622)
Net increase/(decrease) in net cash and cash equivalents	16		343		(77)		(921)
Exchange differences			84		(73)		(192)
Net cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year			382		532		1,645
Net cash and cash equivalents at end of the year			809		382		532
Net cash and cash equivalents consist of:							
Cash and cash equivalents	16		1,089		472		622
Bank overdrafts	16		(280)		(90)		(90)
			809		382		532

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ACCOUNTING INFORMATION AND POLICIES

Introduction

This section describes the basis of preparation of the consolidated financial statements and the group's accounting policies that are applicable to the financial statements as a whole. Accounting policies, critical accounting estimates and judgements that are specific to a note are included in the note to which they relate. This section also explains new accounting standards, amendments and interpretations, that the group has adopted in the current financial year or will adopt in subsequent years.

1. Accounting information and policies

(a) Basis of preparation

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted for use in the European Union (EU) and as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). The consolidated financial statements are prepared on a going concern basis under the historical cost convention, unless stated otherwise in the relevant accounting policy.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the year. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(b) Going concern

The consolidated financial statements are prepared on a going concern basis.

(c) Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the results of the company and its subsidiaries together with the group's attributable share of the results of associates and joint ventures. A subsidiary is an entity controlled by Diageo plc. The group controls an investee when it is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. Where the group has the ability to exercise joint control over an entity but has rights to specified assets and obligations for liabilities of that entity, the entity is included on the basis of the group's rights over those assets and liabilities.

(d) Foreign currencies

Items included in the financial statements of the group's subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures are measured using the currency of the primary economic environment in which each entity operates (its functional currency). The consolidated financial statements are presented in sterling, which is the functional currency of the parent company.

The income statements and cash flows of non-sterling entities are translated into sterling at weighted average rates of exchange, other than substantial transactions that are translated at the rate on the date of the transaction. Exchange differences arising on the retranslation to closing rates are taken to the exchange reserve.

Assets and liabilities are translated at closing rates. Exchange differences arising on the retranslation at closing rates of the opening balance sheets of overseas entities are taken to the exchange reserve, as are exchange differences arising on foreign currency borrowings and financial instruments designated as net investment hedges, to the extent that they are effective. Tax charges and credits arising on such items are also taken to the exchange reserve. Gains and losses accumulated in the exchange reserve are recycled to the income statement when the foreign operation is sold. Other exchange differences are taken to the income statement. Transactions in foreign currencies are recorded at the rate of exchange at the date of the transaction.

The principal foreign exchange rates used in the translation of financial statements for the three years ended 30 June 2016, expressed in US dollars and euros per £1, were as follows:

	2016	2015	2014
US dollar			
Income statement and cash flows[i]	1.48	1.57	1.63
Assets and liabilities[ii]	1.33	1.57	1.71
Euro			
Income statement and cash flows[i]	1.34	1.31	1.20
Assets and liabilities[ii]	1.20	1.41	1.25

(i) Weighted average rates
(ii) Year end rates

The group uses foreign exchange hedges to mitigate the effect of exchange rate movements. For further information see note 15.

(e) Critical accounting estimates and judgements

The critical accounting policies, which the directors consider are of greater complexity and/or particularly subject to the exercise of judgements, are set out in detail in the relevant notes:

- Exceptional items – page 106
- Taxation – page 110
- Brands, goodwill and other intangibles – page 117
- Post employment benefits – page 121
- Contingent liabilities and legal proceedings – page 137

Venezuela is a hyper-inflationary economy where the government maintains a regime of strict currency controls with multiple foreign currency rate systems. Access to US dollar on these exchange systems is very limited. The foreign currency denominated transactions and balances of the group's Venezuelan operations are translated into the local functional currency (VEF) at the rate they are expected to be settled, applying the most appropriate official exchange rate. For consolidation purposes, the group converts its Venezuelan operations using management's estimate of the exchange rate that capital and dividend repatriations are expected to be realised. The consolidation exchange rate and the accounting treatment are monitored and reviewed depending on the economic and regulatory developments in the country.

(f) New accounting policies

No amendments to the accounting standards were issued by the IASB or the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee (IFRIC) that are first applicable to Diageo in the year ending 30 June 2016.

IAS 32 – Financial Instruments: Presentation – Offsetting and cash-pooling arrangements

In April 2016 guidance was issued by the IFRS Interpretations Committee (IFRIC) to help determine whether entities are able to offset cash-pooling balances in accordance with IAS 32. The group has changed its accounting policy to be in line with the interpretation, but has not restated the prior year financial statements as the amounts involved are not material. Cash and cash equivalents and borrowings and bank overdrafts as at 30 June 2014 and 30 June 2015 would have increased by £102 million and £139 million, respectively with the same impact on total assets and total liabilities.

The following standards issued by the IASB (not yet endorsed by the EU) have not yet been adopted by the group:

IFRS 9 – Financial instruments (effective in the year ending 30 June 2019) is ultimately intended to replace IAS 39 and covers the classification, measurement and derecognition of financial instruments together with a new hedge accounting model and new impairment methodology.

Based on a preliminary assessment the group believes that the adoption of IFRS 9 will not have a significant impact on its consolidated results or financial position.

IFRS 15 – Revenue from contracts with customers (effective in the year ending 30 June 2019) is based on the principle that revenue is recognised when control of goods or services is transferred to the customer and provides a single, principles based five-step model to be applied to all sales contracts. It replaces the separate models for goods, services and construction contracts under current IFRS.

Based on a preliminary assessment the group believes that the adoption of IFRS 15 will not have a significant impact on its consolidated results or financial position.

IFRS 16 – Leases (effective in the year ending 30 June 2020) sets out the principles for the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of leases for both the lessee and the lessor. It eliminates the classification of leases as either operating leases or finance leases and introduces a single lessee accounting model where the lessee is required to recognise assets and liabilities for all material leases that have a term of greater than a year.

The group is currently considering the implications of IFRS 16 which is expected to have an impact on the group's consolidated results and financial position.

There are a number of amendments to IFRS, effective for the year ending 30 June 2017, which are not expected to significantly impact the group's consolidated results or financial position.

RESULTS FOR THE YEAR

Introduction

This section explains the results and performance of the group for the three years ended 30 June 2016. Disclosures are provided for segmental information, operating costs, exceptional items, finance income and charges, the group's share of results of associates and joint ventures, taxation and discontinued operations. For associates, joint ventures and taxation, balance sheet disclosures are also provided in this section.

2. Segmental information

Accounting policies

Sales comprise revenue from the sale of goods, royalties and rents receivable. Revenue from the sale of goods includes excise and other duties which the group pays as principal but excludes amounts collected on behalf of third parties, such as value added tax. Sales are recognised depending upon individual customer terms at the time of despatch, delivery or when the risk of loss transfers. Provision is made for returns where appropriate. Sales are stated net of price discounts, allowances for customer loyalty and certain promotional activities and similar items.

Net sales are sales less excise duties. Diageo incurs excise duties throughout the world. In the majority of countries excise duties are effectively a production tax which becomes payable when the product is removed from bonded premises and is not directly related to the value of sales. It is generally not included as a separate item on external invoices; increases in excise duty are not always passed on to the customer and where a customer fail to pay for product received the group cannot reclaim the excise duty. The group therefore recognises excise duty as a cost to the group.

Advertising costs, point of sale materials and sponsorship payments are charged to marketing in operating profit when the company has a right of access to the goods or services acquired.

Diageo is an international manufacturer and distributor of premium drinks. Diageo also owns a number of investments in associates and joint ventures as set out in note 6.

The segmental information presented is consistent with management reporting provided to the executive committee (the chief operating decision maker).

The Executive Committee considers the business principally from a geographical perspective based on the location of third party sales and the business analysis is presented by geographical segment. In addition to these geographical selling segments, a further segment reviewed by the Executive Committee is the International Supply Centre (ISC), which manufactures products for other group companies and includes the production sites in the United Kingdom, Ireland, Italy and Guatemala.

Continuing operations also include the Corporate function. Corporate revenues and costs are in respect of central costs, including finance, marketing, corporate relations, human resources and legal, as well as certain information systems, facilities and employee costs that are not allocable to the geographical segments or to the ISC. They also include rents receivable and payable in respect of properties not used by the group in the manufacture, sale or distribution of premium drinks and the results of Gleneagles Hotel (disposed on 30 June 2015).

Diageo uses shared services operations, including captive and outsourced centres, to deliver transaction processing activities for markets and operational entities. These centres are located in Hungary, Romania, Kenya, Colombia, the Philippines and India. The captive business service centre in Budapest also performs certain central finance activities, including elements of financial planning and reporting and treasury. The costs of shared service operations are recharged to the regions.

The segmental information for net sales and operating profit before exceptional items is reported at budgeted exchange rates in line with management reporting. For management reporting purposes the group measures the current year at, and restates the prior year net sales and operating profit to, the current year's budgeted exchange rates. These exchange rates are set prior to the financial year as part of the financial planning process and provide a consistent exchange rate to measure the performance of the business throughout the year. The adjustments required to retranslate the segmental information to actual exchange rates and to reconcile it to the group's reported results are shown in the following tables. The comparative segmental information, prior to retranslation, has not been restated at the current year's budgeted exchange rates but is presented at the budgeted rates for the respective years.

In addition, for management reporting purposes Diageo presents separately the results of acquisitions and disposals completed in the current and prior year from the results of the geographical segments. The impact of acquisitions and disposals on net sales and operating profit is disclosed under the appropriate geographical segments in the following tables at budgeted exchange rates.

(a) Segmental information for the consolidated income statement – continuing operations

	North America £ million	Europe, Russia and Turkey £ million	Africa £ million	Latin America and Caribbean £ million	Asia Pacific £ million	ISC £ million	Eliminate inter-segment sales £ million	Total operating segments £ million	Corporate and other £ million	Total £ million
2016										
Sales	4,037	4,593	1,875	1,078	4,022	1,355	(1,355)	15,605	36	15,641
Net sales										
At budgeted exchange rates[i]	3,282	2,481	1,286	901	2,114	1,452	(1,373)	10,143	38	10,181
Acquisitions and disposals	106	75	74	59	9	–	–	323	–	323
ISC allocation	10	50	4	8	7	(79)	–	–	–	–
Retranslation to actual exchange rates	167	(62)	37	(105)	(54)	(18)	18	(17)	(2)	(19)
Net sales	3,565	2,544	1,401	863	2,076	1,355	(1,355)	10,449	36	10,485
Operating profit/(loss)										
At budgeted exchange rates[i]	1,459	738	212	221	399	112	–	3,141	(149)	2,992
Acquisitions and disposals	24	7	(8)	13	1	–	–	37	–	37
ISC allocation	14	70	6	11	11	(112)	–	–	–	–
Retranslation to actual exchange rates	54	(14)	2	(46)	(16)	–	–	(20)	(1)	(21)
Operating profit/(loss) before exceptional items	1,551	801	212	199	395	–	–	3,158	(150)	3,008
Exceptional items	–	–	–	(118)	(49)	–	–	(167)	–	(167)
Operating profit/(loss)	1,551	801	212	81	346	–	–	2,991	(150)	2,841
Non-operating items										123
Net finance charges										(327)
Share of after tax results of associates and joint ventures										
– Moët Hennessy										217
– Other										4
Profit before taxation										2,858

	North America £ million	Europe, Russia and Turkey £ million	Africa £ million	Latin America and Caribbean £ million	Asia Pacific £ million	ISC £ million	Eliminate inter-segment sales £ million	Total operating segments £ million	Corporate and other £ million	Total £ million
2015										
Sales	3,909	4,683	1,868	1,297	4,129	1,381	(1,381)	15,886	80	15,966
Net sales										
At budgeted exchange rates[i]	3,462	2,666	1,457	1,105	1,291	1,485	(1,413)	10,053	82	10,135
Acquisitions and disposals	25	34	1	26	903	–	–	989	–	989
ISC allocation	9	44	4	8	7	(72)	–	–	–	–
Retranslation to actual exchange rates	(41)	(127)	(47)	(106)	12	(32)	32	(309)	(2)	(311)
Net sales	3,455	2,617	1,415	1,033	2,213	1,381	(1,381)	10,733	80	10,813
Operating profit/(loss)										
At budgeted exchange rates[i]	1,477	779	329	314	303	75	–	3,277	(136)	3,141
Acquisitions and disposals	(3)	12	–	1	49	1	–	60	4	64
ISC allocation	10	47	4	8	7	(76)	–	–	–	–
Retranslation to actual exchange rates	(36)	(34)	(15)	(60)	(3)	–	–	(148)	9	(139)
Operating profit/(loss) before exceptional items	1,448	804	318	263	356	–	–	3,189	(123)	3,066
Exceptional items	(28)	(20)	(7)	(5)	(193)	(6)	–	(259)	(10)	(269)
Operating profit/(loss)	1,420	784	311	258	163	(6)	–	2,930	(133)	2,797
Non-operating items										373
Net finance charges										(412)
Share of after tax results of associates and joint ventures										–
– Moët Hennessy										164
– Other										11
Profit before taxation										2,933

	North America £ million	Europe, Russia and Turkey £ million	Africa £ million	Latin America and Caribbean £ million	Asia Pacific £ million	ISC £ million	Eliminate inter-segment sales £ million	Total operating segments £ million	Corporate and other £ million	Total £ million
2014										
Sales	3,915	4,935	1,846	1,404	1,801	1,504	(1,504)	13,901	79	13,980
Net sales										
At budgeted exchange rates[i]	3,563	2,824	1,506	1,311	1,446	1,595	(1,504)	10,741	79	10,820
Acquisitions and disposals	44	3	–	–	–	–	–	47	–	47
ISC allocation	12	56	5	10	8	(91)	–	–	–	–
Retranslation to actual exchange rates	(175)	(69)	(81)	(177)	(107)	–	–	(609)	–	(609)
Net sales	3,444	2,814	1,430	1,144	1,347	1,504	(1,504)	10,179	79	10,258
Operating profit/(loss)										
At budgeted exchange rates[i]	1,535	838	366	397	333	84	–	3,553	(128)	3,425
Acquisitions and disposals	(12)	(3)	–	–	(19)	–	–	(34)	(2)	(36)
ISC allocation	11	52	4	9	8	(84)	–	–	–	–
Retranslation to actual exchange rates	(74)	(34)	(30)	(78)	(39)	–	–	(255)	–	(255)
Operating profit/(loss) before exceptional items	1,460	853	340	328	283	–	–	3,264	(130)	3,134
Exceptional items	(35)	(20)	(23)	(14)	(276)	(47)	–	(415)	(12)	(427)
Operating profit/(loss)	1,425	833	317	314	7	(47)	–	2,849	(142)	2,707
Non-operating items										140
Net finance charges										(388)
Share of after tax results of associates and joint ventures										–
– Moët Hennessy										246
– Other										6
Profit before taxation										2,711

(i) These items represent the IFRS 8 performance measures for the geographical and ISC segments.
(1) The net sales figures for ISC reported to the Executive Committee primarily comprise inter-segment sales and these are eliminated in a separate column in the above segmental analysis. Apart from sales by the ISC segment to the other operating segments, inter-segmental sales are not material.
(2) The group's net finance charges are managed centrally and are not attributable to individual operating segments.
(3) Approximately 40% of annual net sales occur in the last four months of each calendar year.

(b) Other segmental information

	North America £ million	Europe, Russia and Turkey £ million	Africa £ million	Latin America and Caribbean £ million	Asia Pacific £ million	ISC £ million	Corporate and other £ million	Total £ million
2016								
Capital expenditure	105	29	107	20	52	150	43	506
Depreciation and intangible asset amortisation	(39)	(21)	(83)	(10)	(35)	(106)	(61)	(355)
Exceptional accelerated depreciation and impairment	–	–	–	(14)	–	(8)	–	(22)
Exceptional impairment of intangible assets	–	–	–	(104)	–	–	–	(104)
2015								
Capital expenditure	95	34	140	53	42	233	41	638
Depreciation and intangible asset amortisation	(38)	(24)	(93)	(15)	(37)	(102)	(62)	(371)
Exceptional accelerated depreciation and impairment	(22)	–	–	(1)	–	–	–	(23)
Exceptional impairment of associate	–	–	–	–	(41)	–	–	(41)
Exceptional accelerated amortisation	–	–	–	–	–	–	(5)	(5)
2014								
Capital expenditure	65	28	154	39	25	280	51	642
Depreciation and intangible asset amortisation	(40)	(24)	(92)	(12)	(19)	(100)	(57)	(344)
Exceptional accelerated depreciation and impairment	(2)	–	–	–	(4)	(18)	(1)	(25)
Exceptional impairment of intangible assets	–	–	–	–	(260)	–	–	(260)

(c) Category and geographical analysis

	Category analysis						Geographical analysis					
	Spirits £ million	Beer £ million	Wine £ million	Ready to drink £ million	Other £ million	Total £ million	Great Britain £ million	United States £ million	Nether-lands £ million	India £ million	Rest of World £ million	Total £ million
2016												
Sales[i]	11,993	2,486	265	726	171	15,641	1,672	3,729	56	2,465	7,719	15,641
Non-current assets[ii], [iii]	–	–	–	–	–	–	1,679	3,859	2,350	3,764	7,224	18,876
2015												
Sales[i]	12,052	2,562	479	703	170	15,966	1,765	3,592	54	2,463	8,092	15,966
Non-current assets[ii], [iii]	–	–	–	–	–	–	1,654	3,340	2,196	3,439	6,588	17,217
2014												
Sales[i]	9,941	2,581	468	817	173	13,980	1,735	3,568	65	86	8,526	13,980
Non-current assets[ii], [iii]	–	–	–	–	–	–	1,625	3,097	2,100	802	7,124	14,748

(i) The geographical analysis of sales is based on the location of third party customers.
(ii) The geographical analysis of non-current assets is based on the geographical location of the assets and comprises intangible assets, property, plant and equipment, biological assets, investments in associates and joint ventures, other investments and non-current other receivables.
(iii) The management information provided to the chief operating decision maker does not include an analysis of assets and liabilities by category and therefore is not disclosed.

3. Operating costs

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Excise duties	5,156	5,153	3,722
Cost of sales	4,251	4,610	4,029
Marketing	1,562	1,629	1,620
Other operating expenses	1,831	1,777	1,902
	12,800	13,169	11,273
Comprising:			
Excise duties – Great Britain	853	862	863
– United States	468	450	467
– India	1,588	1,472	33
– Other	2,247	2,369	2,359
Increase in inventories	(100)	(200)	(291)
Raw materials and consumables	2,548	2,725	2,327
Marketing	1,562	1,629	1,620
Other external charges	1,767	2,017	1,810
Staff costs	1,475	1,433	1,479
Depreciation, amortisation and impairment	473	440	629
Gains on disposal of properties	(39)	(26)	(25)
Net foreign exchange losses	1	13	12
Other operating income [i]	(43)	(15)	(10)
	12,800	13,169	11,273

(i) On 7 July 2015, Diageo sold 8.5 million shares in United Breweries Limited resulting in a gain of £28 million.

(a) Other external charges

Other external charges include operating lease rentals for plant and equipment of £29 million (2015 – £29 million; 2014 – £30 million), other operating lease rentals (mainly properties) of £72 million (2015 – £87 million; 2014 – £85 million), research and development expenditure in respect of new drinks products and package design in the year leading up to product launch of £28 million (2015 – £26 million; 2014 – £24 million) and maintenance and repairs of £91 million (2015 – £95 million; 2014 – £72 million).

(b) Auditor fees

Other external charges include the fees of the principal auditor of the group, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and its affiliates (PwC) are analysed below.

PwC was appointed as the group's principal auditor for the year ended 30 June 2016. Accordingly, comparative figures in the table below for the years ended 30 June 2015 and 30 June 2014 are in respect of remuneration paid to the previous principal auditor of the group, KPMG LLP and its affiliates (KPMG).

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Audit of these financial statements	3.4	3.6	3.4
Audit of financial statements of subsidiaries	2.3	2.7	2.3
Audit related assurance services[i]	1.4	1.6	1.6
Total audit fees (Audit fees)	7.1	7.9	7.3
Other services relevant to taxation (Tax fees)[ii]	0.5	0.8	0.6
Other assurance services (Audit related fees)[iii]	0.4	0.6	0.7
All other non-audit fees (All other fees)[iv]	0.9	0.7	0.4
	8.9	10.0	9.0

(i) Audit related assurance services are principally in respect of reporting under section 404 of the US Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the review of the interim financial information.
(ii) Other services relevant to taxation principally comprise tax advice.
(iii) Other assurance services comprise the aggregate fees for assurance and related services that are related to the performance of the audit or review of the financial statements and are not reported under 'total audit fees'.
(iv) All other non-audit fees are principally in respect of immigration and advisory services.

(1) Disclosure requirements for auditor fees in the United States are different from those required in the United Kingdom. The terminology by category required in the United States is disclosed in brackets in the above table. All figures are the same for the disclosures in the United Kingdom and the United States apart from £0.3 million of the costs for the year ended 2016 provided by PwC (£0.4 million for both of the years ended 30 June 2015 and 30 June 2014, respectively provided by KPMG) in respect of the review of the interim financial information which would be included in audit related fees in the United States rather than audit fees.

Audit services provided by KPMG for the year ended 30 June 2016 were £0.6 million. Audit services by firms other than PwC and KPMG for the year ended 30 June 2016, and other than KPMG for the comparative periods were not material. PwC fees for audit services in respect of employee pension plans were £0.2 million for the year ended 30 June 2016. In the years ended 30 June 2015 and 2014 the KPMG fees for audit services in respect of employee pension plans were £0.4 million and £0.3 million, respectively.

(c) Staff costs and average number of employees

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Aggregate remuneration			
Wages and salaries	1,236	1,180	1,242
Share-based incentive plans	28	36	38
Employer's social security	85	88	92
Employer's pension			
– defi ed benefit plans	99	103	91
– defi ed contribution plans	16	15	15
Other post employment plans	11	11	1
	1,475	1,433	1,479

The average number of employees on a full time equivalent basis (excluding employees of associates and joint ventures) was as follows:

	2016	2015	2014[i]
North America	2,477	2,748	3,120
Europe, Russia and Turkey	4,164	4,073	4,056
Africa	5,381	4,997	5,252
Latin America and Caribbean	3,013	3,166	3,002
Asia Pacific	9,711	10,520	3,985
ISC	4,188	4,291	4,431
Corporate and other	3,144	3,567	3,509
	32,078	33,362	27,355

(i) Employees of corporate functions whose costs are charged to the operating segments are included in Corporate.

At 30 June 2016 the group had, on a full time equivalent basis, 31,485 (2015 – 32,409; 2014 – 26,588) employees. The average number of employees of the group, including part time employees, for the year was 32,969 (2015 – 34,179; 2014 – 27,958).

(d) Exceptional operating items

Included in the table above are exceptional operating items as follows:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Other external charges	49	170	31
Staff costs			
– Net charge in respect of restructuring programmes	–	30	111
Depreciation, amortisation and impairment			
– Brand, goodwill and tangible asset impairment	118	–	264
– Accelerated depreciation and amortisation	–	28	21
– Associate impairment	–	41	–
Total exceptional operating costs (note 4)	167	269	427
Cost of sales	–	25	23
Other operating expenses	167	244	404
Total exceptional operating costs (note 4)	167	269	427

4. Exceptional items

Accounting policies

Critical accounting estimates and judgements

Exceptional items are those that in management's judgement need to be disclosed by virtue of their size or nature. Such items are included within the income statement caption to which they relate, and are separately disclosed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Non-operating items

Gains and losses on the sale of businesses, brands or distribution rights, step up gains and losses that arise when an investment becomes an associate or an associate becomes a subsidiary and other material, unusual non recurring items, that are not in respect of the production, marketing and distribution of premium drinks, are disclosed as non-operating exceptional items below operating profit in the consolidated income statement. It is believed that such classification further helps investors to understand the performance of the group.

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Items included in operating profit			
Brand, goodwill and tangible asset impairment (a)	(118)	–	(264)
Disengagement agreements relating to United Spirits Limited (b)	(49)	–	–
Korea settlement (c)	–	(146)	–
Associate impairment (d)	–	(41)	–
Restructuring programmes (e)	–	(82)	(163)
	(167)	(269)	(427)
Non-operating items			
Sale of businesses			
Jamaica, Singapore and Malaysia beer interests (f)	457	–	–
Wines in the United States and Percy Fox (g)	(191)	–	–
Argentina (h)	(38)	–	–
South African associate interests (i)	(27)	–	–
Kenya – glass business (CGI) (j)	14	–	–
Gleneagles Hotel (k)	–	73	–
Bushmills (l)	–	174	–
Step ups			
United Spirits Limited (m)	–	103	140
Don Julio (l)	–	63	–
South Africa (n)	–	(10)	–
Other			
Provision for a receivable related to a loan guarantee (o)	(92)	–	–
Guarantee (o)	–	(30)	–
	123	373	140
Exceptional items before taxation	(44)	104	(287)
Items included in taxation (note 7)	56	51	99
Exceptional items in continuing operations	12	155	(188)
Discontinued operations net of taxation (note 8)	–	–	(83)
Total exceptional items	12	155	(271)
Attributable to:			
Equity shareholders of the parent company	2	156	(146)
Non-controlling interests	10	(1)	(125)
Total exceptional items	12	155	(271)

(a) In the year ended 30 June 2016, an impairment charge in respect of the Ypióca brand and related tangible fixed assets and goodwill allocated to the Paraguay, Uruguay and Brazil (PUB) cash-generating unit of £62 million, £14 million and £42 million, respectively, was charged to other operating expenses. Forecast cash flow assumptions have been reduced principally due to a challenging economic environment in Brazil and significant adverse changes in local tax regulation.

In the year ended 30 June 2014, an exceptional impairment loss of £260 million in respect of the Shui Jing Fang brand and £4 million in respect of fixed assets was charged to other operating expenses.

(b) On 25 February 2016 the group incurred an exceptional operating charge of £49 million including a $75 million (£53 million) payment to Dr Vijay Mallya over a five year period in consideration for (i) his resignation and the termination of his appointment and governance rights and his relinquishing of the rights and benefits attached to his position as Chairman and Non-Executive Director of United Spirits Limited (USL); (ii) his agreement to five-year global non-compete (excluding the United Kingdom), non-interference, non-solicitation and standstill undertakings; and (iii) his agreement that he and his affiliates will not pursue any claims against Diageo, USL and their affiliates. In addition to the amount Diageo agreed to pay Dr Vijay Mallya there was net gain of £4 million arising from the termination of certain related agreements, that were previously provided for less legal fees directly attributable to the settlement. See note 18(d).

(c) In the year ended 30 June 2015, £146 million was charged in respect of settlement of several related disputes with the Korean customs authorities regarding the transfer pricing methodology applicable to imported products. Total payments to settle these disputes in 2015 were £74 million as £87 million was paid to the customs authorities prior to 30 June 2014, and was previously accounted for as a receivable from Korean customs.

(d) In the year ended 30 June 2015, an exceptional impairment charge of £41 million was charged to other operating expenses in respect of the group's 45.56% equity investment in Hanoi Liquor Joint Stock Company.

(e) There have been a number of restructuring programmes which were all completed by 30 June 2015. The costs incurred in the two years ended 30 June 2015 were largely in respect of redundancies and accelerated depreciation and were incurred in all regions.

(f) On 7 October 2015, Diageo disposed of its 57.87% shareholding in D&G (Jamaican Red Stripe business) and its 49.99% stake in GAPL Pte Limited (Singapore and Malaysian beer businesses) to Heineken resulting in a gain before taxation of £457 million. The gain is net of a £13 million cumulative exchange loss, in respect of prior years, recycled from other comprehensive income and transaction costs of £7 million. As part of the transaction, Diageo purchased an additional 20% shareholding in Guinness Ghana Breweries Limited (GGBL) from Heineken which increased Diageo's shareholding in GGBL to 72.42%.

(g) On 1 January 2016, Diageo completed the sale of the majority of its wine interests in the United States and its UK based Percy Fox businesses to Treasury Wine Estates. Together with the sale of the group's other wine interests in the United States the transactions resulted in a loss before taxation on disposal of £191 million including an estimated provision for the settlement of a guarantee given in respect of the lease payments due to Realty Income Corporation, the lessor of the vineyards. The loss is net of an exchange gain of £12 million, in respect of prior years, recycled from other comprehensive income and transaction costs of £8 million.

(h) On 29 January 2016, Diageo disposed of its interests in Argentina to Grupo Peñaflor. The transaction resulted in a loss before taxation of £38 million including a cumulative exchange loss of £20 million, in respect of prior years, recycled from other comprehensive income and other directly attributable costs of £7 million.

(i) On 1 December 2015, Diageo disposed of its 42.25% equity interests in DHN Drinks, its 25% equity stake in Sedibeng Breweries Limited and its 15.01% equity stake in Namibia Breweries Limited (South African associate interests) to Heineken. The net cash consideration received was £120 million, which included the repayment of £31 million in respect of loans previously made to DHN Drinks and Sedibeng Breweries Limited. A loss before taxation of £27 million, including a £30 million cumulative exchange loss, in respect of prior years, recycled from other comprehensive income, was accounted for in the income statement.

(j) On 30 September 2015, the group completed the disposal of its shareholding in Central Glass Industries Limited (CGI), a Kenyan glass bottle manufacturer, resulting in a gain before taxation of £14 million, net of £1 million transaction costs. £7 million of the gain is attributable to non-controlling interests.

(k) On 30 June 2015, Diageo completed the disposal of Gleneagles Hotels Limited to the Ennismore group.

(l) On 27 February 2015, the group completed the purchase of the 50% equity interest in Don Julio B.V. that it did not already own (giving Diageo 100% ownership of the brand and production facility) and the Mexican distribution business of Don Julio. As a result of Don Julio becoming a subsidiary of the group a gain of £63 million (net of transaction costs of £7 million) arose, being the difference between the book value of the joint venture prior to the transaction and the fair value of £115 million.

As part of the transaction, Diageo sold its wholly owned subsidiary, The Old Bushmills Distillery Company Limited to the Cuervo group, resulting in a gain of £174 million.

(m) On 4 July 2013, the group acquired an additional 14.98% investment in United Spirits Limited (USL) which increased the group's investment in USL from 10.04% to 25.02% and triggered a change in accounting from available-for-sale investments to associates. As a result, the difference of £140 million between the original cost of the investment and its fair value of £399 million was included in the income statement in the year ended 30 June 2014.

On 2 July 2014, with the completion of a tender offer, the group acquired an additional 26% investment in USL taking its investment to 54.78% (excluding 2.38% owned by the USL Benefit Trust). From 2 July 2014 the group accounted for USL as a subsidiary with a 43.91% non-controlling interest. As a result of USL becoming a subsidiary of the group a gain of £103 million arose, being the difference between the book value of the associate prior to the transaction and its fair value of £982 million. The gain is net of a £79 million cumulative exchange loss recycled from other comprehensive income and £10 million transaction costs.

(n) On 29 May 2015, Diageo acquired the remaining 50% equity stake of one of the group's joint ventures in South Africa. The difference between the fair value and the book value of the 50% that Diageo already owned is disclosed as an exceptional step up loss.

(o) A guarantee provided by Diageo for a loan of $135 million (£92 million) given by Standard Chartered Bank (SCB) to Watson Limited was called and $135 million paid to SCB during the year. The underlying security package for the loan remains in place. A provision of $135 million has been made. Further details are set out in note 18(a).

A guarantee of £30 million to Standard Chartered Bank was given for borrowings owed by United Breweries Overseas Limited (UBOL), a subsidiary of United Breweries (Holdings) Limited in April 2012. The borrowings went into default, and the guarantee was called, in May 2015. Whilst Diageo continues to have the benefit of counter-indemnification from UBOL, it does not believe that it is likely to result in meaningful recovery and therefore fully provided for the guaranteed amount in the year ended 30 June 2015.

Cash payments included in cash generated from operations in respect of exceptional restructuring items, exceptional legal settlements, guarantee and settlement payments and thalidomide were as follows:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Exceptional restructuring	(52)	(117)	(104)
Disengagement agreements relating to United Spirits Limited	(28)	–	–
Thalidomide	(12)	(19)	(59)
Korea settlement	–	(74)	–
Guarantee related payments	–	(30)	–
Total cash payments	(92)	(240)	(163)

5. Finance income and charges

Accounting policies

Net interest includes interest income and charges in respect of financial instruments and the results of hedging transactions used to manage interest rate risk.

Finance charges directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of a qualifying asset, being an asset that necessarily takes a substantial period of time to get ready for its intended use or sale, are added to the cost of that asset. Borrowing costs which are not capitalised are recognised in the income statement based on the effective interest method. All other finance charges are recognised primarily in the income statement in the year in which they are incurred.

Net other finance charges include items in respect of post employment plans, the discount unwind of long term obligations and hyperinflation charges. The results of operations in hyperinflationary economies are adjusted to reflect the changes in the purchasing power of the local currency of the entity before being translated to sterling.

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Interest income	153	162	109
Fair value gain on interest rate instruments	88	61	115
Total interest income	241	223	224
Interest charge on bank loans and overdrafts	(67)	(102)	(40)
Interest charge on fi ance leases	(13)	(17)	(20)
Interest charge on all other borrowings	(379)	(409)	(395)
Fair value loss on interest rate instruments	(91)	(55)	(117)
Total interest charges	(550)	(583)	(572)
Net interest charges	(309)	(360)	(348)
Net fi ance income in respect of post employment plans in surplus (note 13)	18	13	17
Other fi ance income	3	8	–
Total other finance income	21	21	17
Net fi ance charge in respect of post employment plans in deficit (note 13)	(23)	(26)	(29)
Unwinding of discounts	(11)	(14)	(9)
Change in fi ancial liability	–	(13)	–
Hyperinfl tion adjustment	(1)	(17)	(13)
Other finance charges	(4)	(3)	(6)
Total other finance charges	(39)	(73)	(57)
Net other finance charges	(18)	(52)	(40)

6. Investments in associates and joint ventures

Accounting policies

An associate is an undertaking in which the group has a long term equity interest and over which it has the power to exercise significant influence. A joint venture is a joint arrangement whereby the parties that have joint control of the arrangement have rights to the net assets of the arrangement. The group's interest in the net assets of associates and joint ventures is reported in investments in the consolidated balance sheet and its interest in their results (net of tax) is included in the consolidated income statement below the group's operating profit. Investments in associates and joint ventures are reviewed for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. The impairment review compares the net carrying value with the recoverable amount, where the recoverable amount is the higher of the value in use calculated as the present value of the group's share of the associate's future cash flows and its fair value less costs to sell.

Associates and joint ventures are initially recorded at cost including transaction costs.

Diageo's principal associate at 30 June 2016 was Moët Hennessy (2015 – Moët Hennessy).

Diageo owns 34% of Moët Hennessy, the spirits and wine subsidiary of LVMH Moët Hennessy – Louis Vuitton SA (LVMH). LVMH is based in France and is listed on the Paris Stock Exchange. Moët Hennessy is also based in France and is a producer and exporter of champagne and cognac brands.

A number of joint distribution arrangements have been established with LVMH in Asia Pacific and France, principally covering distribution of Diageo's premium brands of Scotch whisky and gin and Moët Hennessy's premium champagne and cognac brands. Diageo and LVMH have each undertaken not to engage in any champagne or cognac activities competing with those of Moët Hennessy. The arrangements also contain certain provisions for the protection of Diageo as a non-controlling shareholder in Moët Hennessy. The operations of Moët Hennessy in France are conducted through a partnership in which Diageo has a 34% interest and, as a partner, Diageo pays any tax due on its share of the results of the partnership to the tax authorities.

For the year ended 30 June 2014 Diageo equity accounted for its investment in USL, the leading spirits company in India, as an associate. On 2 July 2014, with the completion of the tender offer the group acquired an additional 26% investment in USL taking its investment to 54.78% (excluding 2.38% owned by the USL Benefit Trust). From 2 July 2014 the carrying value of the associate of £790 million was derecognised and the group accounted for USL as a subsidiary with 43.91% non-controlling interests.

On 27 February 2015, Diageo acquired the 50% of Don Julio B.V. that it did not already own and the carrying value of the joint venture of £40 million was derecognised and the group accounted for Don Julio B.V. as a subsidiary.

On 29 May 2015, Diageo acquired the remaining 50% equity stake of one of the group's joint ventures in South Africa that it did not already own. From that date the carrying value of the joint venture of £22 million was derecognised and the group accounted for it as a subsidiary.

On 7 October 2015, Diageo completed a transaction and disposed of its 57.87% shareholding in D&G (Jamaican Red Stripe business) and its 49.99% stake in GAPL Pte Limited (Singapore and Malaysian beer business) to Heineken. GAPL owns 51% of Guinness Anchor Berhad, operating in Malaysia, which was also disposed of.

On 1 December 2015, the group disposed of its South African associate interests which were accounted for as assets held for sale at 30 June 2015.

Additions of £28 million include investments made during the year, for which part of the consideration is deferred, in the New World Whisky Distillery Pty Limited and Stauning Whisky Holding ApS where Diageo acquired minority equity stakes.

(a) An analysis of the movement in the group's investments in associates and joint ventures is as follows:

	Moët Hennessy £ million	USL and others £ million	Total £ million
Cost less provisions			
At 30 June 2014	2,152	1,049	3,201
Exchange differences	(200)	(5)	(205)
Capital injection	–	21	21
Step acquisitions	–	(852)	(852)
Share of profit after tax	164	11	175
Share of movements in other comprehensive income and equity	(14)	–	(14)
Transfer to assets held for sale	–	(82)	(82)
Dividends	(148)	(35)	(183)
Share of tax attributable to shareholders	56	–	56
Impairment charge	–	(41)	(41)
At 30 June 2015	**2,010**	**66**	**2,076**
Exchange differences	318	7	325
Additions	–	28	28
Share of profit after tax	217	4	221
Transfer to asset held for sale[i]	–	3	3
Disposals	–	(18)	(18)
Dividends	(167)	(6)	(173)
Share of tax attributable to shareholders	67	–	67
Other	–	(1)	(1)
At 30 June 2016	**2,445**	**83**	**2,528**

(i) In respect of South African associate interests that were disposed of in the year. The businesses were reported as asset held for sale at 30 June 2015.

(b) Income statement information for the three years ended 30 June 2016 and balance sheet information as at 30 June 2016 and 30 June 2015 of Moët Hennessy is as follows:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Net sales	3,491	3,215	3,329
Profit for the year	638	482	722
Total comprehensive income	706	588	639

Moët Hennessy prepares its financial statements under IFRS as endorsed by the EU in euros to 31 December each year. The results are adjusted for alignment to Diageo accounting policies and are a major part of the Wines & Spirits division of LVMH. The results are translated at £1 = €1.34 (2015 – £1 = €1.31; 2014 – £1 = €1.20).

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Non-current assets	3,832	3,251
Current assets	6,277	5,118
Total assets	10,109	8,369
Non-current liabilities	(1,009)	(894)
Current liabilities	(1,907)	(1,562)
Total liabilities	(2,916)	(2,456)
Net assets	7,193	5,913

(1) Including acquisition fair value adjustments principally in respect of Moët Hennessy's brands and translated at £1 = €1.2 (2015 – £1 = €1.41).

(c) For the year ended 30 June 2014 USL had net sales of £1,188 million and £nil net profit.

(d) Information on transactions between the group and its associates and joint ventures is disclosed in note 20.

(e) Investments in associates and joint ventures comprise the cost of shares less goodwill written off n acquisitions prior to 1 July 1998 of £1,132 million (2015 – £974 million), plus the group's share of post acquisition reserves of £1,396 million (2015 – £1,102 million).

(f) The associates and joint ventures have not reported any material contingent liabilities in their latest financial statements.

7. Taxation

Accounting policies

Current tax is based on taxable profit for the year. Taxable profit is different from accounting profit due to temporary differences between accounting and tax treatments, and due to items that are never taxable or tax deductible. Tax benefits are not recognised unless it is probable that the tax positions are sustainable. Once considered to be probable, tax benefits are reviewed each year to assess whether a provision should be taken against full recognition of the benefit on the basis of potential settlement through negotiation and/or litigation. Tax provisions are included in current liabilities. Interest and penalties on tax liabilities are provided for in the tax charge.

Full provision for *deferred tax* is made for temporary differences between the carrying value of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and their value for tax purposes. The amount of deferred tax reflects the expected recoverable amount and is based on the expected manner of recovery or settlement of the carrying amount of assets and liabilities, using the basis of taxation enacted or substantively enacted by the balance sheet date. Deferred tax assets are not recognised where it is more likely than not that the assets will not be realised in the future. No deferred tax liability is provided in respect of any future remittance of earnings of foreign subsidiaries where the group is able to control the remittance of earnings and it is probable that such earnings will not be remitted in the foreseeable future, or where no liability would arise on the remittance.

Critical accounting estimates and judgements

The group is required to estimate the corporate tax in each of the many jurisdictions in which it operates. The recognition of tax benefits and assessment of provisions against tax benefits requires management judgement. In particular the group is routinely subject to tax audits in many jurisdictions, which by their nature are often complex and can take several years to resolve. Provisions are based on management's interpretation of country specific tax law and the likelihood of settlement. However the actual tax liabilities could differ from the provision and in such event the group would be required to make an adjustment in a subsequent period which could have a material impact on the group's profit for the year.

The evaluation of deferred tax assets recoverability requires judgements to be made regarding the availability of future taxable income.

(a) Analysis of taxation charge for the year

	United Kingdom			Rest of world			Total		
	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Current tax									
Current year	61	75	102	515	381	361	576	456	463
Adjustments in respect of prior years	–	–	(4)	63	(15)	(8)	63	(15)	(12)
	61	75	98	578	366	353	639	441	451
Deferred tax									
Origination and reversal of temporary differences	26	(7)	(32)	(109)	11	27	(83)	4	(5)
Changes in tax rates	6	–	4	1	(1)	–	7	(1)	4
Adjustments in respect of prior years	2	10	(22)	(69)	12	19	(67)	22	(3)
	34	3	(50)	(177)	22	46	(143)	25	(4)
Taxation on profit from continuing operations	95	78	48	401	388	399	496	466	447

(b) Exceptional tax (credits)/charges

The taxation charge includes the following exceptional items:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Sale of businesses	(49)	–	–
Brand impairment	(10)	–	(65)
Disengagement agreements relating to United Spirits Limited	3	–	–
Korea settlement	–	(30)	–
Restructuring	–	(21)	(34)
	(56)	(51)	(99)

(c) Taxation rate reconciliation and factors that may affect future tax charges

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Profit from continuing operations before taxation	2,858	2,933	2,711
Notional charge at UK corporation tax rate of 20% (2015 – 20.75%; 2014 – 22.5%)	571	608	610
Elimination of notional tax on share of after tax results of associates and joint ventures	(44)	(36)	(56)
Differences in overseas tax rates	50	64	33
Intra-group financing	(97)	(81)	(106)
Non-taxable gains on disposals of businesses	(90)	(51)	–
Step-up gain	–	(34)	(32)
Other tax rate and tax base differences	(87)	(95)	(105)
Other items not chargeable	(66)	(89)	(40)
Impairment	21	9	–
Non-deductible losses on disposals of businesses	24	–	–
Other non-deductible exceptional items	31	10	–
Other items not deductible[i]	180	155	154
Changes in tax rates	7	(1)	4
Adjustments in respect of prior years	(4)	7	(15)
Tax charge for the year	496	466	447

(i) Other items not-deductible include irrecoverable withholding tax, controlled foreign companies charge and additional states and local taxes.

The table above reconciles the notional taxation charge calculated at the UK tax rate, to the actual total tax charge. As a group operating in multiple countries, the actual tax rates applicable to profits in those countries are different from the UK tax rate. The impact is shown in the table above as differences in overseas tax rates. The group's worldwide business leads to the consideration of a number of important factors which may affect future tax charges, such as: the levels and mix of profitability in different jurisdictions, transfer pricing regulations, tax rates imposed and tax regime reforms, acquisitions, disposals, restructuring activities, and settlements or agreements with tax authorities.

The group has a number of ongoing tax audits worldwide for which provisions are recognised based on best estimates and management's judgements concerning the ultimate outcome of the audit. As at 30 June 2016 the ongoing audits that are provided for individually are not expected to result in a material tax liability. The current tax liability of £340 million includes £249 million (2015 – £205 million) of provisions for tax uncertainties.

Significant ongoing changes in the international tax environment and an increase in global tax audit activity means that tax uncertainties and associated risks have been gradually increasing. In the medium term, these risks could result in an increase in tax liabilities or adjustments to the carrying value of deferred tax assets and liabilities. The group is continuously monitoring the position but it is expected that our tax rate may increase in future years.

(d) Deferred tax assets and liabilities

The amounts of deferred tax accounted for in the consolidated balance sheet comprise the following net deferred tax assets/(liabilities):

	Property, plant and equipment £ million	Intangible assets £ million	Post employment plans £ million	Tax losses £ million	Other temporary differences[i] £ million	Total £ million
At 30 June 2014	(126)	(1,361)	100	113	155	(1,119)
Exchange differences	11	(79)	(1)	(5)	(6)	(80)
Recognised in income statement – continuing operations	1	(55)	14	(30)	45	(25)
Recognised in other comprehensive income and equity	–	–	(73)	25	4	(44)
Acquisition of businesses	(16)	(446)	2	–	(12)	(472)
Reclassification	–	14	41	–	(55)	–
Sale of businesses	6	29	(2)	–	–	33
At 30 June 2015	(124)	(1,898)	81	103	131	(1,707)
Exchange differences	(18)	(283)	28	5	23	(245)
Recognised in income statement – continuing operations	16	(28)	7	(47)	195	143
Recognised in other comprehensive income and equity	–	–	122	1	11	134
Acquisition of businesses	(11)	–	–	–	–	(11)
Sale of businesses	–	1	2	–	(1)	2
Reclassification	11	7	–	(2)	(16)	–
At 30 June 2016	**(126)**	**(2,201)**	**240**	**60**	**343**	**(1,684)**

(i) Deferred tax on other temporary differences includes items such as the thalidomide provisions, restructuring provisions, share-based payments and intra group sales of products.

After offsetting deferred tax assets and liabilities where appropriate within territories, the net deferred tax liability comprises:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Deferred tax assets	298	189
Deferred tax liabilities	(1,982)	(1,896)
	(1,684)	(1,707)

The deferred tax assets of £298 million includes £223 million (2015 – £113 million) arising in jurisdictions with prior year taxable losses. The majority of the asset is in respect of the United Kingdom and Ireland, where the amounts arose from timing differences on intangible fixed assets and pension funding payments. It is considered more likely than not that there will be sufficient future taxable profits to realise these deferred tax assets, most of which can be carried forward indefinitely.

(e) Unrecognised deferred tax assets

Deferred tax assets have not been recognised in respect of the following tax losses:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Capital losses – indefinite	71	72
Trading losses – indefinite	76	74
Trading losses – expiry dates up to 2025	3	2
	150	148

(f) Unrecognised deferred tax liabilities

UK legislation largely exempts overseas dividends remitted from UK tax. A tax liability is more likely to arise in respect of withholding taxes levied by the overseas jurisdiction. Deferred tax is provided where there is an intention to distribute earnings, and a tax liability arises. It is impractical to estimate the amount of unrecognised deferred tax liabilities in respect of these unremitted earnings.

The aggregate amount of temporary differences in respect of investments in subsidiaries, branches, interests in associates and joint ventures for which deferred tax liabilities have not been recognised is approximately £13.4 billion (2015 – £14.5 billion).

8. Discontinued operations

Accounting policies

Discontinued operations comprise disposal groups where they represent a major line of business or geographical area of operations or business activities that the group no longer participates in or did not form part of the group's operations.

Discontinued operations in the year ended 30 June 2014 comprised a charge after taxation of £83 million (£91 million less tax of £8 million) in respect of the settlement of thalidomide litigation in Australia and New Zealand and anticipated future payments to thalidomide organisations.

OPERATING ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Introduction

This section describes the assets used to generate the group's performance and the liabilities incurred. Liabilities relating to the group's financing activities are included in section 'Risk management and capital structure' and balance sheet information in respect of associates, joint ventures and taxation are covered in section 'Results for the year'. This section also provides detailed disclosures on the group's recent acquisitions and disposals, performance and financial position of its defined benefit post employment plans.

9. Acquisition and sale of businesses and purchase of non-controlling interests

Accounting policies

The consolidated financial statements include the results of the company and its subsidiaries together with the group's attributable share of the results of associates and joint ventures. The results of subsidiaries acquired or sold are included in the income statement from, or up to, the date that control passes.

Business combinations are accounted for using the acquisition method. Identifiable assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities acquired are measured at fair value at acquisition date. The consideration payable is measured at fair value and includes the fair value of any contingent consideration.

On the acquisition of a business, or of an interest in an associate or joint venture, fair values, reflecting conditions at the date of acquisition, are attributed to the net assets including identifiable intangible assets and contingent liabilities acquired. Directly attributable acquisition costs in respect of subsidiary companies acquired are recognised in other external charges as incurred.

The non-controlling interests on the date of acquisition can be measured either at the fair value or at the non-controlling shareholder's proportion of the net fair value of the identifiable assets assumed. This choice is made separately for each acquisition.

Where the group has issued a put option over shares held by a non-controlling interest, the group derecognises the non-controlling interests and instead recognises a contingent deferred consideration liability for the estimated amount likely to be paid to the non-controlling interest on the exercise of those options. Movements in the estimated liability in respect of put options are recognised in retained earnings.

Transactions with non-controlling interests are recorded directly in retained earnings.

For all entities in which the company, directly or indirectly, owns equity a judgement is made to determine whether the investor controls the investee and therefore should fully consolidate the investee. An assessment is carried out to determine whether the group has the exposure or rights to the variable returns of the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. To establish control an analysis is carried out of the substantive and protective rights that the group and the other investors hold. This assessment is dependent on the activities and purpose of the investee and the rights of the other shareholders, such as which party controls the board, executive committee and material policies of the investee. Determining whether the rights that the group holds are substantive requires management judgement.

Where less than 50% of the equity of an investee is held, and the group holds significantly more voting rights than any other vote holder or organised group of vote holders this may be an indicator of de facto control. An assessment is needed to determine all the factors relevant to the relationship with the investee to ascertain whether control has been established and whether the investee should be consolidated as a subsidiary. Where voting power and returns from an investment are split equally between two entities then the arrangement is accounted for as a joint venture.

On an acquisition fair values are attributed to the assets and liabilities acquired. This may involve material judgement to determine these values.

(a) Acquisition of businesses

Fair value of net assets acquired and cash consideration paid in respect of the acquisition of businesses and the purchase of shares of non-controlling interests in the three years ended 30 June 2016 were as follows:

	Net assets acquired and consideration		
	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Brands and other intangibles	**26**	1,941	10
Property, plant and equipment	**–**	275	1
Biological assets	**–**	5	–
Investments	**–**	58	–
Inventories	**–**	247	1
Assets and liabilities held for sale	**–**	401	–
Other working capital	**–**	62	1
Current tax	**(1)**	(35)	–
Deferred tax	**(11)**	(472)	–
Cash	**–**	64	–
Borrowings	**–**	(869)	–
Post employment benefit liabilities	**–**	(7)	–
Fair value of assets and liabilities	**14**	1,670	13
Goodwill arising on acquisition	**(14)**	1,419	16
Non-controlling interests	**–**	(641)	(8)
Step acquisitions	**–**	(1,113)	–
Consideration payable	**–**	1,335	21
Satisfied by:			
Cash consideration paid	**–**	1,334	28
Deferred/contingent consideration payable	**–**	1	1
Receivables from non-controlling interests	**–**	–	(8)
	–	1,335	21
Cash consideration paid for investment in USL	**–**	1,118	474
Cash consideration paid for investments in other subsidiaries	**–**	216	28
Cash consideration paid for investments in associates	**10**	–	2
Cash consideration paid in respect of prior year acquisitions	**4**	4	14
Capital injection in associates	**1**	21	7
Cash acquired	**–**	(64)	–
Deposit (refunded)/paid	**–**	(11)	11
Net cash outflow on acquisition of businesses	**15**	1,284	536
Purchase of shares of non-controlling interests	**21**	–	37
Total net cash outflow	**36**	1,284	573

Purchase of non-controlling interest in Ghana

On 7 October 2015, Diageo purchased from Heineken an additional 20% shareholding in Guinness Ghana Breweries Limited (GGBL) for $32 million (£21 million) which increased Diageo's shareholding in GGBL from 52.42% to 72.42%. A subsequent rights issue has increased Diageo's shareholding in GGBL to 80.4%.

Other

In the year ended 30 June 2016 the change in brands, goodwill, current and deferred tax reflects the finalisation of the fair values of net assets acquired on the acquisition of a joint venture in South Africa in May 2015.

Prior year acquisitions

In prior years, Diageo has made a number of acquisitions of brands, distribution rights and equity interests in drinks businesses. In the two years ended 30 June 2015 the following acquisitions have been made:

		Fair value of net assets acquired					
	Cash paid[i] £ million	Brands £ million	Goodwill £ million	Other £ million	Location	Principal brands acquired	Status
United Spirits Limited[ii] 13 May 2013 to 2 July 2014	1,825	1,683	1,281	(273)	India	McDowell's No1 whisky, rum and brandy, Black Dog, Signature, Antiquity and Bagpiper whisky and other Indian whisky, brandy and rum products	Acquisition of a 54.78% equity interest (excluding 2.38% owned by the USL Benefit Trust) in United Spirits Limited with a 43.91% non-controlling interest. The group consolidated USL from 2 July 2014.
Don Julio[iii] 27 February 2015	192	220	105	(18)	Mexico	Don Julio tequila	Acquisition of the remaining 50% equity interest in Don Julio
SJF Holdco and Shuijingfang 27 January 2007 to 2 August 2013	302	502	115	46	China	Shui Jing Fang Chinese white spirit	Acquisition of a 100% equity stake in SJF Holdco which owns a 39.7% controlling equity interest in Shuijingfang. The group controlled Shuijingfang from 29 June 2012.
Other[iv]	65	36	34	(13)			

(i) Includes amounts paid in respect of these acquisitions prior to 30 June 2013.
(ii) Includes transaction costs of £33 million on the initial acquisition of shares in USL when the investment was accounted for as an associate. In addition to the fair value of net assets acquired, the group recognised a non-controlling interest of £641 million and a step up gain of £192 million.
(iii) In addition to the fair value of net assets acquired, the group derecognised an investment in associate of £40 million and recognised a step up gain of £75 million.
(iv) Other primarily includes acquisitions in the United States and South Africa.

(b) Sale of businesses

The sale consideration received and a summary of the net assets disposed of in respect of the sale of businesses in the year ended 30 June 2016 were as follows:

				2016	2015
	Jamaica, Singapore and Malaysia £ million	Wines in United States and Percy Fox £ million	Other £ million	Total £ million	Total £ million
Sale consideration					
Cash received in year	531	418	165	1,114	1,001
(Cash)/overdraft disposed of	(14)	1	(1)	(14)	(17)
Transaction and other directly attributable costs paid	(7)	(22)	(9)	(38)	(6)
Net cash received	510	397	155	1,062	978
Deferred consideration receivable/(payable)	–	15	(1)	14	(3)
	510	412	154	1,076	975
Net assets disposed of					
Brands	–	(94)	–	(94)	(144)
Goodwill	–	(34)	(2)	(36)	(44)
Property, plant and equipment	(40)	(86)	(13)	(139)	(118)
Biological assets	–	(70)	–	(70)	–
Investment in associates	(18)	–	–	(18)	–
Assets and liabilities held for sale	–	–	(113)	(113)	(404)
Inventories	(7)	(263)	(24)	(294)	(78)
Other working capital	4	(4)	(5)	(5)	19
Post employment benefit liabilities	(6)	5	–	(1)	10
Current tax	1	–	–	1	1
Deferred tax	3	–	(1)	2	33
Borrowings	–	–	14	14	–
	(63)	(546)	(144)	(753)	(725)
Non-controlling interests	24	–	–	24	–
Accelerated depreciation and directly attributable costs payable	(1)	(69)	(11)	(81)	(3)
Exchange recycled from other comprehensive income	(13)	12	(50)	(51)	–
Gain/(loss) on disposal before taxation	457	(191)	(51)	215	247
Taxation	(7)	54	2	49	–
Gain/(loss) on disposal after taxation	450	(137)	(49)	264	247

On 7 October 2015, the group completed the sale of Diageo's Jamaica, Singapore and Malaysian beer interests to Heineken. In the year ended 30 June 2016 Jamaica beer interests contributed net sales of £41 million, including sales made in respect of country distribution agreements that were terminated after 7 October 2015, (2015 – £107 million; 2014 – £100 million), operating profit of £7 million (2015 – £24 million; 2014 – £25 million) and profit after taxation of £6 million (2015 – £21 million; 2014 – £21 million). In addition the Singaporian and Malaysian beer interests contributed £3 million to share of profit of associates (2015 – £13 million; 2014 – £12 million).

On 1 January 2016, Diageo completed the sale of the majority of its wine interests in the United States and its UK based Percy Fox businesses to Treasury Wine Estates. In addition, in the year ended 30 June 2016 Diageo disposed of its other US wine interests. In the year ended 30 June 2016 the wine businesses, including the ending of distribution agreements in respect of wine brands in the United Kingdom that terminated post 1 January 2016, contributed net sales of £161 million (2015 – £343 million; 2014 – £307 million), operating profit of £12 million (2015 – £58 million; 2014 – £64 million) and profit after taxation of £4 million (2015 – £31 million; 2014 – £39 million).

Other includes the sale of the group's South African associate interests disposed of on 1 December 2015 which were disclosed as assets held for sale at 30 June 2015. Other also includes the group's shareholding in Central Glass Industries Limited (CGI) disposed of on 30 September 2015, the Bouvet wine business in France and the group's subsidiary in Argentina.

In the year ended 30 June 2015 the group disposed of the entire share capital of The Old Bushmills Distillery Company Limited to Jose Cuervo Overseas. The comparative also includes businesses disposed of following the acquisition of USL including the net cash receipt of £391 million on the sale of the Whyte and Mackay Group on 31 October 2014 and the proceeds and net assets following the disposal of Gleneagles Hotels Limited on 30 June 2015.

10. Intangible assets

Accounting policies

Acquired intangible assets are held on the consolidated balance sheet at cost less accumulated amortisation and impairment losses. Acquired brands and other intangible assets are initially recognised at fair value when they are controlled through contractual or other legal rights, or are separable from the rest of the business, and the fair value can be reliably measured. Where these assets are regarded as having indefinite useful economic lives, they are not amortised.

Goodwill represents the excess of the aggregate of the consideration transferred, the value of any non-controlling interests and the fair value of any previously held equity interest in the subsidiary acquired over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired. Goodwill arising on acquisitions prior to 1 July 1998 was eliminated against reserves, and this goodwill has not been reinstated. Goodwill arising subsequent to 1 July 1998 has been capitalised.

Amortisation and impairment of intangible assets is based on their useful economic lives and are amortised on a straight-line basis over those lives and reviewed for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Goodwill and intangible assets that are regarded as having indefinite useful economic lives are not amortised and are reviewed for impairment at least annually or when there is an indication that the assets may be impaired. Impairment reviews compare the net carrying value with the recoverable amount (where recoverable amount is the higher of fair value less cost to sell and value in use). Amortisation and any impairment write downs are charged to other operating expenses in the income statement.

Computer software is amortised on a straight-line basis to estimated residual value over its expected useful life. Residual values and useful lives are reviewed each year. Subject to these reviews, the estimated useful lives are up to eight years.

Critical accounting estimates and judgements

Assessment of the recoverable amount of an intangible asset, the useful economic life of an asset, or that an asset has an indefinite life, requires management judgement.

Impairment reviews are carried out to ensure that intangible assets, including brands, are not carried at above their recoverable amounts. The tests are dependent on management's estimates and judgements, in particular in relation to the forecasting of future cash flows, the discount rates applied to those cash flows and the expected long term growth rates. Such estimates and judgements are subject to change as a result of changing economic conditions and actual cash flows may differ from forecasts.

	Brands £ million	Goodwill £ million	Other intangibles £ million	Computer software £ million	Total £ million
Cost					
At 30 June 2014	5,839	1,213	1,121	493	8,666
Exchange differences	157	(57)	96	(7)	189
Acquisition of businesses	1,903	1,419	38	–	3,360
Sale of businesses	(144)	(44)	–	–	(188)
Other additions	–	–	1	40	41
Other disposals	–	–	–	(15)	(15)
At 30 June 2015	7,755	2,531	1,256	511	12,053
Exchange differences	969	286	216	32	1,503
Sale of businesses	(94)	(36)	–	(1)	(131)
Acquisitions[i]	26	(14)	–	–	12
Other additions	–	–	1	42	43
Other disposals	–	–	(1)	(16)	(17)
At 30 June 2016	**8,656**	**2,767**	**1,472**	**568**	**13,463**
Amortisation and impairment					
At 30 June 2014	432	12	56	275	775
Exchange differences	3	(2)	–	(2)	(1)
Amortisation for the year	–	–	4	56	60
Other disposals	–	–	–	(12)	(12)
At 30 June 2015	435	10	60	317	822
Exchange differences	80	16	3	23	122
Amortisation for the year	–	–	5	51	56
Exceptional impairment	62	42	–	–	104
Other disposals	–	–	–	(11)	(11)
At 30 June 2016	**577**	**68**	**68**	**380**	**1,093**
Carrying amount					
At 30 June 2016	**8,079**	**2,699**	**1,404**	**188**	**12,370**
At 30 June 2015	7,320	2,521	1,196	194	11,231
At 30 June 2014	5,407	1,201	1,065	218	7,891

(i) Acquisitions represent the finalisation of the fair values of an acquisition completed in the year ended 30 June 2015.

(a) Brands

At 30 June 2016, the principal acquired brands, all of which are regarded as having indefinite useful economic lives, are as follows:

	Principal markets	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Crown Royal whisky	United States	1,101	933
McDowell's No.1 whisky, rum and brandy	India	1,086	972
Captain Morgan	Global	903	765
Johnnie Walker whisky	Global	625	625
Smirnoff vodka	Global	620	525
Windsor Premier whisky	Korea	568	494
Yenì Raki	Turkey	446	403
Shui Jing Fang Chinese white spirit	Greater China	256	232
Don Julio tequila	United States	207	206
Signature whisky	India	204	183
Bell's whisky	United Kingdom	179	179
Black Dog whisky	India	172	154
Antiquity whisky	India	169	151
Seagram's 7 Crown whiskey	United States	168	142
Zacapa rum	Global	144	122
Seagram's VO whiskey	United States	143	121
Gordon's gin	Europe	119	119
Bagpiper whisky	India	117	104
Old Parr whisky	Global	106	83
Other brands		746	807
		8,079	7,320

The brands are protected by trademarks, which are renewable indefinitely, in all of the major markets where they are sold. There are not believed to be any legal, regulatory or contractual provisions that limit the useful lives of these brands. The nature of the premium drinks industry is that obsolescence is not a common issue, with indefinite brand lives being commonplace, and Diageo has a number of brands that were originally created more than 100 years ago. Accordingly, the Directors believe that it is appropriate that the brands are treated as having indefinite lives for accounting purposes and are therefore not amortised.

(b) Goodwill

For the purposes of impairment testing, goodwill has been attributed to the following cash-generating units:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
North America	217	217
Europe, Russia and Turkey		
– Europe (excluding Russia and Turkey)	147	106
– Turkey	452	409
Africa – Africa Regional Markets	96	86
Latin America and Caribbean – Mexico	99	98
Asia Pacific		
– Greater China	130	117
– India	1,475	1,320
Other cash-generating units	83	168
	2,699	2,521

Goodwill has arisen on the acquisition of businesses and includes synergies arising from cost savings, the opportunity to utilise Diageo's distribution network to leverage marketing of the acquired products and the extension of the group's portfolio of brands in new markets around the world.

(c) Other intangibles

Other intangibles principally comprise distribution rights. Diageo owns the global distribution rights for Ketel One vodka products in perpetuity, and the Directors believe that it is appropriate to treat these rights as having an indefinite life for accounting purposes. The carrying value at 30 June 2016 was £1,354 million (2015 – £1,147 million).

(d) Impairment testing

Impairment tests are performed annually, or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Recoverable amounts are calculated based on the value in use approach. The value in use calculations are based on discounted forecast cash flows using the assumption that cash flows continue in perpetuity at the terminal growth rate of each country or region. The individual brands and their associated tangible fixed assets are aggregated and tested as a cash-generating unit. Separate tests are carried out for each cash-generating unit (brand and attributable tangible fixed assets) and for each of the 21 markets. The goodwill is attributed to the markets.

Cash flows

Cash flows are forecast for each cash-generating unit for the financial year, which is approved by management and reflects expectations of sales growth, operating costs and margin, based on past experience and external sources of information.

Discount rate

The discount rates used are the weighted average cost of capital which reflects the returns on government bonds specific to the cash-generating units to which the goodwill is attributed or the countries where the brands are sold or returns on government bonds issued by triple 'A' rated countries with a maturity of ten years, and an equity risk premium adjusted for specific industry. Further risk

premiums are applied according to management's assessment of the risks in respect of the individual cash flows. The group applies post-tax discount rates to post-tax cash flows as the valuation calculated using this method closely approximates to applying pre-tax discount rates to pre-tax cash flows.

Long term growth rate, period of growth and terminal growth rate
The terminal growth rates applied at the end of the forecast period are the long term annual inflation rate of the country obtained from external sources adjusted to take into account circumstances specific to the group. For some intangible assets, management expects to achieve growth, driven by Diageo's sales, marketing and distribution expertise, which is significantly in excess of the terminal growth rates for the applicable countries or regions. In these circumstances, the recoverable amount is calculated based on a five-year detailed plan and extended by up to an additional ten years using the annual growth rate of the real gross domestic product (GDP) of the country or region aggregated with its inflation rate, adjusted to take into account circumstances specific to the group. In the calculation of the terminal value, a maximum of the long term annual inflation rate of the country is used as the terminal growth rate.

For goodwill, these assumptions are based on the cash-generating unit or group of units to which the goodwill is attributed. For brands, they are based on a weighted average taking into account the country or countries where sales are made.

The pre-tax discount rates and terminal growth rates used for impairment testing are as follows:

	2016		2015	
	Pre-tax discount rate[i] %	Terminal growth rate %	Pre-tax discount rate[i] %	Terminal growth rate %
North America – United States	9	2	9	2
Europe, Russia and Turkey				
– Europe	9	2	10	2
– Turkey	16	5	15	5
Africa				
– Africa Regional Markets	20	5	21	6
– South Africa	18	5	19	5
Latin America and Caribbean				
– Brazil	13	5	13	5
– Mexico	18	3	20	3
Asia Pacific				
– Korea	10	3	9	3
– Greater China	10	3	11	3
– India[ii]	14	6	19	6

(i) Before additional risk premiums.
(ii) Post-tax discount rates for India as at 30 June 2016 and 30 June 2015 were 12%.

In the year ended 30 June 2016, an impairment charge in respect of the Ypióca brand, the related fixed assets and goodwill allocated to the Paraguay, Uruguay and Brazil (PUB) cash-generating unit of £62 million, £14 million and £42 million, respectively was charged to other operating expenses. Forecast cash flow assumptions have been reduced principally due to a challenging economic environment in Brazil and significant adverse changes in local tax regulation.

(e) Sensitivity to change in key assumptions
Impairment testing for the year ended 30 June 2016 has identified the following cash-generating units as being sensitive to reasonably possible changes in assumptions.

The table below shows the headroom at 30 June 2016 and the impairment charge that would be required if the assumptions in the calculation of their value in use were changed:

	Headroom £ million	1ppt decrease in terminal growth rate £ million	1ppt increase in discount rate £ million	10% decrease in annual cash flow[i] £ million
India	93	(372)	(493)	(361)
Greater China	60	–	(13)	(12)
Meta	9	–	–	(11)

(i) 20% decrease in annual cash flow was considered as reasonable change for Meta.

It remains possible that changes in assumptions could arise in excess of those indicated in the table above.

For all intangibles with an indefinite life, other than the cash-generating units mentioned above, management has concluded that no reasonable possible change in the key assumptions on which it has determined the recoverable amounts would cause their carrying values to exceed their recoverable amounts.

11. Property, plant and equipment

Accounting policies
Land and buildings are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Freehold land is not depreciated. Leaseholds are depreciated over the unexpired period of the lease. Other property, plant and equipment are depreciated on a straight-line basis to estimated residual values over their expected useful lives, and these values and lives are reviewed each year. Subject to these reviews, the estimated useful lives fall within the following ranges: buildings – 10 to 50 years; within plant and equipment casks and containers – 15 to 50 years; other plant and equipment – 5 to 25 years; fixtures and fittings – 5 to 10 years; and returnable bottles and crates – 5 to 10 years.

Reviews are carried out if there is an indication that assets may be impaired, to ensure that property, plant and equipment are not carried at above their recoverable amounts.

Government grants
Government grants are not recognised until there is reasonable assurance that the group will comply with the conditions pursuant to which they have been granted and that the grants will be received. Government grants in respect of property, plant and equipment are deducted from the asset that they relate to, reducing the depreciation expense charged to the income statement.

Leases
Where the group has substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of an asset subject to a lease, the lease is treated as a finance lease. Assets held under finance leases are recognised as assets of the group at their fair value at the inception of the lease. The corresponding liability to the lessor is included in other financial liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet. Lease payments are apportioned between interest expense and a reduction of the lease obligation so as to achieve a constant rate of interest on the remaining balance of the liability. Other leases are treated as operating leases, with payments and receipts taken to the income statement on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease.

	Land and buildings £ million	Plant and equipment £ million	Fixtures and fittings £ million	Returnable bottles and crates £ million	Under construction £ million	Total £ million
Cost						
At 30 June 2014	1,333	3,219	120	477	353	5,502
Exchange differences	(40)	(119)	(5)	(44)	(11)	(219)
Acquisition of businesses	148	110	4	–	13	275
Sale of businesses	(105)	(73)	(7)	–	(1)	(186)
Other additions	51	181	13	35	297	577
Other disposals	(13)	(66)	(3)	(20)	(1)	(103)
Transfers	73	210	3	16	(302)	–
At 30 June 2015	1,447	3,462	125	464	348	5,846
Exchange differences	107	243	11	37	18	416
Sale of businesses	(119)	(164)	(3)	(18)	(18)	(322)
Other additions	30	137	7	23	274	471
Other disposals	(58)	(98)	(22)	(11)	(8)	(197)
Transfers	76	203	4	21	(304)	–
At 30 June 2016	1,483	3,783	122	516	310	6,214
Depreciation						
At 30 June 2014	391	1,298	81	295	4	2,069
Exchange differences	(8)	(64)	(6)	(28)	–	(106)
Depreciation charge for the year	46	215	13	42	–	316
Exceptional accelerated depreciation and impairment	–	23	–	–	–	23
Sale of businesses	(20)	(43)	(5)	–	–	(68)
Other disposals	(6)	(56)	–	(16)	–	(78)
At 30 June 2015	403	1,373	83	293	4	2,156
Exchange differences	36	113	8	25	–	182
Depreciation charge for the year	46	200	12	41	–	299
Exceptional accelerated depreciation and impairment	4	10	–	–	–	14
Non-operating exceptional accelerated depreciation	–	8	–	–	–	8
Sale of businesses	(65)	(105)	(3)	(10)	–	(183)
Other disposals	(24)	(86)	(20)	(9)	(4)	(143)
At 30 June 2016	400	1,513	80	340	–	2,333
Carrying amount						
At 30 June 2016	1,083	2,270	42	176	310	3,881
At 30 June 2015	1,044	2,089	42	171	344	3,690
At 30 June 2014	942	1,921	39	182	349	3,433

(a) The net book value of land and buildings comprises freeholds of £1,034 million (2015 – £975 million), long leaseholds of £28 million (2015 – £20 million) and short leaseholds of £21 million (2015 – £49 million). Depreciation was not charged on £203 million (2015 – £144 million) of land.

(b) At 30 June 2016, tangible fixed assets held under finance leases amounted to £264 million (2015 – £294 million), principally in respect of plant and equipment. Depreciation on assets held under finance leases was £19 million (2015 – £17 million).

(c) Property, plant and equipment is net of a government grant of £139 million (2015 – £118 million) received in prior years in respect of the construction of a rum distillery in the United States Virgin Islands.

12. Other investments

Accounting policies

Available-for-sale investments are non-derivative financial assets that are either designated as such upon initial recognition or not classified in any of the other financial assets categories. They are included in non-current assets. Subsequent to initial measurement, available-for-sale investments are stated at fair value. Gains and losses arising from the changes in fair value are recognised in other comprehensive income until the investment is disposed of or impaired, when the accumulated gains and losses are recycled to the income statement. Interest and dividends from available-for-sale investments are recognised in the consolidated income statement.

Loans receivable are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted on an active market. They are subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method less allowance for impairment. Allowances are made where there is evidence of a risk of non-payment taking into account ageing, previous experience and general economic conditions.

	Loans (a) £ million	Others £ million	Total £ million
Cost less allowances or fair value			
At 30 June 2014	56	7	63
Exchange differences	(2)	1	(1)
Acquisition of businesses	–	58	58
Other additions	27	–	27
Repayments and disposals	(25)	–	(25)
Fair value adjustment	–	20	20
Transfer to assets held for sale	(33)	–	(33)
At 30 June 2015	23	86	109
Exchange differences	2	2	4
Additions (b)	95	–	95
Repayments and disposals (c)	(4)	(89)	(93)
Fair value adjustment	–	9	9
Provision charged during the year (b)	(93)	–	(93)
At 30 June 2016	23	8	31

(a) At 30 June 2016, loans comprise £21 million (2015 – £21 million; 2014 – £23 million) of loans to customers and other third parties, after allowances of £98 million (2015 – £7 million; 2014 – £9 million), and £2 million (2015 – £2 million; 2014 – £33 million) of loans to associates.

(b) Additions include a loan of $135 million (£92 million) provided by Standard Chartered Bank (SCB) to Watson Limited and guaranteed by a subsidiary of the group. The loan became due in May 2015 and was paid to SCB by Diageo in January 2016. The amount receivable in respect of the guarantee has been fully provided for. See note 18(a).

(c) On 7 July 2015, Diageo sold its investment in United Breweries Limited, a company quoted on the Indian stock exchange, for a consideration of £89 million.

13. Post employment benefits

Accounting policies

The group's principal pension funds are defined benefit plans. In addition, the group has defined contribution plans, unfunded post employment medical benefit liabilities and other unfunded defined benefit post employment liabilities. For post employment plans, other than defined contribution plans, the amount charged to operating profit is the cost of accruing pension benefits promised to employees over the year, plus any changes arising on benefits granted to members by the group during the year. Net finance charges comprise the net deficit/asset on the plans at the beginning of the financial year, adjusted for cash flows in the year, multiplied by the discount rate for plan liabilities. The differences between the fair value of the plans' assets and the present value of the plans' liabilities are disclosed as an asset or liability on the consolidated balance sheet. Any differences due to changes in assumptions or experience are recognised in other comprehensive income. The amount of any pension fund asset recognised on the balance sheet is limited to any future refunds from the plan or the present value of reductions in future contributions to the plan.

Contributions payable by the group in respect of defined contribution plans are charged to operating profit as incurred.

Critical accounting estimates and judgements

Application of IAS 19 requires the exercise of judgement in relation to various assumptions including future pay rises, inflation and discount rates and employee and pensioner demographics.

Diageo determines the assumptions on a country by country basis in conjunction with its actuaries, and believes these assumptions to be in line with best practice, but the application of different assumptions could have a significant effect on the amounts reflected in the income statement, other comprehensive income and balance sheet. There may be also interdependency between some of the assumptions.

(a) Post employment benefit plans

The group operates a number of pension plans throughout the world, devised in accordance with local conditions and practices. The majority of the plans are defined benefit plans and are funded by payments to separately administered trusts or insurance companies. The group also operates a number of plans that are generally unfunded, primarily in the United States, which provide employees post employment medical costs.

The principal plans are in the United Kingdom, Ireland and the United States where benefits are based on employees' length of service and salary at retirement. All valuations were performed by independent actuaries using the projected unit credit method to determine pension costs. The most recent valuations of the significant defined benefit plans were carried out as follows:

Principal plans	Date of valuation
United Kingdom[(i)]	1 April 2015
Ireland[(ii)]	31 December 2015
United States	1 January 2015

(i) The Diageo Pension Scheme (the UK Scheme) closed to new members in November 2005. Employees who have joined Diageo in the United Kingdom since the defined benefit scheme closed have been eligible to become members of the Diageo Lifestyle Plan (a cash balance defined benefit pension plan).

(ii) The triennial valuation of the Guinness Ireland Group Pension Scheme in Ireland (the Irish Scheme) as at 31 December 2015 is in progress and the result of this valuation is expected to be agreed by Diageo and the trustee later in calendar year 2016. The Irish Scheme closed to new members in May 2013. Employees who have joined Diageo in Ireland since the defined benefit scheme closed have been eligible to become members of Diageo administered defined contribution plans.

The assets of the UK and Irish pension plans are held in separate trusts administered by trustees who are required to act in the best interests of the plans' beneficiaries. For the UK Scheme, the trustee is Diageo Pension Trust Limited. As required by legislation, one-third of the directors of the Trust are nominated by the members of the UK Scheme, member nominated directors are appointed from both the pensioner member community and the active member community. For the Irish Scheme Diageo Ireland makes four nominations and appoints three further candidates nominated by representative groupings.

The amounts charged to the consolidated income statement for the group's defined benefit post employment plans and the consolidated statement of comprehensive income for the three years ended 30 June 2016 are as follows:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Current service cost and administrative expenses	(112)	(120)	(118)
Past service gains	1	3	–
Gains on curtailments and settlements	1	3	26
Charge to operating profit	(110)	(114)	(92)
Net finance charge in respect of post employment plans	(5)	(13)	(12)
Charge before taxation[i]	(115)	(127)	(104)
Actual returns less amounts included in finance income	61	411	306
Experience gains	91	103	24
Changes in financial assumptions	(1,066)	(400)	(453)
Changes in demographic assumptions	62	9	(49)
Other comprehensive income/(loss)	(852)	123	(172)
Changes in the surplus restriction	–	–	3
Total other comprehensive income/(loss)	(852)	123	(169)

(i) The charge before taxation comprises:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
United Kingdom	(50)	(62)	(39)
Ireland	(19)	(22)	(28)
United States	(27)	(24)	(25)
Other	(19)	(19)	(12)
	(115)	(127)	(104)

In addition to the charge in respect of defined benefit post employment plans, contributions to the group's defined contribution plans were £16 million (2015 – £15 million; 2014 – £15 million).

The movement in the net deficit for the two years ended 30 June 2016 is set out below:

	Plan assets £ million	Plan liabilities £ million	Net deficit £ million
At 30 June 2014	7,480	(7,953)	(473)
Exchange differences	(144)	177	33
Acquisition of businesses	33	(40)	(7)
Sale of businesses	(20)	30	10
Charge before taxation	290	(417)	(127)
Other comprehensive income/(loss)[i]	411	(288)	123
Contributions by the group	184	–	184
Employee contributions	7	(7)	–
Benefits paid	(358)	358	–
At 30 June 2015	7,883	(8,140)	(257)
Exchange differences	328	(463)	(135)
Sale of businesses	(38)	37	(1)
Charge before taxation	275	(390)	(115)
Other comprehensive income/(loss)[i]	61	(913)	(852)
Contributions by the group	169	–	169
Employee contributions	6	(6)	–
Benefits paid	(428)	428	–
At 30 June 2016	8,256	(9,447)	(1,191)

(i) Excludes surplus restriction.

The plan assets and liabilities by type of post employment benefit and country is as follows:

	2016		2015	
	Plan assets £ million	Plan liabilities £ million	Plan assets £ million	Plan liabilities £ million
Pensions				
United Kingdom	6,047	(6,190)	5,922	(5,621)
Ireland	1,472	(2,149)	1,295	(1,554)
United States	474	(508)	401	(420)
Other	194	(240)	212	(242)
Post employment medical	2	(260)	1	(218)
Other post employment	67	(100)	52	(85)
	8,256	(9,447)	7,883	(8,140)

The balance sheet analysis of the post employment plans is as follows:

	2016		2015	
	Non-current assets[i] £ million	Non-current liabilities £ million	Non-current assets[i] £ million	Non-current liabilities £ million
Funded plans	55	(997)	436	(447)
Unfunded plans	–	(251)	–	(248)
	55	(1,248)	436	(695)

(i) Includes surplus restriction of £2 million (2015 – £2 million).

(b) Principal risks, and assumptions

The material post employment plans are not exposed to any unusual, entity specific or scheme specific risks but there are general risks:

Inflation – the majority of the plans' obligations are linked to inflation. Higher inflation will lead to increased liabilities which is partially offset by holdings of inflation linked gilts and swaps and the plans provide for caps on the level of inflationary increases.

Interest rate – The plan liabilities are determined using discount rates derived from yields on AA-rated corporate bonds. A decrease in corporate bond yields will increase plan liabilities though this will be partially offset by an increase in the value of the bonds held by the post employment plans.

Mortality – The majority of the obligations are to provide benefits for the life of the members and their partners so any increase in life expectancy will result in an increase in the plans' liabilities.

Asset returns – Assets held by the pension plans are invested in a diversified portfolio of equities, bonds and other assets. Volatility in asset values will lead to movements in the net asset/(deficit) reported in the consolidated balance sheet for post employment plans which in addition will also impact the post employment expense in the consolidated income statement.

The following weighted average assumptions were used to determine the group's deficit/surplus in the main post employment plans at 30 June in the relevant year. The assumptions used to calculate the charge/credit in the consolidated income statement for the year ended 30 June are based on the assumptions disclosed as at the previous 30 June.

	United Kingdom			Ireland			United States[i]		
	2016 %	2015 %	2014 %	**2016 %**	2015 %	2014 %	**2016 %**	2015 %	2014 %
Rate of general increase in salaries[ii]	**4.0**	4.4	4.4	**2.8**	3.1	2.5	**–**	–	–
Rate of increase to pensions in payment	**3.1**	3.4	3.5	**1.6**	1.7	1.7	**–**	–	–
Rate of increase to deferred pensions	**1.8**	2.2	2.3	**1.4**	1.6	1.5	**–**	–	–
Discount rate for plan liabilities	**2.9**	3.8	4.2	**1.4**	2.6	3.0	**3.5**	4.3	4.2
Inflation – CPI	**1.8**	2.2	2.3	**1.4**	1.6	1.5	**1.4**	1.7	2.1
Inflation – RPI	**2.8**	3.2	3.3	**–**	–	–	**–**	–	–

(i) The salary increase assumption in the United States is not a significant assumption as only a minimal amount of members' pension entitlement is dependent on a member's projected final salary.
(ii) The salary increase assumptions include an allowance for age related promotional salary increases.

For the main UK and Irish pension funds, the table below illustrates the expected age at death of an average worker who retires currently at the age of 65, and one who is currently aged 45 and subsequently retires at the age of 65:

	United Kingdom[i]			Ireland[ii]			United States		
	2016 Age	2015 Age	2014 Age	**2016 Age**	2015 Age	2014 Age	**2016 Age**	2015 Age	2014 Age
Retiring currently at age 65									
Male	**86.4**	86.6	86.4	**86.2**	86.0	85.9	**86.3**	86.7	86.6
Female	**88.3**	88.5	88.4	**88.9**	88.7	88.6	**88.3**	88.9	88.8
Currently aged 45, retiring at age 65									
Male	**88.6**	88.8	88.9	**89.1**	88.9	88.8	**88.0**	88.4	88.3
Female	**91.2**	91.4	91.0	**91.8**	91.6	91.5	**89.9**	90.6	90.5

(i) Based on the CMI's series of mortality tables with scaling factors based on the experience of the plan and where people live, with suitable future improvements.
(ii) Based on the '00' series of mortality tables with scaling factors based on the experience of the plan and with suitable future improvements.

For the significant assumptions, the following sensitivity analyses give an estimate of the potential impacts on the consolidated income statement for the year ended 30 June 2016 and on the plan liabilities at 30 June 2016:

	United Kingdom			Ireland			United States and other		
	Profit before taxation £ million	Profit after taxation £ million	Plan liabilities[i] £ million	Profit before taxation £ million	Profit after taxation £ million	Plan liabilities[i] £ million	Profit before taxation £ million	Profit after taxation £ million	Plan liabilities[i] £ million
Effect of 0.5% increase in discount rate	23	18	**489**	4	3	**193**	2	1	**38**
Effect of 0.5% decrease in discount rate	(21)	(17)	**(560)**	(3)	(3)	**(224)**	(1)	(1)	**(39)**
Effect of 0.5% increase in inflation	(20)	(16)	**(429)**	(5)	(4)	**(136)**	(1)	(1)	**(17)**
Effect of 0.5% decrease in inflation	17	14	**387**	4	3	**149**	1	1	**15**
Effect of one year increase in life expectancy	(9)	(7)	**(272)**	(2)	(2)	**(88)**	(1)	(1)	**(21)**

(i) The estimated effect on the liabilities excludes the impact of any interest rate and inflation swaps entered into by the pension plans.
(1) The sensitivity analyses above have been determined based on reasonably possible changes of the respective assumptions and may not be representative of the actual change. Each sensitivity is calculated on a change in the key assumption while holding all other assumptions constant. The sensitivity to inflation includes the impact on all inflation linked assumptions (e.g. pension increases and salary increases where appropriate).

(c) Investment and hedging strategy

The investment strategy for the group's funded post employment plans is decided locally by the trustees of the plan and/or Diageo, as appropriate, and takes account of the relevant statutory requirements. The objective of the investment strategy is to achieve a target rate of return in excess of the movement on the liabilities, while taking an acceptable level of investment risk relative to the liabilities. This objective is implemented by using the funds of the plans to invest in a variety of asset classes that are expected over the long term to deliver a target rate of return. The majority of the investment strategies have significant amounts allocated to equities, with the intention that this will result in the ongoing cost to the group of the post employment plans being lower over the long term, within acceptable boundaries of risk. Significant amounts are invested in bonds in order to provide a natural hedge against movements in the liabilities of the plans. At 30 June 2016, approximately 27% and 80% (2015 – 35% and 79%) of the UK Scheme's liabilities were hedged against future movements in interest rates and inflation, respectively, through the combined effect of bonds and swaps. At 30 June 2016, approximately 28% and 60% (2015 – 32% and 59%) of the Irish Scheme's liabilities were hedged against future movements in interest rates and inflation, respectively, through the combined effect of bonds and swaps.

The discount rates used are based on the yields of high quality fixed income investments. For the UK plans, which represent approximately 66% of total plan liabilities, the discount rate is determined by reference to the yield curves of AA-rated corporate bonds for which the timing and amount of cash outflows are similar to those of the plans. A similar process is used to determine the discount rates used for the non-UK plans.

An analysis of the fair value of the plan assets is as follows:

	2016				2015			
	United Kingdom £ million	Ireland £ million	United States and other £ million	Total £ million	United Kingdom £ million	Ireland £ million	United States and other £ million	Total £ million
Equities								
Quoted	992	433	253	1,678	812	395	254	1,461
Unquoted and private equity	321	3	20	344	297	2	18	317
Bonds								
Fixed-interest government	206	158	46	410	178	117	37	332
Infl tion-linked government	977	178	–	1,155	826	133	9	968
Investment grade corporate	980	225	314	1,519	861	210	251	1,322
Non-investment grade	219	43	12	274	265	31	14	310
Loan securities	602	140	–	742	614	123	–	737
Repurchase agreements	2,000	–	–	2,000	1,980	–	–	1,980
Liability driven investment (LDI)	114	24	–	138	80	20	–	100
Property – unquoted	670	108	1	779	665	83	10	758
Hedge funds	–	142	–	142	–	122	–	122
Interest rate and infl tion swaps	(1,007)	15	–	(992)	(801)	50	–	(751)
Cash and other	(27)	3	91	67	145	9	73	227
Total bid value of assets	6,047	1,472	737	8,256	5,922	1,295	666	7,883

(1) The asset classes include some cash holdings that are temporary. This cash is likely to be invested imminently and so has been included in the asset class where it is anticipated to be invested in the long term.

(2) Within the Irish Scheme's plan assets above there is £0.6 million (2015 – £0.6 million) invested in the ordinary shares of Diageo plc.

Total cash contributions by the group to all post employment plans in the year ending 30 June 2017 are estimated to be approximately £200 million.

(d) Deficit funding arrangements

UK plans

In the year ended 30 June 2011 the group established a Pension Funding Partnership (PFP) in respect of the UK Scheme. Whisky inventory was transferred into the partnership but the group retains control over the partnership which at 30 June 2016 held inventory with a book value of £607 million (2015 – £663 million). The partnership is fully consolidated in the group financial statements. The UK Scheme has a limited interest in the partnership, and as a partner, is entitled to a distribution from the profits of the partnership which for the year ended 30 June 2016 was £25 million (2015 – £25 million) and is expected to be approximately the same amount for the next eight years.

In 2024 the group will be required, dependent upon the funding position of the UK Scheme at that time, to pay an amount expected to be no greater than the deficit at that time, up to a maximum of £430 million in cash, to the UK Scheme to buy out the UK Scheme's interest in the partnership. If the UK Scheme is in surplus at an actuarial triennial valuation without allowing for the value of the PFP, then Diageo can exit the PFP with the agreement of the trustees. The group has also agreed to make conditional contributions if the deficit at the 2018 actuarial triennial valuation is in excess of £84 million. These additional contributions would be payable to the UK Scheme by 31 March 2019, or within one month of completion of the 2018 valuation if later.

Irish plans

The group has also agreed a deficit funding arrangement with the trustees of the Irish Scheme under which it contributes to the Irish Scheme €21 million (£16 million) per annum until the year ending 30 June 2029. The agreement also provides for additional cash contributions into escrow of up to €188 million (£140 million) if an equivalent reduction in the deficit is not achieved over the 18 year period from 2010 to 2028. As part of this funding plan, Diageo has granted to the Irish Scheme a contingent asset comprising mortgages over certain land and buildings and fixed and floating charges over certain receivables of the group up to a value of €200 million (£149 million).

(e) Timing of benefit payments

The following table provides information on the timing of the benefit payments and the average duration of the defined benefit obligations and the distribution of the timing of benefit payments:

	United Kingdom		Ireland		United States	
	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Maturity analysis of benefits expected to be paid						
Within one year	272	233	74	70	61	42
Between 1 to 5 years	998	802	359	344	175	149
Between 6 to 15 years	2,724	2,501	702	696	387	337
Between 16 to 25 years	2,530	2,841	662	672	266	237
Beyond 25 years	4,210	6,360	1,121	1,199	253	229
Total	10,734	12,737	2,918	2,981	1,142	994
	years	years	years	years	years	years
Average duration of the defi ed benefit obligation	18	17	20	18	11	11

The projected benefit payments are based on the assumptions underlying the assessment of the obligations, including inflation. They are disclosed undiscounted and therefore appear large relative to the discounted value of the plan liabilities recognised in the consolidated balance sheet. They are in respect of benefits that have accrued at the balance sheet date and make no allowance for any benefits accrued subsequently.

(f) Related party disclosures

Information on transactions between the group and its pension plans is given in note 20.

14. Working capital

Accounting policies

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost and net realisable value. Cost includes raw materials, direct labour and expenses, an appropriate proportion of production and other overheads, but not borrowing costs. Cost is calculated at the weighted average cost incurred in acquiring inventories. Maturing inventories which are retained for more than one year are classified as current assets, as they are expected to be realised in the normal operating cycle.

Trade and other receivables are initially recognised at fair value less transaction costs and subsequently carried at amortised costs less any allowance for discounts and doubtful debts.

Trade and other payables are initially recognised at fair value including transaction costs and subsequently carried at amortised costs.

Provisions are liabilities of uncertain timing or amount. A provision is recognised if, as a result of a past event, the group has a present legal or constructive obligation that can be estimated reliably, and it is probable that an outflow of economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation. Provisions are calculated on a discounted basis. The carrying amounts of provisions are reviewed at each balance sheet date and adjusted to reflect the current best estimate.

(a) Inventories

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Raw materials and consumables	301	333
Work in progress	49	66
Maturing inventories	3,647	3,586
Finished goods and goods for resale	582	589
	4,579	4,574

Maturing inventories include whisk(e)y, rum, wines and Chinese white spirits. The following amounts of inventories are expected to be utilised after more than one year:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Raw materials and consumables	27	55
Maturing inventories	3,180	2,988
	3,207	3,043

Inventories are disclosed net of provisions for obsolescence, an analysis of which is as follows:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Balance at beginning of the year	53	52
Exchange differences	5	(2)
Income statement charge	33	29
Utilised	(15)	(25)
Sale of businesses	(3)	(1)
	73	53

(b) Trade and other receivables

	2016		2015	
	Current assets £ million	Non-current assets £ million	Current assets £ million	Non-current assets £ million
Trade receivables	2,154	1	1,933	–
Interest receivable	20	–	23	–
Other receivables	281	36	297	38
Prepayments	189	9	146	8
Accrued income	42	–	36	–
	2,686	46	2,435	46

At 30 June 2016, approximately 13%, 20% and 11% of the group's trade receivables of £2,155 million are due from counterparties based in the United Kingdom, the United States and India, respectively.

The aged analysis of trade receivables, net of allowances, is as follows:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Not overdue	2,012	1,806
Overdue 1 – 30 days	60	47
Overdue 31 – 60 days	25	22
Overdue 61 – 90 days	16	11
Overdue 91 – 180 days	21	32
Overdue more than 180 days	21	15
	2,155	1,933

Trade and other receivables are disclosed net of allowance for doubtful debts, an analysis of which is as follows:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Balance at beginning of the year	71	63
Exchange differences	5	(6)
Income statement charge	15	21
Written off	(8)	(7)
	83	71

(c) Trade and other payables

	2016		2015	
	Current liabilities £ million	Non-current liabilities £ million	Current liabilities £ million	Non-current liabilities £ million
Trade payables	916	–	883	–
Interest payable	81	–	77	–
Tax and social security excluding income tax	605	3	546	5
Other payables	680	37	524	40
Accruals	1,056	9	879	9
Deferred income	34	21	34	15
	3,372	70	2,943	69

Interest payable at 30 June 2016 includes interest on non-derivative financial instruments of £73 million (2015 – £68 million).

(d) Provisions

	Thalidomide £ million	Restructuring £ million	Other £ million	Total £ million
At 30 June 2015	191	49	103	343
Exchange differences	2	–	20	22
Provisions charged during the year	–	–	100	100
Provisions utilised during the year	(11)	(33)	(33)	(77)
Transfers	(2)	(10)	4	(8)
Unwinding of discounts	10	–	–	10
At 30 June 2016	190	6	194	390
Current liabilities	10	5	122	137
Non-current liabilities	180	1	72	253
	190	6	194	390

(a) Provisions have been established in respect of the discounted value of the group's commitment to the UK Thalidomide Trust. These will be utilised over the period of the commitments up to 2037.

(b) The group implemented a number of restructuring programmes, which involve the rationalisation of certain operations around the world. As at 30 June 2016 there were provisions for certain costs in respect of employee charges, incremental costs in respect of service contract and information systems infrastructure charges, the outstanding provisions are expected to be substantially utilised by 30 June 2017 (see note 4).

(c) The largest items in other provisions are £67 million in respect of the disposal of the wines business in North America and UK based Percy Fox businesses includes a provision for the settlement of a guarantee given in respect of the lease payments due to the lessor of the vineyards and £48 million (2015 – £44 million) in respect of employee deferred compensation plans which will be utilised when employees leave the group.

RISK MANAGEMENT AND CAPITAL STRUCTURE

Introduction

This section sets out the policies and procedures applied to manage the group's capital structure and the financial risks the group is exposed to. Diageo considers the following components of its balance sheet to be capital: borrowings and equity. Diageo manages its capital structure to achieve capital efficiency, provide flexibility to invest through the economic cycle and give efficient access to debt markets at attractive cost levels.

15. Financial instruments and risk management

Accounting policies

Financial assets and liabilities are initially recorded at fair value including any directly attributable transaction costs. For those financial assets that are not subsequently held at fair value, the group assesses whether there is evidence of impairment at each balance sheet date.

The group classifies its financial assets and liabilities into the following categories: loans and receivables, available-for-sale investments, financial assets and liabilities at fair value through profit and loss and other financial liabilities at amortised cost.

The accounting policies for ***available-for-sale investments*** and ***loans*** are described in note 12, for ***trade and other receivables*** in note 14 and for ***cash and cash equivalents*** in note 16.

Financial assets and liabilities at fair value through profit or loss include derivative assets and liabilities. Where financial assets or liabilities are eligible to be carried at either amortised cost or fair value the group does not apply the fair value option.

Derivative financial instruments are carried at fair value using a discounted cash flow technique based on market data applied consistently for similar types of instruments. Gains and losses on derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment are taken to the income statement as they arise.

Other financial liabilities are carried at amortised cost unless they are part of a fair value hedge relationship. The difference between the initial carrying amount of the financial liabilities and their redemption value is recognised in the income statement over the contractual terms using the effective interest rate method.

Hedge accounting

The group designates and documents certain derivatives as hedging instruments against changes in fair value of recognised assets and liabilities (fair value hedges), highly probable forecast transactions or the cash flow risk from a change in exchange or interest rates (cash flow hedges) and hedges of net investments in foreign operations (net investment hedges). The effectiveness of such hedges is assessed at inception and at least on a quarterly basis, using prospective and retrospective testing. Methods used for testing effectiveness include dollar offset, critical terms, regression analysis and hypothetical derivative method.

Fair value hedges are used to manage the currency and/or interest rate risks to which the fair value of certain assets and liabilities are exposed. Changes in the fair value of the derivatives are recognised in the income statement, along with any changes in the relevant fair value of the underlying hedged asset or liability.

If such a hedge relationship is de-designated, fair value movements on the derivative continue to be taken to the income statement while any fair value adjustments made to the underlying hedged item to that date are amortised through the income statement over its remaining life using the effective interest rate method.

Cash flow hedges are used to hedge the foreign currency risk of highly probable future foreign currency cash flows, as well as the cash flow risk from changes in exchange or interest rates. The effective portion of the gain or loss on the hedges is recognised in other comprehensive income, while any ineffective part is recognised in the income statement. Amounts recorded in other comprehensive income are recycled to the income statement in the same period in which the underlying foreign currency or interest exposure affects the income statement.

Net investment hedges take the form of either foreign currency borrowings or derivatives. Foreign exchange differences arising on translation of net investments are recorded in other comprehensive income and included in the exchange reserve. Liabilities used as hedging instruments are revalued at closing exchange rates and the resulting gains or losses are also recognised in other comprehensive income to the extent that they are effective, with any ineffectiveness taken to the income statement. Foreign exchange contracts hedging net investments are carried at fair value. Effective fair value movements are recognised in other comprehensive income, with any ineffectiveness taken to the income statement.

The group's funding, liquidity and exposure to foreign currency and interest rate risks are managed by the group's treasury department. The treasury department uses a range of financial instruments to manage these underlying risks.

Treasury operations are conducted within a framework of board approved policies and guidelines, which are recommended and monitored by the finance committee, chaired by the Chief Financial Officer. The policies and guidelines include benchmark exposure and/or hedge cover levels for key areas of treasury risk which are periodically reviewed by the board following, for example, significant business, strategic or accounting changes. The framework provides for limited defined levels of flexibility in execution to allow for the optimal

application of the board approved strategies. Transactions arising from the application of this flexibility are carried at fair value, gains or losses are taken to the income statement as they arise and are separately monitored on a daily basis using Value at Risk analysis. In the year ended 30 June 2016 and 30 June 2015 gains and losses on these transactions were not material. The group does not use derivatives for speculative purposes. All transactions in derivative financial instruments are initially undertaken to manage the risks arising from underlying business activities.

The group purchases insurance for commercial or, where required, for legal or contractual reasons. In addition, the group retains insurable risk where external insurance is not considered an economic means of mitigating these risks.

The finance committee receives a monthly report on the key activities of the treasury department, which would identify any exposures which differ from the defined benchmarks, should they arise.

(a) Currency risk

The group presents its consolidated financial statements in sterling and conducts business in many currencies. As a result, it is subject to foreign currency risk due to exchange rate movements, which will affect the group's transactions and the translation of the results and underlying net assets of its operations. To manage the currency risk the group uses certain financial instruments. Where hedge accounting is applied, hedges are documented and tested for effectiveness on an ongoing basis. Diageo expects hedges entered into to continue to be effective and therefore does not expect the impact of ineffectiveness on the consolidated income statement to be material.

Hedge of net investment in foreign operations

The group hedges a certain portion of its exposure to fluctuations in the sterling value of its foreign operations by designating borrowings held in foreign currencies and using foreign currency spots, forwards, swaps and other financial derivatives. The group's policy is to maintain total net investment Value at Risk below £1.5 billion, where Value at Risk is defined as the maximum amount of loss over a one year period with a 95% probability confidence level.

Following an internal restructuring foreign currency borrowings and financial derivatives designated in net investment hedge relationships increased to £6,787 million as at 30 June 2016 (2015 – £3,681 million; 2014 – £3,749 million).

Hedge of foreign currency debt

The group uses cross currency interest rate swaps to hedge the foreign currency risk associated with certain foreign currency denominated borrowings.

Transaction exposure hedging

The group's policy is to hedge up to 24 months forecast transactional foreign currency risk on the net US dollar exposure of the group targeting 75% coverage for the current financial year and up to 18 months for other currency pairs. The group's exposure to foreign currency risk arising on forecasted transactions is managed using forward agreements.

(b) Interest rate risk

The group has an exposure to interest rate risk, arising principally on changes in US dollar, euro and sterling interest rates. To manage interest rate risk, the group manages its proportion of fixed to floating rate borrowings within limits approved by the board, primarily through issuing fixed and floating rate borrowings and commercial paper, and by utilising interest rate derivatives. These practices aim to minimise the group's net finance charges with acceptable year-on-year volatility. To facilitate operational efficiency and effective hedge accounting, the group's policy is to maintain fixed rate borrowings within a band of 40% to 60% of forecast net borrowings. For these calculations, net borrowings exclude interest rate related fair value adjustments. The majority of Diageo's existing interest rate derivatives are designated as hedges and are expected to be effective. Fair value of these derivatives is recognised in the income statement, along with any changes in the relevant fair value of the underlying hedged asset or liability. The group's net borrowings interest rate profile is as follows:

	2016		2015	
	£ million	%	£ million	%
Fixed rate	4,103	47	4,564	48
Floating rate[i]	4,738	55	4,818	51
Impact of financial derivatives and fair value adjustments	(206)	(2)	145	1
Net borrowings	8,635	100	9,527	100

(i) The floating rate portion of net borrowings includes cash and cash equivalents, collaterals, floating rate loans and bonds, bank overdrafts and finance lease obligations.

The table below sets out the average monthly net borrowings and effective interest rate:

Average monthly net borrowings			Effective interest rate		
2016 £million	2015 £million	2014 £million	2016 %	2015 %	2014 %
9,245	10,459	9,174	3.3	3.5	3.8

(1) For this calculation, net interest charge excludes fair value adjustments to derivative financial instruments and borrowings and average monthly net borrowings includes the impact of interest rate swaps that are no longer in a hedge relationship but excludes the market value adjustment for cross currency interest rate swaps.

(c) Commodity price risk

The group is exposed to commodity price risk. Commodity price risk is managed in line with the principles approved by the board either through long term purchase contracts with suppliers or, where appropriate, derivative contracts. The group policy is to maintain the total commodity exposure Value at Risk below 75bps of forecast gross margin in any given financial year. Where derivative contracts are used the commodity price risk exposure is hedged up to 24 months forecast volume principally through exchange-traded futures.

(d) Market risk sensitivity analysis

The group uses a sensitivity analysis that estimates the impacts on the consolidated income statement and other comprehensive income of either an instantaneous increase or decrease of 0.5% in market interest rates or a 10% strengthening or weakening in sterling against all other currencies, from the rates applicable at 30 June 2016 and 30 June 2015, for each class of financial instruments with all other variables remaining constant. The sensitivity analysis excludes the impact of market risks on the net post employment benefit liability and corporate tax payable. This analysis is for illustrative purposes only, as in practice interest and foreign exchange rates rarely change in isolation.

The sensitivity analysis estimates the impact of changes in interest and foreign exchange rates. All hedges are expected to be highly effective for this analysis and it considers the impact of all financial instruments including financial derivatives, cash and cash equivalents,

borrowings and other financial assets and liabilities. The results of the sensitivity analysis should not be considered as projections of likely future events, gains or losses as actual results in the future may differ materially due to developments in the global financial markets which may cause fluctuations in interest and exchange rates to vary from the hypothetical amounts disclosed in the table below.

	Impact on income statement gain/(loss)		Impact on consolidated comprehensive income gain/(loss)[i] [ii]	
	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
0.5% decrease in interest rates	(24)	(20)	(8)	(16)
0.5% increase in interest rates	24	20	9	17
10% weakening of sterling	(26)	(48)	(641)	(624)
10% strengthening of sterling	21	40	525	512

(i) The impact on foreign currency borrowings and derivatives in net investment hedge is largely offset by the foreign exchange difference arising on translation of net investments.
(ii) The impact on the consolidated statement of comprehensive income includes the impact on the income statement.

(e) Credit risk

Credit risk refers to the risk that a counterparty will default on its contractual obligations resulting in financial loss to the group. Credit risk arises on cash balances (including bank deposits and cash and cash equivalents), derivative financial instruments and credit exposures to customers, including outstanding loans, trade and other receivables, financial guarantees and committed transactions.

The carrying amount of financial assets represents the group's exposure to credit risk at the balance sheet date as disclosed in section (i), excluding the impact of any collateral held or other credit enhancements.

Credit risk is managed separately for financial and business related credit exposures.

Financial credit risk

Diageo aims to minimise its financial credit risk through the application of risk management policies approved and monitored by the board. Counterparties are predominantly limited to major banks and financial institutions, primarily with a long term credit rating within the A band or better, and the policy restricts the exposure to any one counterparty by setting credit limits taking into account the credit quality of the counterparty. The group's policy is designed to ensure that individual counterparty limits are adhered to and that there are no significant concentrations of credit risk. The board also defines the types of financial instruments which may be transacted. The credit risk arising through the use of financial instruments for currency and interest rate risk management is estimated with reference to the fair value of contracts with a positive value, rather than the notional amount of the instruments themselves.

When derivative transactions are undertaken with bank counterparties, the group may, where appropriate, enter into certain agreements with such bank counterparties whereby the parties agree to post cash collateral for the benefit of the other if the net valuations of the derivatives are above a predetermined threshold. At 30 June 2016, the collateral held under these agreements amounted to $104 million (£78 million) and €73 million (£61 million) (2015 – $82 million (£52 million) and €34 million (£24 million)).

Diageo annually reviews the credit limits applied and regularly monitors the counterparties' credit quality reflecting market credit conditions.

Business related credit risk

Loan, trade and other receivables exposures are managed locally in the operating units where they arise and credit limits are set as deemed appropriate for the customer. There is no significant concentration of credit risk with respect to loans, trade and other receivables as the group has a large number of customers which are internationally dispersed.

(f) Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that Diageo may encounter difficulties in meeting its obligations associated with financial liabilities that are settled by delivering cash or other financial assets. The group uses short term commercial paper to finance its day-to-day operations. The group's policy with regard to the expected maturity profile of borrowings is to limit the amount of such borrowings maturing within 12 months to 50% of gross borrowings less money market demand deposits, and the level of commercial paper to 30% of gross borrowings less money market demand deposits. In addition, the group's policy is to maintain backstop facilities with relationship banks to support commercial paper obligations.

The following tables provide an analysis of the anticipated contractual cash flows including interest payable for the group's financial liabilities and derivative instruments on an undiscounted basis. Where interest payments are on a floating rate basis, rates of each cash flow until maturity of the instruments are calculated based on the forward yield curve prevailing at 30 June 2016 and 30 June 2015. The gross cash flows of derivative contracts are presented for the purposes of this table and financial assets and liabilities are presented gross in the statement of financial position, although in practice, the group uses netting arrangements to reduce its liquidity requirements on these instruments.

Contractual cash flows

	Due within 1 year £ million	Due between 1 and 3 years £ million	Due between 3 and 5 years £ million	Due after 5 years £ million	Total £ million	Carrying amount at balance sheet date £ million
2016						
Borrowings[i]	(2,058)	(2,853)	(558)	(4,621)	(10,090)	(10,129)
Interest on borrowings[i], [iii]	(358)	(449)	(360)	(1,415)	(2,582)	(73)
Finance lease capital repayments	(29)	(58)	(69)	(86)	(242)	(242)
Finance lease future interest payments	(13)	(20)	(13)	(54)	(100)	–
Trade and other financial liabilities[ii]	(2,435)	(199)	(8)	(4)	(2,646)	(2,638)
Non-derivative financial liabilities	(4,893)	(3,579)	(1,008)	(6,180)	(15,660)	(13,082)
Gross amount receivable from derivatives	947	153	106	1,566	2,772	–
Gross amount payable on derivatives	(647)	(144)	(73)	(1,130)	(1,994)	–
Derivative instruments[iii]	300	9	33	436	778	498
2015						
Borrowings[i]	(1,920)	(2,556)	(968)	(4,365)	(9,809)	(9,838)
Interest on borrowings[i], [iii]	(340)	(479)	(334)	(1,434)	(2,587)	(68)
Finance lease capital repayments	(38)	(50)	(70)	(104)	(262)	(262)
Finance lease future interest payments	(13)	(19)	(16)	(23)	(71)	–
Trade and other financial liabilities[ii]	(2,172)	(54)	(143)	–	(2,369)	(2,353)
Non-derivative financial liabilities	(4,483)	(3,158)	(1,531)	(5,926)	(15,098)	(12,521)
Gross amount receivable from derivatives	97	478	176	1,360	2,111	–
Gross amount payable on derivatives	(162)	(374)	(110)	(1,154)	(1,800)	–
Derivative instruments[iii]	(65)	104	66	206	311	131

(i) For the purpose of these tables above, borrowings are defined as gross borrowings excluding finance lease liabilities and fair value of derivative instruments as disclosed in note 16.
(ii) Primarily consists of trade and other payables that meet the definition of financial liabilities under IAS 32.
(iii) Carrying amount of interest on borrowings and interest on derivatives is included within interest payable in note 14.

The group had available undrawn committed bank facilities as follows:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Expiring within one year	–	688
Expiring between one and two years	470	–
Expiring after two years	2,072	1,541
	2,542	2,229

The facilities can be used for general corporate purposes and, together with cash and cash equivalents, support the group's commercial paper programmes.

There are no financial covenants on the group's material short and long term borrowings. Certain of these borrowings contain cross default provisions and negative pledges.

The committed bank facilities are subject to a single financial covenant, being minimum interest cover ratio of two times (defined as the ratio of operating profit before exceptional items, aggregated with share of after tax results of associates and joint ventures, to net interest). They are also subject to pari passu ranking and negative pledge covenants.

Any non-compliance with covenants underlying Diageo's financing arrangements could, if not waived, constitute an event of default with respect to any such arrangements, and any non-compliance with covenants may, in particular circumstances, lead to an acceleration of maturity on certain borrowings and the inability to access committed facilities. Diageo was in full compliance with its financial, pari passu ranking and negative pledge covenants in respect of its material short and long term borrowings throughout each of the years presented.

(g) Fair value measurements

Fair value measurements of financial instruments are presented through the use of a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritises the valuation techniques used in fair value calculations.

The group maintains policies and procedures to value instruments using the most relevant data available. If multiple inputs that fall into different levels of the hierarchy are used in the valuation of an instrument, the instrument is categorised on the basis of the most subjective input.

Foreign currency forwards and swaps, cross currency swaps and interest rate swaps are valued using discounted cash flow techniques. These techniques incorporate inputs at levels 1 and 2, such as foreign exchange rates and interest rates. These market inputs are used in the discounted cash flow calculation incorporating the instrument's term, notional amount and discount rate, and taking credit risk into account. As significant inputs to the valuation are observable in active markets, these instruments are categorised as level 2 in the hierarchy.

Other financial liabilities include an option held by Industrias Licoreras de Guatemala to sell the remaining 50% equity stake in Rum Creations & Products, Inc. the owner of the Zacapa rum brand, to Diageo, with changes in fair value of this option included in retained earnings. As the valuation of this option uses assumptions not observable in the market, it is categorised as level 3 in the hierarchy. The exercise date of this option is estimated based on forecast future performance and an estimated rate of return.

The option is sensitive to reasonably possible changes in assumptions. If the option is exercised two years earlier or two years later the value of the option will increase and decrease by £17 million and £15 million, respectively. If forecast performance increases or decreases by 10%, the value of the option would increase and decrease by £30 million and £13 million, respectively.

Available-for-sale investments at 30 June 2015 comprised shares held in United Breweries Limited categorised as level 1 in hierarchy. These shares were sold on 7 July 2015.

The group's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value are categorised as follows:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Available-for-sale investments	–	80
Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	–	80
Derivative assets	879	338
Derivative liabilities	(373)	(198)
Valuation techniques based on observable market input (Level 2)	506	140
Other fi ancial liabilities	(165)	(139)
Valuation techniques based on unobservable market input (Level 3)	(165)	(139)

The movements in level 3 instruments, measured on a recurring basis, are as follows:

	Other financial liabilities	
	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
At 1 July	(139)	(108)
Net losses included in the income statement	(1)	(14)
Net losses included in exchange in other comprehensive income	(25)	(11)
Net losses included in retained earnings	(3)	(9)
Settlement of liabilities	3	3
At 30 June	(165)	(139)

There were no transfers between levels during the two years ended 30 June 2016 and 30 June 2015.

In addition retained earnings comprise £3 million capital injection to Zacapa from Industrias Licoreras de Guatemala.

(h) Results of hedging instruments

In respect of cash flow hedging instruments, a gain of £31 million (2015 – £46 million loss; 2014 – £54 million gain) has been recognised in other comprehensive income due to changes in fair value. A loss of £66 million has been transferred out of other comprehensive income to other operating expenses and a gain of £211 million to other finance charges, respectively (2015 – a loss of £26 million and a gain of £84 million; 2014 – a gain of £54 million and loss of £88 million, respectively) to offset the foreign exchange impact on the underlying transactions.

For cash flow hedges of forecast transactions at 30 June 2016, based on year end interest and foreign exchange rates, there is expected to be a loss to the income statement of £113 million in financial year 2017 and a loss of £36 million in financial year 2018. With respect to hedges of the cash flow risk from a change in forward foreign exchange rates using cross currency interest rate swaps, the retranslation of the related bond principal to closing foreign exchange rates and recognition of interest on the related bonds will affect the income statement in each year until the related bonds mature in 2016, 2036 and 2043. Foreign exchange retranslation and the interest on the hedged bonds in the income statement are expected to offset those on the cross currency swaps in each of the years.

The gain on fair value hedging instruments for the year was £16 million (2015 – £11 million gain; 2014 – £8 million gain) and the loss on the hedged items attributable to the hedged risks was £26 million (2015 – £11 million loss; 2014 – £6 million loss).

There was no significant ineffectiveness on net investment hedging during the year ended 30 June 2016.

(i) Reconciliation of financial instruments

The table below sets out the group's accounting classification of each class of financial assets and liabilities:

	Fair value through income statement £ million	Loans and receivables and liabilities at amortised cost £ million	Available for sale £ million	Not categorised as a financial instrument £ million	Total £ million	Current £ million	Non-current £ million
2016							
Other investments and loans	–	31		–	31	–	31
Trade and other receivables	–	2,424	–	308	2,732	2,686	46
Cash and cash equivalents	–	1,089	–	–	1,089	1,089	–
Derivatives in fair value hedge	35	–	–	–	35	–	35
Derivatives in cash flow hedge	362	–	–	–	362	130	232
Derivatives in net investment hedge	216	–	–	–	216	216	–
Other instruments at fair value	266	–	–	–	266	148	118
Finance lease assets	–	36	–	–	36	1	35
Total other financial assets	879	36	–	–	915	495	420
Total financial assets	879	3,580	–	308	4,767	4,270	497
Borrowings[i]	–	(10,129)	–	–	(10,129)	(2,058)	(8,071)
Trade and other payables	–	(2,554)	–	(888)	(3,442)	(3,372)	(70)
Derivatives in cash flow hedge	(148)	–	–	–	(148)	(102)	(46)
Derivatives in net investment hedge	(66)	–	–	–	(66)	(66)	–
Other instruments at fair value	(324)	–	–	–	(324)	(83)	(241)
Finance leases	–	(242)	–	–	(242)	(29)	(213)
Total other financial liabilities	(538)	(242)	–	–	(780)	(280)	(500)
Total financial liabilities	(538)	(12,925)	–	(888)	(14,351)	(5,710)	(8,641)
Total net financial assets/(liabilities)	341	(9,345)	–	(580)	(9,584)	(1,440)	(8,144)
2015							
Other investments and loans	–	29	80	–	109	–	109
Trade and other receivables	–	2,184	–	297	2,481	2,435	46
Cash and cash equivalents	–	472	–	–	472	472	–
Derivatives in fair value hedge	19	–	–	–	19	–	19
Derivatives in cash flow hedge	186	–	–	–	186	21	165
Derivatives in net investment hedge	11	–	–	–	11	8	3
Other instruments at fair value	122	–	–	–	122	17	105
Total other financial assets	338	–	–	–	338	46	292
Total financial assets	338	2,685	80	297	3,400	2,953	447
Borrowings[i]	–	(9,838)	–	–	(9,838)	(1,921)	(7,917)
Trade and other payables	–	(2,291)	–	(721)	(3,012)	(2,943)	(69)
Derivatives in cash flow hedge	(51)	–	–	–	(51)	(31)	(20)
Derivatives in net investment hedge	(52)	–	–	–	(52)	(52)	–
Other instruments at fair value	(234)	–	–	–	(234)	(35)	(199)
Finance leases	–	(262)	–	–	(262)	(38)	(224)
Total other financial liabilities	(337)	(262)	–	–	(599)	(156)	(443)
Total financial liabilities	(337)	(12,391)	–	(721)	(13,449)	(5,020)	(8,429)
Total net financial assets/(liabilities)	1	(9,706)	80	(424)	(10,049)	(2,067)	(7,982)

(i) Borrowings are defined as gross borrowings excluding finance lease liabilities and the fair value of derivative instruments.

At 30 June 2016 and 30 June 2015, the carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, other financial assets and liabilities approximate to fair values. At 30 June 2016 the fair value of borrowings, based on unadjusted quoted market data, was £10,709 million (2015 – £10,115 million).

(j) Capital management

The group's management is committed to enhancing shareholder value in the long term, both by investing in the businesses and brands so as to deliver continued improvement in the return from those investments and by managing the capital structure. Diageo manages its capital structure to achieve capital efficiency, provide flexibility to invest through the economic cycle and give efficient access to debt markets at attractive cost levels. This is achieved by targeting a net borrowing to EBITDA leverage of 2.5 – 3.0 times, this range for Diageo being currently broadly consistent with an A band credit rating. Diageo would consider operating outside of this range in order to effect strategic initiatives within its stated goals, which could have an impact on its rating. If Diageo's leverage was to be negatively impacted by the financing of an acquisition, it would seek over time to return to the range of 2.5 – 3.0 times. The group regularly assesses its debt and equity capital levels against its stated policy for capital structure. For this calculation net borrowings are adjusted by the net post employment deficit whilst EBITDA equals operating profit excluding exceptional operating items and depreciation, amortisation and impairment and includes share of after tax results of associates and joint ventures.

16. Net borrowings

Accounting policies

Borrowings are initially recognised at fair value net of transaction costs and are subsequently reported at amortised cost. Certain bonds are designated as being part of a fair value hedge relationship. In these cases, the amortised cost is adjusted for the fair value of the risk being hedged, with changes in value recognised in the income statement. The fair value adjustment is calculated using a discounted cash flow technique based on unadjusted market data.

Bank overdrafts form an integral part of the group's cash management and are included as a component of net cash and cash equivalents in the consolidated statement of cash flows.

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash in hand and deposits which are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and which are subject to insignificant risk of changes in value and have an original maturity of three months or less, including money market deposits, commercial paper and investments.

Net borrowings are defined as gross borrowings (short term borrowings and long term borrowings plus finance lease liabilities plus interest rate hedging instruments, cross currency interest rate swaps and funding foreign currency forwards and swaps used to manage borrowings) less cash and cash equivalents.

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Bank overdrafts	280	90
Commercial paper	–	354
Bank and other loans	436	444
Credit support obligations	139	76
US$ 750 million 5.3% bonds due 2015	–	478
US$ 750 million 0.625% bonds due 2016	–	477
US$ 600 million 5.5% bonds due 2016	451	–
US$ 1,000 million 1.5% bonds due 2017	751	–
Fair value adjustment to borrowings	1	2
Borrowings due within one year	2,058	1,921
US$ 600 million 5.5% bonds due 2016	–	382
US$ 1,000 million 1.5% bonds due 2017	–	635
US$ 1,250 million 5.75% bonds due 2017	939	795
US$ 650 million 1.125% bonds due 2018	487	412
€500 million 1.125% bonds due 2019	421	359
€850 million 1.125% bonds due 2019	707	601
US$ 696 million 4.828% bonds due 2020	488	399
US$ 1,000 million 2.875% bonds due 2022	748	633
US$ 300 million 8% bonds due 2022	224	189
US$ 1,350 million 2.625% bonds due 2023	1,011	855
€500 million 1.75% bonds due 2023	413	351
€850 million 2.375% bonds due 2026	702	597
US$ 400 million 7.45% bonds due 2035	301	255
US$ 600 million 5.875% bonds due 2036	446	378
US$ 500 million 4.25% bonds due 2042	371	315
US$ 500 million 3.875% bonds due 2043	369	312
US$ 200 million 4.85% medium term notes due 2018	150	127
Bank and other loans	184	213
Fair value adjustment to borrowings	110	109
Borrowings due after one year	8,071	7,917
Total borrowings before derivative financial instruments	10,129	9,838
Fair value of foreign currency derivatives	(612)	(82)
Fair value of interest rate hedging instruments	(35)	(19)
Finance lease liabilities	242	262
Gross borrowings	9,724	9,999
Less: Cash and cash equivalents	(1,089)	(472)
Net borrowings	8,635	9,527

(1) The interest rates shown are those contracted on the underlying borrowings before taking into account any interest rate hedges (see note 15).
(2) Bonds are stated net of unamortised finance costs of £72 million (2015 – £82 million; 2014 – £94 million).
(3) Bonds are reported at amortised cost with a fair value adjustment shown separately.
(4) All bonds, medium term notes and commercial paper issued by the group's wholly owned subsidiaries are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by Diageo plc.

Gross borrowings before derivative financial instruments will mature as follows:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Within one year	2,058	1,921	1,576
Between one and three years	2,896	2,607	1,894
Between three and five years	537	970	1,972
Beyond five years	4,638	4,340	3,772
	10,129	9,838	9,214

During the year the following bonds were issued and repaid:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Issued			
€ denominated	–	791	1,378
US$ denominated	–	–	–
Repaid			
€ denominated	–	(792)	(983)
US$ denominated	(1,003)	(330)	(488)
£ denominated[(i)]	–	(370)	–
	(1,003)	(701)	(93)

(i) In the year ended 30 June 2015 a bond of £370 million acquired on the purchase of USL was repaid using the proceeds from the sale of the Whyte and Mackay Group.

(a) Reconciliation of movement in net borrowings

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
At beginning of the year	9,527	8,850
Net (increase)/decrease in cash and cash equivalents before exchange	(343)	77
Net (increase)/decrease in bonds and other borrowings	(1,236)	(315)
Change in net borrowings from cash flows	(1,579)	(238)
Exchange differences on net borrowings	725	7
Borrowings on acquisition of businesses	–	869
Borrowings on disposal of businesses	(14)	–
Other non-cash items	(24)	39
Net borrowings at end of the year	8,635	9,527

(b) Analysis of net borrowings by currency

	2016			2015
	Cash and cash equivalents £ million	Gross borrowings[i] £ million	Cash and cash equivalents £ million	Gross borrowings[i] £ million
US dollar	503	(3,247)	35	(2,759)
Euro	61	(2,034)	44	(1,410)
Sterling[ii]	66	(3,980)	28	(5,200)
Indian rupee	37	(418)	13	(486)
Nigerian naira	13	(84)	16	(76)
Turkish lira[ii]	169	(4)	4	(67)
Korean won	35	–	80	–
Brazilian real	30	(2)	16	(2)
Chinese Yuan	51	(7)	28	7
Other	124	52	208	(6)
Total	1,089	(9,724)	472	(9,999)

(i) The analysis of group's gross borrowings includes foreign currency forwards and swaps and finance leases.
(ii) As at 30 June 2016 includes £32 million (Sterling) and £162 million (Turkish lira) cash and cash equivalents in cash-pooling arrangements. See note 1(f).

17. Equity

Accounting policies

Own shares represent shares and share options of Diageo plc that are held in treasury or by employee share trusts for the purpose of fulfilling obligations in respect of various employee share plans or were acquired as part of a share buyback programme. Own shares are treated as a deduction from equity until the shares are cancelled, reissued or disposed of and when vest are transferred from own shares to retained earnings at their weighted average cost.

Share based payments include share awards and options granted to directors and employees. The fair value of equity settled share options and share grants is initially measured at grant date based on the binomial or Monte Carlo models and is charged to the income statement over the vesting period. For equity settled shares the credit is included in retained earnings. Cancellations of share options are treated as an acceleration of the vesting period and any outstanding charge is recognised in operating profit immediately. Any surplus or deficit arising on the sale of the Diageo plc shares held by the group is included as a movement in equity.

Dividends are included in the financial statements in the financial year in which they are approved.

(a) Allotted and fully paid share capital – ordinary shares of 28 101/108 pence each

	Number of shares million	Nominal value £ million
At 30 June 2016, 30 June 2015 and 30 June 2014	2,754	797

(b) Hedging and exchange reserve

	Hedging reserve £ million	Exchange reserve £ million	Total £ million
At 30 June 2013	17	(9)	8
Other comprehensive income	90	(1,001)	(911)
At 30 June 2014	107	(1,010)	(903)
Other comprehensive income	(86)	(163)	(249)
At 30 June 2015	21	(1,173)	(1,152)
Other comprehensive income	(111)	742	631
At 30 June 2016	(90)	(431)	(521)

(c) Own shares

Movements in own shares

	Number of shares million	Purchase consideration £ million
At 30 June 2013	251	2,232
Share trust arrangements	(3)	(42)
Shares call options exercised[i]	7	68
Shares purchased	7	138
Shares sold to employees	–	(1)
Shares used to satisfy options	(9)	(115)
At 30 June 2014	253	2,280
Share trust arrangements	(2)	(18)
Shares purchased	4	70
Shares used to satisfy options	(7)	(104)
At 30 June 2015	248	2,228
Share trust arrangements	(1)	(6)
Shares purchased	2	42
Shares used to satisfy options	(5)	(75)
At 30 June 2016	244	2,189

(i) Includes the fair value of foreign currency denominated call options exercised.

Share trust arrangements

At 30 June 2016 the employee share trusts owned 7 million of ordinary shares in Diageo plc at a cost of £113 million and market value of £155 million (2015 – 8 million shares at a cost of £119 million, market value £149 million; 2014 – 10 million shares at a cost of £137 million, market value £181 million). Dividends receivable by the employee share trusts on the shares are waived and the trustee abstains from voting.

Purchase of own shares

Authorisation was given by shareholders on 23 September 2015 to purchase a maximum of 251,514,000 shares at a minimum price of 28 101/108 pence and a maximum price of higher of (a) 105% of the average of the middle market quotations for an ordinary share for the five preceding business days and (b) the higher of the price of the last independent trade and the highest current independent bid on the London Stock Exchange at the time the purchase is carried out. The programme expires at the conclusion of the next Annual General Meeting or on 22 December 2016, if earlier.

During the year ended 30 June 2016, the company purchased 2 million ordinary shares (including shares acquired through call option exercises), nominal value of £1 million (2015 – 4 million ordinary shares, nominal value of £1 million; 2014 – 14 million ordinary shares, nominal value of £4 million), representing approximately 0.1% (2015 – 0.1%; 2014 – 0.5%) of the issued ordinary share capital (excluding treasury shares).

Shares were either directly granted to employees as part of employee share schemes or held as treasury shares and used to hedge share scheme grants to employees during the course of the year.

The aggregate consideration paid for purchase of own shares was £53 million (excluding expenses) in the year ended 30 June 2016. The annual purchase includes 617,840 shares for £11 million purchased at an average price of 1713 pence for the purpose of satisfying share awards made under the company's share incentive plans, the cost of which was charged directly to the consolidated income statement. The monthly breakdown of shares purchased and the average price paid per share (excluding expenses) for the year ended 30 June 2016 were as follows:

Calendar month	Number of shares purchased	Average price paid pence	Authorised purchases unutilised at month end
September 2015	2,719,851	1737	248,794,149
March 2016	295,510	1872	248,498,639
Total	**3,015,361**	**1750**	**248,498,639**

(d) Dividends

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Amounts recognised as distributions to equity shareholders in the year			
Final dividend for the year ended 30 June 2015			
34.9 pence per share (2014 – 32.0 pence; 2013 – 29.3 pence)	**876**	801	735
Interim dividend for the year ended 30 June 2016			
22.6 pence per share (2015 – 21.5 pence; 2014 – 19.7 pence)	**567**	540	493
	1,443	1,341	1,228

The proposed final dividend of £918 million (36.6 pence per share) for the year ended 30 June 2016 was approved by the Board of Directors on 27 July 2016. As this was after the balance sheet date and the dividend is subject to approval by shareholders at the Annual General Meeting, this dividend has not been included as a liability in these consolidated financial statements. There are no corporate tax consequences arising from this treatment.

Dividends are waived on all treasury shares owned by the company and all shares owned by the employee share trusts.

(e) Non-controlling interests

Diageo consolidates USL, a company incorporated in India, with a 43.91% non-controlling interest and has a 50% controlling interest (2015 – 50%) in Ketel One Worldwide B.V. (Ketel One), a company incorporated in the Netherlands. All other consolidated subsidiaries are fully owned or the non-controlling interests are not material.

Summarised financial information for USL, Ketel One and others, after fair value adjustments on acquisition, and the amounts attributable to non-controlling interests are as follows:

	2016			2015		
	USL £ million	Ketel One and others £ million	Total £ million	USL £ million	Ketel One and others £ million	Total £ million
Income statement						
Sales	**2,460**	**1,563**	**4,023**	2,363	1,627	3,990
Net sales	**873**	**1,265**	**2,138**	926	1,324	2,250
Profit/(loss) for the year	**76**	**175**	**251**	(10)	200	190
Other comprehensive income[i]	**157**	**242**	**399**	50	66	116
Total comprehensive income	**233**	**417**	**650**	40	266	306
Attributable to non-controlling interests	**102**	**188**	**290**	18	131	149
Balance sheet						
Non-current assets[ii]	**2,289**	**2,536**	**4,825**	2,132	2,264	4,396
Current assets	**601**	**587**	**1,188**	527	518	1,045
Non-current liabilities	**(518)**	**(821)**	**(1,339)**	(579)	(723)	(1,302)
Current liabilities	**(639)**	**(554)**	**(1,193)**	(580)	(462)	(1,042)
Net assets	**1,733**	**1,748**	**3,481**	1,500	1,597	3,097
Attributable to non-controlling interests	**761**	**889**	**1,650**	659	826	1,485
Cash flow						
Net cash inflow/(outflow) from operating activities	**17**	**228**	**245**	(32)	314	282
Net cash inflow/(outflow) from investing activities	**70**	**(53)**	**17**	388	(88)	300
Net cash outflow from financing activities	**(67)**	**(145)**	**(212)**	(373)	(196)	(569)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	**20**	**30**	**50**	(17)	30	13
Exchange differences	**4**	**(5)**	**(1)**	2	1	3
Dividends paid to non-controlling interests	**–**	**(101)**	**(101)**	–	(72)	(72)

(i) Other comprehensive income is principally in respect of exchange on translating the subsidiaries to sterling.
(ii) Ketel One includes the global distribution rights to distribute Ketel One vodka products throughout the world. The carrying value of the distribution rights at 30 June 2016 was £1,354 million (2015 – £1,147 million).

(f) Employee share compensation

The group uses a number of share award and option plans to grant to its directors and employees.

The annual fair value charge in respect of the equity settled plans for the three years ended 30 June 2016 is as follows:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Executive share award plans	24	27	30
Executive share option plans	3	4	4
Savings plans	2	4	3
	29	35	37

Executive share awards are made under the Diageo 2014 Long Term Incentive plan (DLTIP) from September 2014 onwards. Prior to that, awards were made under the Diageo plc 2009 Executive Long Term Incentive Plan (DELTIP), the 2008 Performance Share Plan (PSP), the 2008 Senior Executive Share Option Plan (SESOP) or the 2009 Discretionary Incentive Plan (DIP). Prior to the introduction of the DLTIP, employees in associated companies were granted awards under the Diageo plc 2011 Associated Companies Share Incentive Plan (DACSIP). Under all of these plans, conditional awards can be delivered in the form of restricted shares or share options at the market value at the time of grant.

Share awards normally vest and are released on the third anniversary of the grant date. Participants do not make a payment to receive the award at grant. Executive Directors are required to hold any vested shares awarded from 2014 for a further two-year period. Share options may normally be exercised between three and ten years after the grant date. Executives in North America and Latin America and Caribbean are granted awards over the company's ADSs (one ADS is equivalent to four ordinary shares).

Performance shares under the DLTIP (previous PSP) are subject to the achievement of three equally weighted performance tests over the three-year performance period for the 2013 and 2014 grants these were; 1) a comparison of Diageo's three-year TSR with a peer group; 2) compound annual growth in organic net sales over three years; 3) total organic operating margin improvement over three years. For awards made in September 2015 or later, the third measure was replaced by one based on cumulative free cash flow over a three-year period, measured at constant exchange rates. Performance share options under the DLTIP (previously SESOP) are subject to the achievement of an earnings per share growth condition over a three-year period. Performance measures and targets are set annually by the Remuneration Committee. The vesting range is 20% or 25% (for Executive Directors and for other participants respectively) for achieving minimum performance targets, up to 100% for achieving the maximum target level. Retesting of the performance condition is not permitted.

For performance shares under the DLTIP (previous PSP) dividends are accrued on awards and are given to participants to the extent that the awards actually vest at the end of the performance period. Dividends can be paid in the form of cash or shares.

For the three years ended 30 June 2016, the calculation of the fair value of each share award used the Monte Carlo pricing model and the following weighted average assumptions:

	2016	2015	2014
Risk free interest rate	1.0%	1.4%	1.0%
Expected life of the awards	37 months	37 months	36 months
Dividend yield	3.2%	3.1%	2.7%
Weighted average share price	1737 p	1832 p	1970 p
Weighted average fair value of awards granted in the year	1058 p	753 p	1147 p
Number of awards granted in the year	3.1 million	2.5 million	2.5 million
Fair value of all awards granted in the year	£33 million	£19 million	£29 million

Transactions on schemes

Transactions on the executive share award plans for the three years ended 30 June 2016 were as follows:

	2016 Number of awards million	2015 Number of awards million	2014 Number of awards million
Balance outstanding at 1 July	7.6	9.4	11.3
Granted	3.1	2.5	2.5
Exercised/awarded	(1.6)	(2.6)	(3.4)
Forfeited/expired	(1.9)	(1.7)	(1.0)
Balance outstanding at 30 June	7.2	7.6	9.4

At 30 June 2016, 6.7 million executive share options were exercisable at a weighted average exercise price of 1391 pence.

OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Introduction

This section includes additional financial information that are either required by the relevant accounting standards or management considers these to be material information for shareholders.

18. Contingent liabilities and legal proceedings

Accounting policies
Provision is made for the anticipated settlement costs of legal or other disputes against the group where it is considered to be probable that a liability exists and a reliable estimate can be made of the likely outcome. Where it is possible that a settlement may be reached or it is not possible to make a reliable estimate of the estimated financial effect appropriate disclosure is made but no provision created.

Critical accounting estimates and judgements
A judgement is necessary in assessing the likelihood that a claim will succeed, or a liability will arise, and to quantify the possible range of any settlement. Due to the inherent uncertainty in this evaluation process, actual losses may be different from the liability originally estimated.

(a) Guarantees and related matters
As of 30 June 2016, the group has no material guarantees or indemnities outstanding in respect of liabilities of third parties. The following matters relate to guarantees previously discharged.

Diageo Holdings Netherlands B.V. (DHN) issued a conditional backstop guarantee to Standard Chartered Bank (Standard Chartered) pursuant to a guarantee commitment agreement (the Guarantee Agreement). The guarantee was in respect of the liabilities of Watson Limited (Watson), a company affiliated with Dr Vijay Mallya (Dr Mallya), under a $135 million (£92 million) facility from Standard Chartered. The Guarantee Agreement was entered into as part of the arrangements put in place and announced at closing of the United Spirits Limited (USL) transaction on 4 July 2013. DHN's provision of the Guarantee Agreement enabled the refinancing of certain existing borrowings of Watson from a third party bank and facilitated the release by that bank of rights over certain USL shares that were to be acquired by Diageo as part of the USL transaction. The facility matured and entered into default in May 2015. Whilst the guarantee was not payable immediately, DHN and Standard Chartered agreed to extend the date on which the guarantee was payable to 29 January 2016 to allow additional time for enforcement of the security package underlying the facility. As part of this agreement, in August 2015 DHN deposited $135 million (£92 million) in an escrow account with Standard Chartered. The loan remained in default and the guarantee was paid on 29 January 2016. The $135 million (£92 million) deposit was released to Standard Chartered and has been fully provided for during the year ended 30 June 2016. In aggregate DHN paid Standard Chartered $141 million (£96 million) under this guarantee, including the $135 million (£92 million) previously deposited, as well as payments of default interest and various fees and expenses.

While the guarantee amount has been fully provided for, Watson remains liable for all amounts paid by DHN under the guarantee. DHN is entitled to the benefit of the underlying security package for the loan, which includes shares in United Breweries Limited (UBL), Watson's interest in Orange India Holdings S.a.r.l. (Orange), the joint venture that owns the Force India Formula One (F1) team, and the shareholding in Watson, all of which remains in place. On 19 June 2015, a consortium of banks led by State Bank of India (SBI) obtained an ex-parte order from the Debt Recovery Tribunal (DRT) in Bangalore preventing the sale or any other transfer of such UBL shares as part of the enforcement process pending further orders from the DRT. This order was passed following the filing of a memorandum by Dr Mallya with the tribunal that he had no objection to it issuing the order in respect of the UBL shares. There was a further ex-parte order of the DRT on 15 July 2015 restraining the UBL shares being handed over to DHN or to any other party pending further orders of the DRT. DHN filed a writ petition before the High Court of Karnataka (the High Court) against such orders of the DRT, and on 7 November 2015, the High Court passed an interim order granting an interim stay of the order of the DRT dated 15 July 2015 and directing that the UBL shares shall not be dealt with until further orders. Subsequently, DHN was joined in the proceedings before the DRT.

Further, in a separate application filed by the SBI-led consortium before the DRT, on 17 May 2016 the DRT passed another ex-parte order attaching the shares held by Dr Mallya in Watson and directing Watson not to disburse amounts including dividends on shares held by Watson in Orange until further orders of the DRT. DHN and Standard Chartered (who were not named as parties in the above mentioned application filed by the SBI-led consortium) filed applications before the DRT to lift this order.

DHN is continuing to vigorously pursue these matters in order to lift the above DRT orders as part of the efforts for enforcement of the underlying security and recovery of outstanding amounts. Arguments on these matters have been made before the DRT, and the Presiding Officer of the DRT has not yet issued orders. Diageo believes that the existence of any prior rights or dispute in relation to the security would be in breach of representations and warranties given by Dr Mallya to Standard Chartered at the time the security was granted and further believes that Dr Mallya's filing of the memorandum with the tribunal in relation to the UBL shares and his failure to object to the order for status quo in that regard are breaches of his obligations to Standard Chartered.

Under the terms of the guarantee and as a matter of law, there are arrangements to pass on to DHN the benefit of the security package upon payment under the guarantee of all amounts owed to Standard Chartered. Payment under the guarantee has now occurred as described above. Standard Chartered has taken certain recovery steps and is working with DHN in relation to the DRT proceedings.

DHN is actively monitoring the security package and is discussing with Standard Chartered steps to continue enforcement against the background of the DRT proceedings described above as well as in relation to the other elements of the security package. DHN's ability to assume or enforce security over some elements of the security package is also subject to regulatory consent. It is not at this stage possible to determine whether such consent would be forthcoming. In addition, DHN has the benefit of a counter-indemnity from Watson in respect of payments in connection with the guarantee.

The agreement with Dr Mallya referenced in paragraph (d) below does not impact the security package, which includes shares in UBL and Watson's interest in Orange, the joint venture that owns the F1 team. Watson remains liable for all amounts paid pursuant to the guarantee. DHN is entitled to the benefit of the security package underlying the facility and the security providers have undertaken to take all necessary actions in that regard.

(b) Thalidomide litigation

In June 2014, claim forms alleging product liability and negligence for injuries arising from the consumption of thalidomide were filed in the High Court in London against Distillers Company (Biochemicals) Limited, its parent Diageo Scotland Limited (formerly Distillers Company Limited), as well as against Grünenthal GmbH, the developer of the drug (not a member of the group). On 4 December 2014 these claims forms were served by lawyers acting for the claimants. Since then the proceedings in respect of the 28 individuals that have now issued claims in the United Kingdom have been stayed until 30 September 2016 while discussions are ongoing between Diageo and the claimants' lawyers.

Diageo is unable to meaningfully quantify the possible loss or range of loss to which these lawsuits may give rise. Distillers Company (Biochemicals) Limited distributed thalidomide in the United Kingdom for a period in the late 1950s and early 1960s.

Diageo has worked voluntarily for many years with various thalidomide organisations and has provided significant financial support. A scheduled periodic review of Diageo's financing of the UK Thalidomide Trust will be undertaken during the year ending 30 June 2017.

(c) Acquisition of USL shares from UBHL, winding-up petitions against UBHL and other proceedings in relation to the USL transaction

(i) On 4 July 2013 Diageo completed its acquisition, under a share purchase agreement with UBHL and various other sellers (the SPA), of 21,767,749 shares (14.98%) in USL for a total consideration of INR 31.3 billion (£349 million), including 10,141,437 shares (6.98%) from UBHL. The SPA was signed on 9 November 2012 and was part of the transaction announced by Diageo in relation to USL on that day (the Original USL Transaction). Through a series of further transactions, as of 2 July 2014, Diageo has a 54.78% investment in USL (excluding 2.38% owned by the USL Benefit Trust).

Prior to the acquisition from UBHL on 4 July 2013, the High Court had granted leave to UBHL under sections 536 and 537 of the Indian Companies Act 1956 (the Leave Order) to enable the sale by UBHL to Diageo to take place (the UBHL Share Sale) notwithstanding the continued existence of five winding-up petitions that were pending against UBHL on 9 November 2012, being the date of the SPA. Additional winding-up petitions have been brought against UBHL since 9 November 2012, and the Leave Order did not extend to them. At the time of the completion of the UBHL Share Sale, the Leave Order remained subject to review on appeal. However, as stated by Diageo at the time of closing on 4 July 2013, it was considered unlikely that any appeal process in respect of the Leave Order would definitively conclude on a timely basis and, accordingly, Diageo waived the conditionality under the SPA relating to the absence of insolvency proceedings in relation to UBHL and acquired the 10,141,437 USL shares from UBHL at that time.

Following closing of the UBHL Share Sale, appeals were filed by various petitioners in respect of the Leave Order. On 20 December 2013, the division bench of the High Court set aside the Leave Order (the 20 December Order). Following the 20 December Order, Diageo filed special leave petitions (SLPs) in the Supreme Court of India against the 20 December Order.

On 10 February 2014, the Supreme Court of India issued an order giving notice in respect of the SLPs and ordering that the status quo be maintained with regard to the UBHL Share Sale pending a hearing on the matter in the Supreme Court. Following a number of adjournments, the next hearing date for the SLPs (in respect of which leave has since been granted and which have been converted to civil appeals) is yet to be fixed.

In separate proceedings, various winding-up petitions against UBHL have been admitted by the High Court. These petitions and certain related proceedings have been progressing through the High Court since closing of the UBHL Share Sale. Following earlier adjournments there is currently no fixed date for the next hearing of the various winding-up proceedings.

Diageo continues to believe that the acquisition price of INR 1,440 paid to UBHL for the USL shares is fair and reasonable as regards UBHL, UBHL's shareholders and UBHL's secured and unsecured creditors. However, adverse results for Diageo in the proceedings referred to above could, absent leave or relief in other proceedings, ultimately result in Diageo losing title to the 10,141,437 USL shares acquired from UBHL. Diageo believes it would remain in control of USL and be able to consolidate USL as a subsidiary regardless of the outcome of this litigation. There can be no certainty as to the outcome of the existing or any further related legal proceedings or the timeframe within which they would be concluded.

Diageo also has the benefit of certain contractual undertakings and commitments from the relevant sellers in relation to potential challenges to its unencumbered title to the USL shares acquired on 4 July 2013, including relating to the winding-up petitions described above and/or certain losses and costs that may be incurred in the event of third party actions relating to the acquisition of the USL shares.

(ii) Separately, Diageo's contractual rights in relation to the acquisition of an additional 3,459,090 USL shares (representing 2.38% of the share capital of USL) under the SPA from the USL Benefit Trust have not been capable of completion. Currently certain lenders to USL are refusing to release security that they hold over those shares notwithstanding that they have been repaid in full. USL filed a petition against such lenders before the High Court for release of the security and the High Court granted a stay order in favour of USL in December 2015 restraining the lenders from dealing with the 3,459,090 pledged USL shares until further order of the High Court. As previously disclosed, while those shares are held by the USL Benefit Trust pending any sale, they are subject to an undertaking that the trustees would only vote the shares at the direction of USL.

(iii) Diageo has notified UBHL and its subsidiary, KFinvest, of certain claims that it believes it has against such parties under the SPA in relation to the matters revealed by the Initial Inquiry described in paragraph (d) below, including under provisions requiring the discharge of inter-group balances and also as a result of the non-disclosure of these matters to it during the due diligence exercise that preceded the Original USL Transaction. Diageo also believes that it may have additional claims against those parties under the SPA in relation to the matters revealed by the Additional Inquiry described in paragraph (d) below.

(d) USL internal inquiries, resignation of Dr Vijay Mallya from USL and related matters

In a notice to the Indian stock exchange dated 4 September 2014, USL announced that its Board of Directors had directed an inquiry into certain matters referred to in USL's financial statements and the qualified auditor's report for the year ended 31 March 2014 (the Initial Inquiry). The transactions noted in the Initial Inquiry occurred prior to Diageo gaining significant influence over USL on 4 July 2013 when it completed the transaction to purchase shares in USL to take its aggregate shareholding to 25.02%. USL provided an update on 25 April 2015 in relation to the Initial Inquiry which covered various matters, including certain doubtful receivables, advances and deposits. Additional updates have been provided by USL in subsequent quarterly announcements and most recently in the announcement of their audited financial results on 26 May 2016, in respect of the year ended 31 March 2016.

As previously stated by USL, the Initial Inquiry: (a) revealed that funds involved in many of the commercial transactions covered by the Initial Inquiry were diverted from USL and/or its subsidiaries to certain companies in the UBHL group, including in particular Kingfisher Airlines Limited; (b) prima facie revealed that certain accounting entries appear to have been made and certain transactions entered into on behalf of USL appear to have been undertaken in order to show a lower exposure of USL (and its subsidiaries) to UBHL than that which actually existed at the relevant time; and (c) also identified certain additional parties and matters where documents identified raised concerns as to the propriety of certain underlying commercial transactions with counterparties referred to in the notes to USL's audited accounts for the year ended 31 March 2014. The Initial Inquiry suggested that the manner in which these various transactions were conducted, prima facie, indicates various improprieties and potential violations of provisions, inter alia, of the Indian Companies Act, 1956 and the listing agreements signed by USL with various stock exchanges in India on which its securities are listed.

USL has recorded provisions in an aggregate amount of approximately INR 6,712 million (£75 million) with respect to (a) above, and in an aggregate amount of approximately INR 2,368 million (£26 million) with respect to (c) above. These amounts were fully provided for in the fair value balance sheet consolidated by Diageo on 2 July 2014. Diageo does not expect any further material financial impact on Diageo's financial results in connection with such transactions. USL made provisions in its financial statements for the two years ended 31 March 2014 and 31 March 2015 in respect of the issues identified by the Initial Inquiry. The audit report on the financial statements of USL for the year ended 31 March 2015 was also qualified in respect of these issues.

The USL board stated in its update of 25 April 2015 that it was not in a position to make any final determination with regard to the position of any individuals involved and therefore directed USL to report the relevant transactions to the authorities as required under applicable law and to provide the Initial Inquiry report to USL's auditors and other regulators. The USL board also resolved that USL should take the necessary steps to assess USL's legal position and then take such action as is necessary to recover its funds from the relevant parties to the extent possible. As previously announced by USL on 2 November 2015, USL has been taking steps for recovery of the funds that were identified by the Initial Inquiry to have been diverted from USL and/or its subsidiaries to the extent possible. During the quarter ended 30 September 2015, USL reached a settlement with one of the parties pursuant to which the party withdrew claims amounting to approximately INR 279 million (£3 million), and accordingly a provision of approximately INR 279 million (£3 million) was written back. Additionally, subsequent to the year ended 31 March 2016, USL has signed settlement agreements with certain such parties and based on these settlements has reversed provisions with respect to interest claimed amounting to INR 265 million (£3 million). During the year ended 31 March 2016, based on its assessment of recoverability, USL's management has written off NR 5,666 million (£63 million) out of the amounts provided for with respect to the relevant parties.

In light of the above, and without making any determination as to fault or culpability, the USL directors noted in the update of 25 April 2015 that they had lost confidence in Dr Mallya continuing in his role as a director and as chairman of USL and therefore the USL board called upon Dr Mallya to resign forthwith as a director and as chairman of the board and step down from his positions in USL's subsidiaries. The board of USL also resolved that, in the event Dr Mallya declined to step down, it would recommend to the shareholders of USL the removal of Dr Mallya as a director and as chairman of the board. Dr Mallya indicated at the time that he would not tender his resignation.

Diageo is the majority shareholder in USL with a 54.78% holding in USL. As previously announced by Diageo, it had certain contractual obligations to support Dr Mallya continuing as Non-Executive Director and Chairman of USL subject to certain conditions and in the absence of certain defaults. Those matters were agreed on 9 November 2012 as part of a broader shareholders' agreement and came into effect on 4 July 2013 when Diageo completed the purchase of shares to take its aggregate shareholding in USL to 25.02%.

Subsequent to its announcement of 25 April 2015, USL provided its Initial Inquiry report and all related materials to Diageo. Diageo announced on 27 April 2015 that it noted the recommendation of the USL board and was considering its position under its agreements with Dr Mallya and UBHL in light of the inquiry report and materials provided to it.

On 25 February 2016, Diageo and USL each announced that they had entered into arrangements with Dr Mallya under which he had agreed to resign from his position as a director and as chairman of USL and from his positions in USL's subsidiaries. As specified by Diageo in its announcement at that time, these arrangements ended its prior agreement with Dr Mallya regarding his position at USL, therefore bringing to an end the uncertainty relating to the governance of USL, and put in place a five-year global non-compete (excluding the United Kingdom), non-interference, non-solicitation and standstill arrangement with Dr Mallya. As part of those arrangements, USL, Diageo and Dr Mallya agreed a mutual release in relation to matters arising out of the Initial Inquiry and Dr Mallya also agreed not to pursue any claims against Diageo, USL and their affiliates (including under the prior agreement with Diageo). In evaluating entering into such arrangements, Diageo considered the impact of the arrangements on USL and all of USL's shareholders, and came to the view that the arrangements were in the best interests of USL and its shareholders. Diageo's agreement with Dr Mallya (the 25 February Agreement) provided for a payment of $75 million (£53 million) to Dr Mallya over a five year period in consideration for the five-year global non-compete, non-interference, non-solicitation and standstill commitments referred to above, his resignation from USL and the termination of his appointment and governance rights, the relinquishing of rights and benefits attached to his position at USL, and his agreement not to pursue claims against Diageo and USL. The 25 February Agreement also provided for the release of Dr Mallya's personal obligations to indemnify DHN and Diageo Finance in respect of any liabilities under the guarantee arrangements described in paragraph (a) above and his personal obligation to indemnify Diageo Finance in respect of its earlier liability (£30 million) under a guarantee of certain borrowings of United Breweries Overseas Limited. $40 million (£28 million) of the $75 million (£53 million) amount was paid on signing of the 25 February Agreement with the balance being payable in equal instalments of $7 million (£5 million)

a year over five years, subject to and conditional on Dr Mallya's compliance with certain terms of the agreement.

On 7 March 2016, a consortium of banks led by SBI obtained an order from the DRT in Bangalore attaching the sum of $75 million (£53 million) payable to Dr Mallya under the 25 February Agreement. The order provides that Dr Mallya is not to draw on that sum, Diageo is not to disburse such sum to Dr Mallya and Diageo is to deposit such sum with the DRT. Diageo filed an affidavit in the DRT on 5 April 2016 explaining that the sum of $40 million (£28 million) was paid on 25 February 2016, prior to the order dated 7 March 2016. Diageo further explained that no sum is presently due and payable by Diageo to Dr Mallya under the terms of the 25 February Agreement, and there can be no certainty that any amount will become due and payable under the terms of the 25 February Agreement in the future because of the conditional nature of the obligation. Diageo's position is that the order is not currently capable of being performed. Pursuant to an order of the DRT dated 29 April 2016, on 12 May 2016 Diageo and USL filed memos with the DRT furnishing copies of their respective agreements with Dr Mallya. On 16 July 2016, the DRT issued a clarification in relation to its order dated 7 March 2016 (which forms part of that order), stating that: (i) if Diageo is liable to pay any amount under the 25 February Agreement to Dr Mallya, such amount shall be deposited in the DRT under the 7 March order; and (ii) if Diageo is not liable to pay any amount under the 25 February Agreement to Dr Mallya, Diageo does not need to deposit any amount in the DRT.

At the time of the 25 February 2016 announcement, Diageo confirmed that, by virtue of Dr Mallya having been a director of USL, a subsidiary of Diageo, the arrangements described in that announcement, which were required to be aggregated with certain prior transactions and arrangements, constituted a smaller related party transaction within LR11.1.10R of the Listing Rules. Accordingly, Diageo obtained written confirmation from BofA Merrill Lynch, as sponsor, that the terms of the relevant arrangements were fair and reasonable as far as Diageo shareholders were concerned.

As previously announced by USL and as noted above, the Initial Inquiry identified certain additional parties and matters indicating the possible existence of other improper transactions. These transactions could not be fully analysed during the Initial Inquiry and, accordingly, USL, as previously announced, mandated that its Managing Director & CEO conduct a further inquiry into the transactions involving the additional parties and the additional matters to determine whether they also suffered from improprieties (the Additional Inquiry). USL announced the results of the Additional Inquiry in a notice to the Indian Stock Exchange dated 9 July 2016.

As stated in that announcement, the Additional Inquiry revealed: (a) further instances of actual or potential fund diversions amounting to approximately INR 9,135 million (£102 million) as well as other potentially improper transactions involving USL and its Indian and overseas subsidiaries amounting to approximately INR 3,118 million (£35 million); (b) that these transactions occurred during the period from October 2010 to July 2014, although certain transactions appear to have been initiated prior to that period; and (c) that these improper transactions involved the diversion of funds to certain non-Indian entities in which Dr Mallya appears to have a material direct or indirect interest (including Force India Formula One, Watson Limited, Continental Administrative Services, Modall Securities Limited, Ultra Dynamix Limited and Lombard Wall Corporate Services Inc) as well as certain Indian entities (including, in most cases, Kingfisher Airlines Limited).

The USL board has, in light of these findings, and based on expert advice, directed that copies of the Additional Inquiry report be provided to the relevant authorities and its auditors. The USL board also directed that USL should conduct a detailed review of each indicated case of fund diversion to assess its legal position and then take such action as is necessary to recover its funds from the relevant parties and individuals, to the extent possible. The mutual release in relation to the Initial Inquiry agreed by Diageo and USL with Dr Mallya and announced on 25 February 2016 does not extend to matters arising out of the Additional Inquiry. In addition to the notification sent by Diageo to UBHL and KFinvest in relation to the claims it believes it has against such parties under the SPA in relation to the matters revealed in the Initial Inquiry, as noted in paragraph (c)(iii) above, Diageo also believes it may have claims against UBHL and KFinvest under the SPA in relation to the matters revealed by the Additional Inquiry, including under certain provisions requiring the discharge of inter-group balances and also as a result of the non-disclosure of these matters to it during the due diligence exercise that preceded the Original USL Transaction.

Almost all of the amounts identified in the Additional Inquiry have been previously provided for or expensed in the financial statements of USL or its subsidiaries for prior periods (including by way of provisions made in relation to impairment in the value of or loss on sale of USL's overseas subsidiaries). USL's management has recommended to the USL board that a further provision of INR 217 million (£2 million) should be made for the value of certain improper transactions identified by the Additional Inquiry which were not previously expensed or provided for. Based on the information currently available, Diageo believes that no further provisions are required at this stage.

(e) Regulatory notices in relation to USL

Following USL's earlier updates concerning the Initial Inquiry as well as in relation to the arrangements with Dr Mallya that were the subject of the 25 February 2016 announcement, USL and Diageo have received various notices from Indian regulatory authorities, including the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Serious Fraud Investigation Office, National Stock Exchange, Income Tax Department, Enforcement Directorate, Securities and Exchange Board of India, Bangalore police, Central Excise Intelligence and the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India. Diageo and USL are cooperating fully with the authorities in relation to these matters, and, as noted in paragraph (d) above, USL reported the matters covered by the Initial Inquiry and the Additional Inquiry to the relevant authorities.

Diageo and USL have also received notices from the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) requesting information in relation to, and explanation of the reasons for, the arrangements with Dr Mallya that were the subject of the 25 February 2016 announcement as well as, in the case of USL, in relation to the Initial Inquiry, and, in the case of Diageo, whether such arrangements with Dr Mallya or the Watson backstop guarantee arrangements described in paragraph (a) above were part of agreements previously made with Dr Mallya at the time of the Original USL Transaction announced on 9 November 2012 and the open offer made as part of the Original USL Transaction. Diageo and USL have complied with such information requests and Diageo has confirmed that, consistent with prior disclosures, the Watson backstop guarantee arrangements and the matters described in the 25 February 2016 announcement were not the subject of any earlier agreement with Dr Mallya. In respect of the Watson backstop guarantee arrangements, SEBI issued a further notice to Diageo on 16 June 2016 that if there is any net liability incurred by Diageo (after any recovery under relevant security or other arrangements, which matters remain pending as noted in paragraph (a) above) on account of the Watson backstop guarantee, such liability, if any, would be considered to be part of the price paid for the acquisition of USL shares under the SPA which formed part of the Original USL Transaction and that, in that case, additional equivalent payments would be required to be made to those shareholders (representing 0.04% of the shares in USL) who tendered in the open offer made as part of the Original USL Transaction.

Diageo is clear that the Watson backstop guarantee arrangements were not part of the price paid or agreed to be paid for any USL shares under the Original USL Transaction and therefore believes the decision in the SEBI notice to be misconceived and wrong in law and it is taking steps to appeal it.

Diageo is unable to assess if the notices or enquiries referred to above will result in enforcement action or, if this were to transpire, to quantify meaningfully the possible loss or range of loss, if any, to which any such action might give rise if determined against Diageo or USL.

(f) SEC Inquiry

Diageo has received requests for information from the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) regarding its distribution in and public disclosures regarding the United States as well as additional context about the Diageo group globally. Diageo is currently responding to the SEC's requests for information in this matter. Diageo is unable to assess if the inquiry will evolve into further information requests or an enforcement action or, if this were to transpire, to quantify meaningfully the possible loss or range of loss, if any, to which any such action might give rise.

(g) Other

The group has extensive international operations and is the defendant in a number of legal, customs and tax proceedings incidental to these operations, the outcome of which cannot at present be foreseen. In particular, the group is currently the defendant in various customs proceedings that challenge the declared customs value of products imported by certain Diageo companies. Diageo continues to defend its position vigorously in these proceedings.

Save as disclosed above, neither Diageo, nor any member of the Diageo group, is or has been engaged in, nor (so far as Diageo is aware) are there pending or threatened by or against it, any legal or arbitration proceedings which may have a significant effect on the financial position of the Diageo group.

19. Commitments

(a) Capital commitments

Commitments for expenditure on intangibles and property, plant and equipment not provided for in these consolidated financial statements are estimated at £87 million (2015 – £114 million; 2014 – £162 million).

(b) Operating lease commitments

The minimum lease rentals to be paid under non-cancellable leases, principally in respect of properties, are as follows:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million
Payments falling due:		
Within one year	92	96
Between one and two years	94	70
Between two and three years	81	61
Between three and four years	70	51
Between four and five years	63	51
After five years	407	217
	807	546

On 1 January 2016, Diageo completed the North American wines transaction with Treasury Wine Estates (TWE). As part of this transaction Diageo sub-let the North American vineyards to TWE which continue to be leased from Realty Income Corporation (Realty). The terms of the sub lease to TWE are identical to the principal lease and the future lease commitments are included in finance lease liabilities ($47 million (£36 million)) and operating lease commitments ($541 million (£407 million)). The finance lease receivable from TWE of $47 million (£36 million) is included in other financial assets. If TWE default on their payments Diageo continue to be responsible for the lease payments to Realty. A provision has been charged to non-operating items in the year ended 30 June 2016 for the estimated liability if TWE default on their lease commitments.

In respect of property not currently utilised, the group has entered into sub-leases for which the minimum amount receivable from operating lease is £436 million (2015 –£28 million) under the term of the contract including the receivable from TWE.

There are no significant leases for which contingent rent is payable, nor any that have purchase options, escalation clauses or restrictions. Certain of the operating leases have renewal clauses which are at fair market value.

20. Related party transactions

Transactions between the group and its related parties are made on terms equivalent to those that prevail in arm's length transactions.

(a) Subsidiaries

Transactions between the company and its subsidiaries are eliminated on consolidation and therefore are not disclosed. Details of the principal group companies are given in note 21.

(b) Associates and joint ventures

Sales and purchases to and from associates and joint ventures are principally in respect of premium drinks products but also include the provision of management services.

Transactions and balances with associates and joint ventures are set out in the table below:

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Income statement items			
Sales	31	117	156
Purchases	36	85	89
Balance sheet items			
Group payables	5	3	8
Group receivables	2	11	12
Loans payable	6	6	7
Loans receivable	2	2	41
Cash flow items			
Loans and equity contributions, net	–	26	25

Other disclosures in respect of associates and joint ventures are included in note 6.

(c) Key management personnel

The key management of the group comprises the Executive and Non-Executive Directors, the members of the Executive Committee and the Company Secretary. They are listed under 'Board of Directors and Company Secretary' and 'Executive Committee'.

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Salaries and short term employee benefits	11	9	9
Annual incentive plan	9	4	2
Non-Executive Directors' fees	1	1	1
Share-based payments[(i)]	7	9	13
Post employment benefits[(ii)]	2	2	2
Termination benefits	2	1	–
	32	26	27

(i) Time-apportioned fair value of unvested options and share awards.
(ii) Includes the cash allowance in lieu of pension contributions.

Non-Executive Directors do not receive share-based payments or post employment benefits. Details are given in the Directors' remuneration report of the individual Directors' remuneration and transactions between the group and key management personnel.

(d) Pension plans

The Diageo pension plans are recharged with the cost of administration services provided by the group to the pension plans and with professional fees paid by the group on behalf of the pension plans. The total amount recharged for the year was £16 million (2015 – £13 million; 2014 – £17 million).

(e) Directors' remuneration

	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million
Salaries and benefits	2	2	3
Annual incentive plan	2	1	–
Non-Executive Directors' fees	1	1	1
Share option exercises[i]	–	–	4
Shares vesting[i]	5	5	7
Post employment benefits[ii]	1	1	1
	11	10	16

(i) Gains on options realised in the year and the benefit from share awards, calculated by using the share price applicable on the date of exercise of the share options and release of the awards.
(ii) Includes the cash allowance in lieu of pension contributions.

Details of the individual Directors' remuneration are given in the Directors' remuneration report.

21. Principal group companies

The companies listed below include those which principally affect the profits and assets of the group. The operating companies listed below may carry on the business described in the countries listed in conjunction with their subsidiaries and other group companies.

	Country of incorporation	Country of operation	Percentage of equity owned[i]	Business description
Subsidiaries				
Diageo Ireland	Republic of Ireland	Worldwide	100%	Production, marketing and distribution of premium drinks
Diageo Great Britain Limited	England	Worldwide	100%	Marketing and distribution of premium drinks
Diageo Scotland Limited	Scotland	Worldwide	100%	Production, marketing and distribution of premium drinks
Diageo Brands B.V.	Netherlands	Worldwide	100%	Marketing and distribution of premium drinks
Diageo North America, Inc.	United States	Worldwide	100%	Production, importing, marketing and distribution of premium drinks
United Spirits Limited[ii]	India	India	54.78%	Production, importing, marketing and distribution of premium drinks
Diageo Capital plc[iii]	Scotland	United Kingdom	100%	Financing company for the group
Diageo Finance plc[iii]	England	United Kingdom	100%	Financing company for the group
Diageo Investment Corporation	United States	United States	100%	Financing company for the US group
Mey İçki Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş.	Turkey	Turkey	100%	Production, marketing and distribution of premium drinks
Associates				
Moët Hennessy, SNC[iv]	France	France	34%	Production, marketing and distribution of premium drinks

(i) All percentages, unless otherwise stated, are in respect of holdings of ordinary share capital and are equivalent to the percentages of voting rights held by the group.
(ii) Excluding 2.38% owned by the USL Benefit Trust.
(iii) Directly owned by Diageo plc.
(iv) French partnership.
(1) Diageo Finance B.V. (Netherlands) is a wholly-owned finance subsidiary of the group. As at 30 June 2016, there were no outstanding securities issued by Diageo Finance B.V.

COMPANY BALANCE SHEET OF DIAGEO PLC

	Notes	30 June 2016 £ million	30 June 2016 £ million	30 June 2015 (restated) £ million	30 June 2015 (restated) £ million
Fixed assets					
Investment in subsidiaries	3		27,038		27,042
Current assets					
Debtors – due after one year					
Other fi ancial assets	4	469		347	
Deferred tax assets	5	71		–	
Post employment benefit assets	6	33		404	
Debtors – due within one year					
Amounts owned by group undertakings		2,048		945	
Other debtors		6		11	
Other fi ancial assets	4	108		3	
Cash and cash equivalents		3		3	
			2,738		1,713
Creditors – due within one year					
Other fi ancial liabilities	4	(108)		(49)	
Other creditors		(82)		(54)	
Provisions	7	(9)		(12)	
			(199)		(115)
Net current assets			2,539		1,598
Total assets less current liabilities			29,577		28,640
Creditors – due after one year					
Amounts owed to group undertakings		(9,576)		(8,576)	
Other fi ancial liabilities	4	(469)		(347)	
Other creditors		(12)		(12)	
Provisions	7	(167)		(166)	
Deferred tax liabilities	5	–		(7)	
Post employment benefit liabilities	6	(179)		(107)	
			(10,403)		(9,215)
Net assets			19,174		19,425
Equity					
Share capital (2,754 million shares of 28 101/108 pence each)	9	797		797	
Share premium		1,347		1,346	
Merger reserve	9	9,161		9,161	
Other reserves		3,146		3,146	
Retained earnings		4,723		4,975	
Total equity			19,174		19,425

Figures at 30 June 2015 have been restated following the adoption of FRS 101. See note 1 to the financial statements.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these parent company financial statements.

These financial statements were approved by a duly appointed and authorised committee of The Board of Directors on 27 July 2016 and were signed on its behalf by Ivan Menezes and Kathryn Mikells, Directors.

Company registration number No. 23307

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY FOR DIAGEO PLC

					Retained earnings			
	Share capital £ million	Share premium £ million	Merger reserve £ million	Capital redemption reserve £ million	Own shares £ million	Other reserve £ million	Total £ million	Total equity £ million
At 30 June 2014 as previously reported	797	1,345	9,161	3,146	(2,280)	6,793	4,513	18,962
Adoption of FRS 101 (note 1)	–	–	–	–	–	103	103	103
At 30 June 2014 (restated)	797	1,345	9,161	3,146	(2,280)	6,896	4,616	19,065
Profit for the year (restated)	–	–	–	–	–	1,534	1,534	1,534
Other comprehensive income	–	–	–	–	–	134	134	134
Employee share schemes	–	–	–	–	52	(58)	(6)	(6)
Share-based incentive plans	–	–	–	–	–	35	35	35
Tax on share-based incentive plans	–	–	–	–	–	3	3	3
Shares issued	–	1	–	–	–	–	–	1
Dividends paid	–	–	–	–	–	(1,341)	(1,341)	(1,341)
At 30 June 2015 (restated)	797	1,346	9,161	3,146	(2,228)	7,203	4,975	19,425
Profit for the year	–	–	–	–	–	1,526	1,526	1,526
Other comprehensive loss	–	–	–	–	–	(368)	(368)	(368)
Employee share schemes	–	–	–	–	39	(38)	1	1
Share-based incentive plans	–	–	–	–	–	29	29	29
Tax on share-based incentive plans	–	–	–	–	–	3	3	3
Shares issued	–	1	–	–	–	–	–	1
Dividends paid	–	–	–	–	–	(1,443)	(1,443)	(1,443)
At 30 June 2016	797	1,347	9,161	3,146	(2,189)	6,912	4,723	19,174

Figures for the year ended 30 June 2015 have been restated following the adoption of FRS 101. See note 1 to the financial statements.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these parent company financial statements.

NOTES TO THE COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF DIAGEO PLC

1. Accounting policies of the company

Basis of preparation

The financial statements of Diageo plc (the company) are prepared in accordance with Financial Reporting Standard 101 Reduced Disclosure Framework (FRS 101). The company has applied IFRS 1 for the first time for the year ended 30 June 2016 and has restated its comparative information.

In preparing these financial statements, the company applies the recognition, measurement, and disclosure requirements of International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the EU (IFRS), but makes amendments where necessary in order to comply with the Companies Act 2006 and has excluded certain information as permitted by FRS 101.

The financial statements are prepared on a going concern basis under the historical cost convention, except for certain financial instruments which are stated at their fair value.

By virtue of section 408 of the Companies Act 2006 the company is exempt from presenting a statement of income and disclosing employee numbers and staff osts. The company has taken advantage of the exemption under FRS 101 from preparing a cash flow statement and related notes, disclosures in respect of transactions and the capital management of wholly owned subsidiaries, the effects of new but not yet effective IFRSs, an additional balance sheet at 1 July 2014 and disclosures in respect of the compensation of Key Management Personnel.

Adjustments arising on transition to FRS 101

The accounting policies disclosed below have been applied in preparing the financial statements for the year ended 30 June 2016 and the comparative information for the year ended 30 June 2015. The company's date of transition to IFRS 101 was 1 July 2014.

In preparing its FRS 101 balance sheet, the company has adjusted amounts reported previously in financial statements prepared in accordance with its old basis of accounting (old UK GAAP). The adjustments required on adoption of FRS 101 were

(a) to bring the UK post employment plans net asset/(deficit) and the associated deferred tax, for which Diageo plc is the sponsoring company, onto the balance sheet

(b) to adjust for deferred tax and current tax on changes in the share price on share options issued to employees which were not required under old UK GAAP.

				As at 30 June 2015
		(a)	(b)	
	As previously reported £ million	Post employment £ million	Deferred tax and current tax on share-based payments £ million	As restated £ million
Deferred tax	50	(60)	3	(7)
Post employment benefit assets	–	404	–	404
Post employment benefit liabilities	(4)	(103)	–	(107)
Other net assets	19,135	–	–	19,135
Net assets	**19,181**	**241**	**3**	**19,425**
Retained earnings as at 30 June 2014	3,201	98	5	3,304
Movement in retained earnings	–	–	3	3
Profit for the year	1,530	9	(5)	1,534
Other comprehensive income	–	134	–	134
Other equity	14,450	–	–	14,450
Total equity	**19,181**	**241**	**3**	**19,425**

	As at 30 June 2014			
		(a)	(b)	
	As previously reported £ million	Post employment £ million	Deferred tax and current tax on share-based payments £ million	As restated £ million
Deferred tax	61	(25)	5	41
Post employment benefit assets	–	221	–	221
Post employment benefit liabilities	(4)	(98)	–	(102)
Other net assets	18,905	–	–	18,905
Net assets	**18,962**	**98**	**5**	**19,065**
Retained earnings	4,513	98	5	4,616
Other equity	14,449	–	–	14,449
Total equity	**18,962**	**98**	**5**	**19,065**

Investment in subsidiaries

Investments in subsidiaries are stated at historical cost less impairment provisions for any permanent decrease in value. The carrying amounts of the company's investments are reviewed at each reporting date to determine whether there is an indication of impairment. If such an indication exists, then the asset's recoverable amount is estimated. Losses are recognised in the statement of income and reflected in an allowance against the carrying value. When a subsequent event causes the amount of impairment loss to decrease, the decrease in impairment loss is reversed through the statement of income.

Dividends paid and received

Dividends are included in the financial statements in the financial year in which they are approved. Dividends received are included in the financial statements in the year in which they are receivable.

Share-based payments – employee benefits

The company's accounting policy is the same as set out in note 17 to the consolidated financial statements. Where the company grants options over its own shares to the employees of its subsidiaries, it generally recharges the cost to the relevant group company. Where the amount is not recharged the value of the options is recognised as capital contribution to the subsidiaries and increases the cost of investment.

Pensions and other post employment benefits

The company's accounting policy is the same as set out in note 13 to the consolidated financial statements.

The company acts as sponsor of a number of UK post employment plans for the benefit of employees and former employees throughout the group. It is not possible to allocate the assets and liabilities of these UK pension plans between individual companies of the Diageo group and therefore the fair value of the plans' assets less the present value of the plans' liabilities are disclosed as an asset or liability on the company's balance sheet. The net income charge comprises the cost of accruing pension benefits promised to employees of the company over the year, aggregated with the net asset/deficit on the plans at the beginning of the financial year, adjusted for cash flows in the year, multiplied by the discount rate for plan liabilities. Any differences due to changes in assumptions or experience are recognised in other comprehensive income.

Taxation

Current tax is based on taxable profit for the year. This requires an estimation of the current tax liability together with an assessment of the timing differences which arise as a consequence of different accounting and tax treatments. Full provision for deferred tax is made for timing differences between the recognition of gains and losses in the financial statements and their recognition in tax computations. The amount of deferred tax reflects the expected recoverable amount and is based on the expected manner of realisation or settlement of the carrying amount of assets and liabilities, using the basis of taxation enacted or substantively enacted by the balance sheet date. The company does not discount these balances. Tax benefits are not recognised unless it is probable that the tax positions are sustainable. Once considered to be probable, management reviews each material tax benefit to assess whether a provision should be taken against full recognition of the benefit on the basis of potential settlement through negotiation and/or litigation. Any interest and penalties on tax liabilities are provided for in the tax charge.

Financial instruments

Derivative financial instruments are recognised in the balance sheet at fair value calculated using discounted cash flow techniques based on market data applied consistently for similar types of instruments. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are reported in the statement of income. Gains and losses on derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment are taken to the statement of income as they arise.

Significant assumptions underlying the valuation models and techniques used are disclosed in note 15 to the consolidated financial statements.

Amounts owed to and from other group companies are reported at initial cost, subject to impairment, as they are repayable on demand.

Financial guarantee contract liabilities

Financial guarantee contract liabilities are measured initially at their fair values and are subsequently measured at amortised cost.

Critical accounting estimates and judgements

The company's critical accounting policies, which the directors consider are of greater complexity and/or particularly subject to the exercise of judgements are generally the same as those disclosed in note 1 to the consolidated financial statements. A critical accounting estimate, specific to the company, is the assessment that the recoverable amount of the company's investment in subsidiaries is greater than the carrying amount.

2. Statement of income

Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements provides details of the remuneration of the company's auditor for the group.

Information on directors' emoluments, share and other interests, transactions and pension entitlements is included in the directors' remuneration report in this Annual Report.

3. Investment in subsidiaries

	£ million
Cost less provisions	
At 30 June 2015	27,042
Additions (a)	2
Disposal (a)(b)	(6)
At 30 June 2016	27,038

Investments in subsidiaries are stated at historical cost of £27,324 million (2015 – £27,328 million) less impairment provisions of £286 million (2015 – £286 million).

(a) Investment in subsidiaries include £124 million (2015 – £126 million) of costs in respect of share-based payments, granted to subsidiary undertakings which were not recharged to the subsidiaries. In the year ended 30 June 2016 the company recognised additions of £2 million in respect of options not recharged and £4 million in respect of share options granted to employees of subsidiaries that were disposed.

(b) On 14 June 2016, the company sold 100% of the shares, that had a book value of £2 million, that it owned in Diageo Global Supply IBC Limited to a subsidiary group undertaking resulting in a gain of £33 million.

A list of group companies as at 30 June 2016 is provided in note 10.

4. Other financial assets and liabilities

At 30 June 2016, financial assets and liabilities comprise the fair value of interest rate swaps and cross currency interest rate swaps with subsidiary undertakings, where the company acts as an intermediary between group companies, therefore it is not expected that there will be any net impact on future cash flows.

The company recognised a gain of £2 million (2015 – £4 million) in the statement of income due to changes in fair value of derivatives in relation to a Dutch subsidiary, which expired prior to 30 June 2016.

Information on financial risk management for the group is presented in note 15 to the consolidated financial statements.

5. Deferred tax assets and liabilities

The amounts of deferred tax accounted for in the balance sheet comprises the following net deferred tax assets/(liabilities):

	Post employment plans £ million	Other temporary differences £ million	Total £ million
At 30 June 2014 as previously reported	–	61	61
Adoption of FRS 101	(25)	5	(20)
At 30 June 2014 (restated)	(25)	66	41
Recognised in statement of income	(1)	(10)	(11)
Recognised in other comprehensive income and equity	(34)	(3)	(37)
At 30 June 2015 (restated)	(60)	53	(7)
Recognised in statement of income	(1)	(11)	(12)
Recognised in other comprehensive income and equity	89	1	90
At 30 June 2016	28	43	71

Deferred tax on other temporary differences includes assets in respect of the UK Thalidomide Trust liability of £35 million (2015 – £36 million) and share-based payment liabilities of £7 million (2015 – £6 million).

6. Post employment benefits

The movement in the net (deficit)/surplus for the two years ended 30 June 2016, for all UK post employment plans for which the company is the sponsor, is set out below:

	Plan assets £ million	Plan liabilities £ million	Net (deficit)/ surplus £ million
At 30 June 2014*	5,483	(5,364)	119
Sale of businesses	–	3	3
Charge before taxation	224	(286)	(62)
Other comprehensive income/(loss)	335	(196)	139
Contributions by group companies	98	–	98
Employee contributions	1	(1)	–
Benefits paid	(219)	219	–
At 30 June 2015	5,922	(5,625)	297
Sale of businesses	–	2	2
Charge before taxation	216	(266)	(50)
Other comprehensive income/(loss)	98	(586)	(488)
Contributions by group companies	93	–	93
Employee contributions	1	(1)	–
Benefits paid	(283)	283	–
At 30 June 2016	6,047	(6,193)	(146)

* Under old UK GAAP the post employment liability as at 30 June 2014 was £4 million.

The balance sheet analysis of the post employment plans is as follows:

	2016		2015	
	Non-current assets £ million	Non-current liabilities £ million	Non-current assets £ million	Non-current liabilities £ million
Funded plans	33	(80)	404	–
Unfunded plans	–	(99)	–	(107)
	33	(179)	404	(107)

Additional information on the UK post employment plans and the principal risks and assumptions applicable is disclosed in note 13 to the consolidated financial statements.

7. Provisions

A provision was established in the year ended 30 June 2005 in respect of the discounted value of the company's commitment to the UK Thalidomide Trust, and will be utilised over the period of the commitment up to 2037.

	£ million
At 30 June 2015	178
Provision utilised during the year	(10)
Transfer to creditors	(2)
Unwinding of discounts	10
At 30 June 2016	176

At 30 June 2016 £9 million (2015 – £12 million) of the provision is current and £167 million (2015 – £166 million) is non-current.

8. Financial guarantees

The company has guaranteed certain borrowings of subsidiaries which at 30 June 2016 amounted to £9,048 million (2015 – £8,966 million). The company also provides a guarantee in respect of lease payments to the lessor of a number of vineyards in the United States, formerly owned by the group, until December 2040 of £550 million (2015 – £311 million).

The company has also provided irrevocable guarantees relating to the liabilities of certain of its Irish and Dutch subsidiaries. In addition, the company has provided a guarantee to the Guinness Ireland Group Pension Scheme.

The Directors do not expect the company to be liable for any legal obligation in respect of these financial guarantee agreements, and they have been recognised at nil fair value.

9. Shareholders' funds

(a) Merger reserve

On the acquisition of a business, or of an interest in an associate, fair values, reflecting conditions at the date of acquisition, are attributed to the net assets acquired. Where merger relief is applicable under the UK Companies Acts, the difference between the fair value of the business acquired and the nominal value of shares issued as purchase consideration is treated as a merger reserve.

(b) Own shares

At 30 June 2016 own shares comprised 7 million ordinary shares held by employee share trusts (2015 – 8 million; 2014 – 10 million); 223 million ordinary shares repurchased and held as treasury shares (2015 – 223 million; 2014 – 223 million); and 14 million ordinary shares held as treasury shares for hedging share scheme grants (2015 – 17 million; 2014 – 20 million).

As at 30 June 2016 Guinness Ireland Group Pension Scheme held 30,369 ordinary shares of Diageo plc (2015 – 30,369) shares.

Information on movements in own shares is provided in note 17(c) to the consolidated financial statements.

(c) Retained earnings

The net balance on retained earnings is available for the payment of dividends.

10. Group companies

In accordance with Section 409 of the Companies Act 2006 a full list of subsidiaries, partnerships, associates, joint ventures and joint arrangements, the country of incorporation and the effective percentage of equity owned, as at 30 June 2016 are disclosed below. Unless otherwise stated the share capital disclosed comprises ordinary shares which are indirectly held by Diageo plc.

Fully owned subsidiaries

1759 Property Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Agropecuarias Las Marias I, C.A. (Venezuela)
AGS Employee Shares Nominees (Ireland) Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Alexander & James B.V. (Netherlands)
Allegro GmbH & Co.KG (Germany)
Allegro Verwaltungs GmbH (Germany)
Anyslam Investments (England)
Anyslam Limited[(i), (viii)] (England)
Arran Tradings, C.A. (Venezuela)
Arthur Bell & Sons Limited[(ii)] (Scotland)
Arthur Guinness Son & Company (Dublin)[(ii)] (Republic of Ireland)
Atalantaf Limited (Bermuda)
Ballroom Acquisition, Inc. (United States)
Beijing Johnnie Walker House Ltd (China)
Bundaberg Distilling Company Pty. Limited[(v)] (Australia)
Bundaberg Distilling Investments Pty Ltd[(iii)] (Australia)
Carillon U.K. Limited[(ii)] (Scotland)
Cellarers (Wines) Limited (England)
CJSC D Distribution (Russia)
CJSC IDV Selviac[(ii)] (Russia)
Clyde Trading, C.A.[(v)] (Venezuela)
Crescendo Australia Pty Ltd[(iii)] (Australia)
Cupar Trading, C.A.[(v)] (Venezuela)
D.C.L (Holdings) Australia Proprietary Limited[(ii), (iii)] (Australia)
Deasy & Co. Limited[(ii)] (Republic of Ireland)
DEF Investments Limited (England)
DIAGEO – Guinness USA Inc. (United States)
Diageo (IH) Limited[(ii)] (England)
Diageo (Shanghai) Limited (China)
Diageo Africa B.V. (Netherlands)
Diageo Americas Supply Quebec Distribution Inc. (Canada)
Diageo Americas Supply, Inc. (United States)
Diageo Americas, Inc. (United States)
Diageo Angola Limitada (Angola)
Diageo Asia Pacific Shared Services Centre Limited, Inc. (Philippines)
Diageo Atlantic B.V. (Netherlands)
Diageo Australia Limited[(iii)] (Australia)
Diageo Austria GmbH (Austria)
Diageo Balkans Limited (England)
Diageo Belgium N.V. (Belgium)
Diageo Brands B.V. (Netherlands)
Diageo Brands Holdings B.V. (Netherlands)
Diageo Brands Nigeria Ltd (Nigeria)
Diageo Brasil Ltda (Brazil)
Diageo Bulgaria Ltd (Bulgaria)
Diageo Business Services India Private Limited (India)
DIAGEO Business Services Limited (Hungary)
Diageo Canada Holdings Inc. (Canada)
Diageo Canada Inc. (Canada)
Diageo Capital plc[(i)] (Scotland)
Diageo Chile Limitada (Chile)
Diageo China Limited (China)
Diageo CL1 Limited (England)
Diageo Colombia S.A. (Colombia)
Diageo Costa Rica S.A. (Costa Rica)
Diageo Czech Marketing Services LLC (Czech Republic)
Diageo Dağıtım Satış Ve Pazarlama A.Ş.[(ii)] (Turkey)
Diageo de Argentina S.A. (Argentina)
Diageo Del Peru S.A. (Peru)
Diageo Denmark AS (Denmark)
Diageo Distilleries Private Limited[(vii)] (India)
Diageo Distilling Limited[(ii)] (Scotland)
Diageo Dominicana S.R.L (Dominican Republic)
Diageo DV Limited (England)
Diageo Eire Finance & Co (England)
Diageo Employee Shares Nominees Limited[(i), (ii)] (England)
Diageo Espana S.A. (Spain)
Diageo Europe Holdings Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Diageo Export SR Inc.[(ii)] (Philippines)
Diageo Finance Australia LLP (England)
Diageo Finance B.V. (Netherlands)
Diageo Finance plc[(i)] (England)
Diageo Finance US Limited (England)
Diageo Financing Turkey Limited (England)
Diageo France Holdings SAS (France)
Diageo France Investments SAS. (France)
Diageo France S.A.S. (France)
Diageo Germany GmbH (Germany)
Diageo Ghanaian Holdings B.V. (Netherlands)
Diageo Global Supply IBC Limited[(i)] (Northern Ireland)
Diageo Great Britain Limited (England)
Diageo Greater China Limited[(ii)] (Hong Kong)
Diageo Group Insurance Company Limited (Guernsey)
Diageo GTME Pte Ltd (Singapore)
Diageo Healthcare Limited[(ii)] (England)
Diageo Hellas S.A. (Greece)
Diageo Highlands B.V. (Netherlands)
Diageo Highlands Holding B.V. (Netherlands)
Diageo Holdings Limited[(i)] (England)
Diageo Holdings Netherlands B.V. (Netherlands)
Diageo Holland Investments Limited[(ii)] (England)
DIAGEO HUNGARY FINANCE Limited Liability Company (Hungary)
DIAGEO Hungary Marketing Services Limited Liability Company (Hungary)
Diageo Inc. (United States)
Diageo India Private Limited (India)
Diageo Investment Corporation (United States)
Diageo Investment Holdings B.V. (Netherlands)
Diageo Investment Holdings Limited (England)
Diageo Ireland (Republic of Ireland)
Diageo Ireland Finance 1 (Republic of Ireland)
Diageo Ireland Finance 2 (Republic of Ireland)
Diageo Ireland Finance 3 (Republic of Ireland)
Diageo Ireland Finance Unlimited Company (Republic of Ireland)
Diageo Ireland Holdings (Republic of Ireland)
Diageo Ireland Pension Trustee Designated Activity Company (Republic of Ireland)
Diageo Ireland Quebec Distribution Inc. (Canada)
Diageo Italia S.p.A. (Italy)
Diageo Jamaica Limited (Jamaica)
Diageo Japan K.K (Japan)
Diageo Kazakhstan LLP. (Kazakhstan)
Diageo Kenya Limited (Kenya)
Diageo Korea Company Limited (South Korea)
Diageo Latin America & Caribbean LLC (United States)
Diageo Lebanon Holding SAL (Lebanon)
Diageo Mexico Comercializadora S.A. de C.V. (Mexico)
Diageo Mexico II, S.A. de C.V. (Mexico)
Diageo Mexico S.A. de C.V. (Mexico)
Diageo Mozambique Lda. (Mozambique)
Diageo Nederland B.V. (Netherlands)
Diageo New Zealand Limited[(iii)] (New Zealand)
Diageo North America Foundation, Inc. (United States)
Diageo North America, Inc.[(v)] (United States)
Diageo Northern Ireland Limited[(i)] (Northern Ireland)
Diageo Norway AS (Norway)
Diageo Nueva Esparta, C.A. (Venezuela)
Diageo Operations Italy S.p.A. (Italy)
Diageo Overseas B.V. (Netherlands)
Diageo Overseas Holdings Limited[(vi)] (England)
Diageo Panama S.A. (Panama)
Diageo Paraguay S.R.L. (Paraguay)
Diageo Peru S.A. (Peru)
Diageo Philippines Free Port Inc[(ii)] (Philippines)
Diageo Philippines, Inc (Philippines)
Diageo Polska LLC (Poland)
Diageo Portugal – Distribuidora de Bebidas, Unipessoal, Lda (Portugal)
Diageo Premier Holdings B.V. (Netherlands)
Diageo Puerto Rico, Inc (Puerto Rico)
Diageo Reunion 2 SAS[(ii)] (La Reunion)
Diageo Reunion SA (La Reunion)
Diageo RTD Hong Kong Limited (Hong Kong)
Diageo Scotland Investment Limited (England)
Diageo Scotland Limited (Scotland)
Diageo Share Ownership Trustees Limited[(i), (ii)] (England)
Diageo Singapore Pte Ltd. (Singapore)
Diageo Singapore Supply Pte. Ltd. (Singapore)
Diageo South Africa (Pty) Limited (South Africa)
Diageo Southern Africa Markets (Pty) Ltd (South Africa)
Diageo Suisse S.A. (Switzerland)
Diageo Supply Marracuene Lda. (Mozambique)

Diageo Sweden AB (Sweden)
Diageo Taiwan Inc. (Panama)
Diageo Treasury Australia LLP (England)
Diageo Turkey Holdings Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Diageo UK Turkey Finance Limited (England)
Diageo UK Turkey Holdings Limited[vi] (England)
Diageo UK Turkey Limited (England)
Diageo Ukraine LLC (Ukraine)
Diageo United Kingdom Limited[ii] (England)
Diageo Uruguay SA (Uruguay)
Diageo US Holdings (England)
Diageo US Investments (England)
Diageo US Turkey LLC (United States)
Diageo USVI, Inc. (United States)
Diageo Venezuela C.A (Venezuela)
Diageo Vietnam (Vietnam)
Don Julio Agavera S.A. de C.V. (Mexico)
Don Julio Agricultura Servicios S.A. De C.V. (Mexico)
DV Paraguana, C.A. (Venezuela)
DV Release, C.A. (Venezuela)
DV Trading, C.A.[v] (Venezuela)
E. Smithwick & Sons Limited[ii] (Republic of Ireland)
Franchise Acceptance Corporation[ii] (Republic of Ireland)
Gilbey Canada Investments Limited (Canada)
Gilbeys New Zealand Limited (New Zealand)
Gilbeys of Ireland (R&D) Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Gilbeys of Ireland Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Global Farming Initiative B.V. (Netherlands)
Grand Metropolitan (Cayman Islands) Limited[ii] (Cayman Islands)
Grand Metropolitan Capital Company Limited (England)
Grand Metropolitan Estates Limited (England)
Grand Metropolitan Holdings Limited[ii] (England)
Grand Metropolitan International Holdings Limited (England)
Grand Metropolitan Limited (England)
Grandmet Foods (UK) Limited[ii] (England)
Guinness Cameroun S A (Cameroon)
Guinness Exports Limited[ii] (England)
Guinness France Holdings S.A.S (France)
Guinness Hopstore Limited[ii] (Republic of Ireland)
Guinness Limited[i] (England)
Guinness Overseas Holdings Limited[i] (England)
Guinness Overseas Limited (England)
Guinness Storehouse Ireland Pension Trustees Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Guinness Storehouse Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Guinness UDV Korea Limited[ii] (South Korea)
Guinness Ventures Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Harp Ireland Limited[ii] (Republic of Ireland)
Horizon Developments Limited[ii] (Cyprus)
International Distillers – Zimbabwe (Private) Limited[ii] (Zimbabwe)
Irish Ale Breweries (Republic of Ireland)
Irish Ale Breweries (Sales) Limited[ii] (Republic of Ireland)
Irish Ale Breweries Holdings[iii] (Republic of Ireland)
Islay Trading, C.A. (Venezuela)
J & B Scotland Limited[ii] (Scotland)
James Buchanan & Company Limited[ii] (England)
John Haig & Company Limited (Scotland)
John Walker and Sons Limited[ii] (England)
Joseph E. Seagram & Sons Limited[ii], [iii] (Canada)
Jus-Rol Limited[ii] (England)
Justerini & Brooks, Limited (England)
L4L Trading, C.A. (Venezuela)
Lamington Company[ii] (Republic of Ireland)
Lismore Trading, C.A. (Venezuela)
LLC Diageo Brands Distributors (Russia)
Macardle Moore & Company Limited[ii] (Republic of Ireland)
Meta Abo Brewery Share Company (Ethiopia)
Mey Alkollü İçkiler Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş. (Turkey)
Mey İçki Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş. (Turkey)
Mull Trading, C.A. (Venezuela)
Myers Rum Company (Jamaica) Limited[ii] (Jamaica)
Nangor Holdings[ii], [iii] (Republic of Ireland)
National Sorghum Breweries Properties Proprietary Limited[ii] (South Africa)
Newshelf 1167 Proprietary Limited[v] (South Africa)
Nicholas Doyle (New Ross) Limited[ii] (Republic of Ireland)
North Island United Enterprise Holdings Inc[ii] (Philippines)
Otford Estates Limited (England)
Phenix Gabon SARL[ii] (Gabon)
Powtom 11 Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Powtom 12 Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Powtom 13 Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Powtom 14 Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Powtom 16 Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Powtom 17 Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Powtom 18 Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Powtom 19 Limited (Republic of Ireland)
Powtom 20 Limited (Republic of Ireland)
PSP (Sales and Distribution) Limited[ii] (England)
PT Gitaswara Indonesia[ix] (Indonesia)
PT Langgeng Kreasi Jayaprima (Indonesia)
R & A Bailey & Co (Republic of Ireland)
R&A Bailey Pension Trustee Company Limited[ii], [ii] (Republic of Ireland)
Relay B.V.[v] (Netherlands)
Reldann Investments No. 12 Proprietary Limited[ii] (South Africa)
S & B Production Limited (Northern Ireland)
Seagram Ukraine Limited[ii] (Ukraine)
Selviac Nederland B.V. (Netherlands)
Servicios Agavera, S.A.de C.V. (Mexico)
Sichuan Chengdu Shuijingfang Group Co., Ltd (China)
Skye Trading, C.A. (Venezuela)
St. James's Gate Trading Company[ii] (Republic of Ireland)
Streetcar Investment Holding Pte. Ltd. (Singapore)
Sumagro Limited[ii] (Tanzania)
Tanqueray Gordon and Company, Limited[i] (England)
Tequila Don Julio Servicios, S.A. de C.V. (Mexico)
The Bulleit Distillery, Inc. (United States)
The Connacht Mineral Water Company Limited (Republic of Ireland)
The Distillers Company (Biochemicals) Limited[ii] (England)
The Pierre Smirnoff ompany Limited[v] (United States)
The Pimm's Drinks Company Limited[ii] (England)
Trelawny Estates Limited (Jamaica)
UDV (SJ) Holdings Limited[i] (England)
UDV (SJ) Limited (England)
UDV Ireland Group (Trustees) Limited (Republic of Ireland)
UDV Ireland Group Unlimited Company (Republic of Ireland)
UDV Operations Ireland Limited[ii] (Republic of Ireland)
United Beverages Pension Trustees Limited (Republic of Ireland)
United Beverages Sales Limited[ii] (Republic of Ireland)
United Distillers & Vintners (SJ) B.V. (Netherlands)
United Distillers & Vintners Philippines Inc (Philippines)
United Distillers (Guernsey) Limited (Guernsey)
United Distillers Canada Inc. (Canada)
United Distillers France Limited[ii] (England)
United Distillers France SAS (France)
United Distillers Investments Limited[ii] (England)
United Distillers Southern Africa (Proprietary) Limited (South Africa)
United Distillers UK plc[ii] (Scotland)
United National Breweries (SA) Proprietary Limited (South Africa)
Ursus Vodka Holding N.V. (Netherlands)
Vast Fund Limited (Hong Kong)
Vignobles Internationaux S.A.S. (France)
W. & A. Gilbey Limited[ii] (England)
Wed.A.P.Boonekamp B.V. (Netherlands)
Werneth Mould Engineering Limited[ii] (England)
William Sanderson and Son Limited[ii] (Scotland)
Winchester House Property Company Limited (England)
Ypióca Agricola LTDA (Brazil)
Ypióca industrial de Bebidas S.A. (Brazil)
Zepf Technologies UK Limited (Scotland)
Zeta Importers, C.A.[v] (Venezuela)

Subsidiaries where the effective interest is less than 100%[ix]

Allsopp (East Africa) Limited[ii] (Kenya, 48.52%)
AÑEJOS DE ALTURA, SOCIEDAD ANÓNIMA (Guatemala, 50%)
Asian Opportunities and Investment Limited[xi] (Mauritius, 54.78%)
California Simulcast Inc[ii] (United States, 80%)
Chengdu Jianghai Trade Development Co. Limited (China, 39.71%)
Chengdu Jiayuan Jiuye Marketing Co. Limited[ii] (China, 39.71%)
Chengdu Rongshangfang Marketing Co. Limited (China, 39.71%)
Chengdu Ruijin Trade Co. Limited (China, 39.71%)
Chengdu Shuijingfang Jiuye Co. Limited (China, 39.71%)
Chengdu Shuijingfang Marketing Co. Limited (China, 39.71%)
Chengdu Tengyuan Jiuye Marketing Co. Limited (China, 39.71%)
D/CE Holdings LLC (United States, 50%)
DC Brands B.V.[iii] (Netherlands, 50%)
Diageo Lebanon SAL (Lebanon, 84.99%)
EABL (Tanzania) Limited[ii] (Tanzania, 50.03%)
EABL International Limited (Kenya, 50.03%)
East African Beverages (Southern Sudan) Limited (Republic of South Sudan, 49.53%)
East African Breweries Limited (Kenya, 50.03%)
East African Maltings (Uganda) Limited (Uganda, 50.03%)
East African Maltings Limited (Kenya, 50.03%)
Four Seasons Wines Limited[xi] (India, 54.78%)
Gilbeys East Africa Limited[ii] (Kenya, 76.85%)
Guinness Canada Limited (Canada, 51%)
Guinness Ghana Breweries Limited (Ghana, 80.39%)
Guinness Nigeria plc (Nigeria, 54.32%)
Harp Distributors Limited[ii] (Kenya, 76.85%)
Industrias Pampero C.A.[ii] (Venezuela, 96.8%)
International Distillers Kenya Limited[ii] (Kenya, 76.85%)
International Distillers Uganda Limited (Uganda, 50.03%)
Kenya Breweries Limited (Kenya, 50.03%)
Kenya Distillers Limited[ii] (Kenya, 76.85%)
Kenya Liquor Distributors Limited[ii] (Kenya, 76.85%)
Ketel One Worldwide B.V.[iv] (Netherlands, 50%)
Lakeside MWS Limited Liability Partnership (England)
Liquidity Inc. LLC[xi] (United States, 27.94%)
Lochside MWS Limited Partnership (Scotland)
Masterplan (Kenya) Limited[ii] (Kenya, 50.03%)
McDowell & Co. (Scotland) Ltd[xi] (England, 54.78%)
Montrose International SA[xi] (Panama, 54.78%)
Palmer Investment Group Limited[xi] (British Virgin Islands, 54.78%)
Pioneer Distilleries Limited[xi] (India, 41.01%)
Royal Challengers Sports Private Limited[xi] (India, 54.78%)
RUM CREATION & PRODUCTS, INC.[v] (British Virgin Islands, 50%)
Salopia Limited[ii] (Kenya, 50.03%)
Serengeti Breweries Limited (Tanzania, 32.52%)
Seychelles Breweries Limited (Seychelles, 54.4%)
Shaw Wallace Overseas Limited[xi] (England, 54.78%)
Sichuan Shuijingfang Company Ltd (China, 39.71%)
Sichuan Shuijingfang Jiuye Co. Limited[ii] (China, 39.71%)
Sierra Leone Brewery Limited[ii] (Sierra Leone, 11.59%)
Sovereign Distilleries Limited[xi] (India, 54.78%)
Tembo Properties Limited[ii] (Kenya, 50.03%)
Tern Distilleries Private Limited[xi] (India, 54.78%)
Türk Alkollü İçki ve Şarap Endüstrisi Ltd.Şti. (North Cyprus, 66%)
Tusker Football Club (Kenya, 50.03%)
UB Sports Management Overseas LTD[xi] (Jersey, 54.78%)
UDV Kenya Limited (Kenya, 76.85%)
Uganda Breweries Limited (Uganda, 49.03%)
ULM Holdings Inc.[ii] (Philippines, 40%)
United Spirits (Great Britain) Limited[xi] (England, 54.78%)
United Spirits (Shanghai) Trading Company Ltd[xi] (China, 54.78%)
United Spirits (UK) Limited[xi] (England, 54.78%)
United Spirits Limited[xi] (India, 54.78%)
United Spirits Nepal Pvt. Limited[xi] (Nepal, 45.17%)
United Spirit Singapore Pte. Ltd.[xi] (Singapore, 54.78%)
USL Holdings (UK) Limited[xi] (England, 54.78%)
USL Holdings Limited[xi] (British Virgin Islands, 54.78%)
Vietnam Spirits and Wine LTD (Vietnam, 55%)

Associates

Ballindalloch Distillery LLP (Scotland, 33.33%)
Ban Poitin Ltd (England, 20%)
Belsazar GmbH (Germany, 20%)
Canbrew B.V.[iv] (Netherlands, 28.16%)
Clarendon Distillers Limited (Jamaica, 27%)
Compania Cervecera De Canarias, S.A. (Spain, 20%)
Copper Dog Whisky Limited (England, 30%)
Del Professore Limited (England, 20%)
Hanoi Liquor Joint Stock Company (Vietnam, 45.57%)
International Brands Developers N.V. (Curacao, 25%)
Moët Hennessy International SAS (France, 34%)
Moët Hennessy SNC (France, 34%)
Mr Black Spirits Party Ltd. (Australia, 10.03%)
New World Whisky Distillery PTY Limited (Australia, 30%)
Seedlip Ltd (England, 20%)
Stauning Whisky Holding ApS (Denmark, 40%)
The Rouge Group Limited (Hong Kong, 20%)
The Scotch Whisky Heritage Centre Limited[vi] (Scotland, 22.38%)
The Westbourne Drinks Company Limited (England, 20%)
Valdomiño Premium Spirits, S.L. (Spain, 20%)
Zwack Unicum plc (Hungary, 26%)

Joint ventures

Lothian Distillers Limited (Scotland, 50%)
North British Distillery Company Limited (Scotland, 50%)

Joint operations[x]

Brandhouse Beverages (Pty) Limited (South Africa, 72.26%)
Diageo Kirin Company Limited (Japan, 51%)
Diageo Moët Hennessy (Thailand) Limited[xiii] (Thailand, 63.02%)
Diageo Moët Hennessy B.V.[iv] (Netherlands, 67%)
Gist Dominicana S.A.[ii] (Dominican Republic, 60.25%)
HA&COM Bebidas del Mundo, SA (Costa Rica, 50%)
Holcom Industrial, SA (Costa Rica, 47.35%)
Industria de Licores Internationales S.A.[ii] (Dominican Republic, 59.71%)
MHD Moët Hennessy Diageo K.K.[xii] (Japan, 67%)
MHD Moët Hennessy Diageo SAS (France, 0.05%)
Moët Hennessy Diageo (China) Co Ltd[xii] (China, 67%)
Moët Hennessy Diageo Hong Kong Limited[xii] (Hong Kong, 67%)
Moët Hennessy Diageo Macau Limited[xii] (Macau, 67%)
Moët Hennessy Diageo Malaysia Sdn Bhd.[xii] (Malaysia, 67%)
Moët Hennessy Diageo Singapore Pte. Ltd[xii] (Singapore, 67%)
Seagram Dominicana S.A.[ii] (Dominican Republic, 60.83%)
Seagram European Customer Services Limited[ii] (England, 60.9%)
Trafalgar Metropolitan Homes Limited (England, 50%)

(i) Directly owned by Diageo plc.
(ii) Dormant company.
(iii) Ownership held in class of A shares.
(iv) Ownership held in class of B shares.
(v) Ownership held in class of A shares and B shares.
(vi) Ownership held in preference shares.
(vii) Ownership held in equity shares and preference shares.
(viii) 99.11% owned by Diageo plc.
(ix) Companies controlled by the group based on management's assessment.
(x) Diageo shares joint control over these entities under shareholders' agreements, and Diageo's rights to the profit, assets and liabilities of the companies are dependent on the performance of the group's brands rather the effective equity ownership of the companies.
(xi) Based on 54.78% equity investment in USL that excludes 2.38% owned by the USL Benefit Trust.
(xii) Operation is managed by Moët Hennessy.
(xiii) Operation is managed by Diageo.

UNAUDITED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

1. Five years financial information

The following tables present selected consolidated financial data for Diageo for the five years ended 30 June 2016 and as at the respective year ends. The data presented below has been derived from Diageo's audited consolidated financial statements.

					Year ended 30 June
Income statement data	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million	2013 £ million	2012 £ million
Sales	15,641	15,966	13,980	15,276	14,392
Excise duties	(5,156)	(5,153)	(3,722)	(3,973)	(3,753)
Net sales	10,485	10,813	10,258	11,303	10,639
Cost of sales	(4,251)	(4,610)	(4,029)	(4,416)	(4,208)
Gross profit	6,234	6,203	6,229	6,887	6,431
Marketing	(1,562)	(1,629)	(1,620)	(1,769)	(1,671)
Other operating expenses	(1,831)	(1,777)	(1,902)	(1,738)	(1,652)
Operating profit	2,841	2,797	2,707	3,380	3,108
Non-operating items	123	373	140	(83)	147
Net interest and other fi ance charges	(327)	(412)	(388)	(457)	(441)
Share of after tax results of associates and joint ventures	221	175	252	217	229
Profit before taxation	2,858	2,933	2,711	3,057	3,043
Tax before exceptional items	(552)	(517)	(546)	(562)	(506)
Exceptional taxation	56	51	99	55	(505)
Profit from continuing operations	2,362	2,467	2,264	2,550	2,032
Discontinued operations	–	–	(83)	–	(11)
Profit for the year	2,362	2,467	2,181	2,550	2,021
Weighted average number of shares	million	million	million	million	million
Shares in issue excluding own shares	2,508	2,505	2,506	2,502	2,495
Dilutive potential ordinary shares	10	12	11	15	14
	2,518	2,517	2,517	2,517	2,509
Per share data	pence	pence	pence	pence	pence
Dividend per share	59.2	56.4	51.7	47.4	43.5
Earnings per share					
Basic					
Continuing operations – before exceptional items	89.4	88.8	95.5	103.1	92.6
Continuing operations – after exceptional items	89.5	95.0	93.0	98.0	76.6
Discontinued operations	–	–	(3.3)	–	(0.4)
Basic earnings per share	89.5	95.0	89.7	98.0	76.2
Diluted					
Continuing operations	89.1	94.6	92.6	97.4	76.2
Discontinued operations	–	–	(3.3)	–	(0.4)
Diluted earnings per share	89.1	94.6	89.3	97.4	75.8

					As at 30 June
Balance sheet data	2016 £ million	2015 £ million	2014 £ million	2013 £ million	2012 £ million
Non-current assets	19,639	18,134	15,495	16,481	15,098
Current assets	8,852	7,670	7,469	8,510	7,171
Total assets	28,491	25,804	22,964	24,991	22,269
Current liabilities	(6,187)	(5,290)	(4,851)	(5,519)	(4,762)
Non-current liabilities	(12,124)	(11,258)	(10,523)	(11,384)	(10,715)
Total liabilities	(18,311)	(16,548)	(15,374)	(16,903)	(15,477)
Net assets	10,180	9,256	7,590	8,088	6,792
Share capital	797	797	797	797	797
Share premium	1,347	1,346	1,345	1,344	1,344
Other reserves	2,625	1,994	2,243	3,154	3,213
Retained earnings	3,761	3,634	2,438	1,741	234
Equity attributable to equity shareholders of the parent company	8,530	7,771	6,823	7,036	5,588
Non-controlling interests	1,650	1,485	767	1,052	1,204
Total equity	10,180	9,256	7,590	8,088	6,792
Net borrowings	(8,635)	(9,527)	(8,850)	(8,403)	(7,573)

2. Contractual obligations and other commitments

	Payments due by period				
	Less than 1 year £ million	1-3 years £ million	3-5 years £ million	More than 5 years £ million	Total £ million
As at 30 June 2016					
Long term debt obligations	1,639	2,854	558	4,620	9,671
Interest obligations	358	449	360	1,415	2,582
Purchase obligations	831	800	183	45	1,859
Operating leases	92	175	133	407	807
Post employment benefits[i]	47	95	95	215	452
Provisions and other non-current payables	149	116	49	132	446
Finance leases	42	78	82	140	342
Credit support obligations	139	–	–	–	139
Capital commitments	81	6	–	–	87
Other financial liabilities	13	18	159	–	190
	3,391	4,591	1,619	6,974	16,575

(i) For further information see note 13(d) to the consolidated financial statements.

Long term debt obligations comprise the principal amount of borrowings (excluding foreign currency swaps) with an original maturity of greater than one year. Interest obligations comprise interest payable on these borrowings. Credit support obligations represent liabilities to counterparty banks in respect of cash received as collateral under credit support agreements. Purchase obligations include various long term purchase contracts entered into for the supply of raw materials, principally bulk whisk(e)y, cereals, cans and glass bottles. Contracts are used to guarantee the supply of raw materials over the long term and to enable a more accurate prediction of costs of raw materials in the future. Provisions and other non-current payables exclude £2 million in respect of vacant properties.

Corporate tax payable of £340 million and deferred tax liabilities are not included in the table above, as the ultimate timing of settlement cannot be reasonably estimated.

Management believe that it has sufficient funding for its working capital requirements.

Post employment contractual obligations comprise committed deficit contributions but exclude future service cost contributions.

3. Off-balance sheet arrangements

Neither Diageo plc nor any member of the Diageo group has any off-balance sheet financing arrangements that currently have or are reasonably likely to have a material future effect on the group's financial condition, changes in financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditure or capital resources.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR SHAREHOLDERS

Related party transactions

Transactions with other related parties are disclosed in note 20 to the consolidated financial statements.

Share capital

Major shareholders

At 14 July 2016, the following substantial interests (3% or more) in the company's ordinary share capital (voting securities) had been notified to the company.

Shareholder	Number of ordinary shares	Percentage of issued ordinary share capital (excluding treasury shares)	Date of notification of interest
BlackRock Investment Management (UK) Limited (indirect holding)	147,296,928	5.89%	3 December 2009
Capital Research and Management Company (indirect holding)	124,653,096	4.99%	28 April 2009

The company has not been notified of any other substantial interests in its securities. The company's substantial shareholders do not have different voting rights. Diageo, so far as is known by the company, is not directly or indirectly owned or controlled by another corporation or by any government. Diageo knows of no arrangements, the operation of which may at a subsequent date result in a change of control of the company.

Articles of association

The company is incorporated under the name Diageo plc, and is registered in England and Wales under registered number 23307.

The following description summarises certain provisions of Diageo's articles of association (as adopted by special resolution at the Annual General Meeting on 14 October 2009) and applicable English law concerning companies (the Companies Acts), in each case as at 14 July 2016. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Companies Acts and Diageo's articles of association.

Investors can obtain copies of Diageo's articles of association by contacting the Company Secretary at the.cosec@diageo.com.

Any amendment to the articles of association of the company may be made in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act 2006, by way of special resolution.

Directors

Diageo's articles of association provide for a Board of Directors, consisting (unless otherwise determined by an ordinary resolution of shareholders) of not fewer than three directors and not more than 25 directors, in which all powers to manage the business and affairs of Diageo are vested. Directors may be elected by the members in a general meeting or appointed by Diageo's Board. At each annual general meeting, the following are required to retire and are then reconsidered for election/re-election, assuming they wish to stand for election/re-election: any director who has been appointed by Diageo's Board since the last annual general meeting; any director who has been in office during the two previous general meetings and did not retire at either of them; and any director who has been in office, other than in an executive position, for a continuous period of nine years or more at the date of the meeting. There is no age limit requirement in respect of directors. Directors may also be removed before the expiration of their term of office in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Acts.

Voting rights

Voting on any resolution at any general meeting of the company is by a show of hands unless a poll is duly demanded. On a show of hands, (a) every shareholder who is present in person at a general meeting, and every proxy appointed by any one shareholder and present at a general meeting, has/have one vote regardless of the number of shares held by the shareholder (or, subject to (b), represented by the proxy), and (b) every proxy present at a general meeting who has been appointed by more than one shareholder has one vote regardless of the number of shareholders who have appointed him or the number of shares held by those shareholders, unless he has been instructed to vote for a resolution by one or more shareholders and to vote against the resolution by one or more shareholders, in which case he has one vote for and one vote against the resolution.

On a poll, every shareholder who is present in person or by proxy has one vote for every share held by that shareholder, but a shareholder or proxy entitled to more than one vote need not cast all his votes or cast them all in the same way (the deadline for exercising voting rights by proxy is set out in the form of proxy).

A poll may be demanded by any of the following:

- the chairman of the general meeting;
- at least three shareholders entitled to vote on the relevant resolution and present in person or by proxy at the meeting;
- any shareholder or shareholders present in person or by proxy and representing in the aggregate not less than one-tenth of the total voting rights of all shareholders entitled to vote on the relevant resolution; or
- any shareholder or shareholders present in person or by proxy and holding shares conferring a right to vote on the relevant resolution on which there have been paid up sums in the aggregate equal to not less than one-tenth of the total sum paid up on all the shares conferring that right.

Diageo's articles of association and the Companies Acts provide for matters to be transacted at general meetings of Diageo by the proposing and passing of two kinds of resolutions:

- ordinary resolutions, which include resolutions for the election, re-election and removal of directors, the declaration of final dividends, the appointment and re-appointment of the external auditor, the remuneration report and remuneration policy, the increase of authorised share capital and the grant of authority to allot shares; and
- special resolutions, which include resolutions for the amendment of Diageo's articles of association, resolutions relating to the disapplication of pre-emption rights, and resolutions modifying the rights of any class of Diageo's shares at a meeting of the holders of such class.

An ordinary resolution requires the affirmative vote of a simple majority of the votes cast by those entitled to vote at a meeting at which there is a quorum in order to be passed. Special resolutions require the affirmative vote of not less than three-quarters of the votes cast by those entitled to vote at a meeting at which there is a quorum in order to be passed. The necessary quorum for a meeting of Diageo is a minimum of two shareholders present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote.

A shareholder is not entitled to vote at any general meeting or class meeting in respect of any share held by him if he has been served with a restriction notice (as defined in Diageo's articles of association) after failure to provide Diageo with information concerning interests in those shares required to be provided under the Companies Acts.

Pre-emption rights and new issues of shares

While holders of ordinary shares have no pre-emptive rights under Diageo's articles of association, the ability of the directors to cause Diageo to issue shares, securities convertible into shares or rights to shares, otherwise than pursuant to an employee share scheme, is restricted. Under the Companies Acts, the directors of a company are, with certain exceptions, unable to allot any equity securities without express authorisation, which may be contained in a company's articles of association or given by its shareholders in a general meeting, but which in either event cannot last for more than five years. Under the Companies Acts, Diageo may also not allot shares for cash (otherwise than pursuant to an employee share scheme) without first making an offer to existing shareholders to allot such shares to them on the same or more favourable terms in proportion to their respective shareholdings, unless this requirement is waived by a special resolution of the shareholders.

Repurchase of shares

Subject to authorisation by special resolution, Diageo may purchase its own shares in accordance with the Companies Acts. Any shares which have been bought back may be held as treasury shares or, if not so held, must be cancelled immediately upon completion of the purchase, thereby reducing the amount of Diageo's issued share capital.

Restrictions on transfers of shares

The Board may decline to register a transfer of a certificated Diageo share unless the instrument of transfer (a) is duly stamped or certified or otherwise shown to the satisfaction of the Board to be exempt from stamp duty, and is accompanied by the relevant share certificate and such other evidence of the right to transfer as the Board may reasonably require, (b) is in respect of only one class of share and (c) if to joint transferees, is in favour of not more than four such transferees.

Registration of a transfer of an uncertificated share may be refused in the circumstances set out in the uncertificated securities rules (as defined in Diageo's articles of association) and where, in the case of a transfer to joint holders, the number of joint holders to whom the uncertificated share is to be transferred exceeds four.

The Board may decline to register a transfer of any of Diageo's certificated shares by a person with a 0.25% interest (as defined in Diageo's articles of association) if such a person has been served with a restriction notice (as defined in Diageo's articles of association) after failure to provide Diageo with information concerning interests in those shares required to be provided under the Companies Acts, unless the transfer is shown to the Board to be pursuant to an arm's length sale (as defined in Diageo's articles of association).

Documents on display

The Annual Report on Form 20-F and any other documents filed by the company with the SEC may be inspected at the SEC's at the reference facilities located at the SEC Headquarters at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of the public reference facilities and their copy charges. Filings with the SEC are also available to the public from commercial document retrieval services, and from the website maintained by the SEC at www.sec.gov.

Warning to shareholders – share fraud

Please beware of the share fraud of 'boiler room' scams, where shareholders are called 'out of the blue' by fraudsters (sometimes claiming to represent Diageo) attempting to obtain money or property dishonestly. Further information is available in the investor section of the company's website (www.diageo.com) but in short, if in doubt, take proper professional advice before making any investment decision.

External limited assurance of selected Sustainability & Responsibility performance data

We engaged PwC LLP to perform an independent limited assurance engagement, reporting to the Board of Directors of Diageo plc, over selected Sustainability & Responsibility (S&R) performance data marked with the symbol Δ within the Strategic Report of the Annual Report 2016, and the S&R Performance Addendum to the Annual Report 2016. PwC LLP engagement was performed in accordance with International Standard on Assurance Engagements 3000 (Revised) 'Assurance Engagements other than Audits and Reviews of Historical Financial Information' and, in respect of the greenhouse gas emissions in accordance with International Standard on Assurance Engagements 3410 'Assurance engagements on greenhouse gas statements', issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.

PwC LLP's full assurance opinion is available in the S&R Performance Addendum to the Annual Report 2016, available at www.diageo.com.

A summary of the work they performed is included in their assurance opinion. It is important to read the selected S&R performance data contained within this report in the context of PwC LLP's full limited assurance opinion and our reporting methodologies. Our reporting methodologies are included in the S&R Performance Addendum to the Annual Report, available at www.diageo.com.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This document contains 'forward-looking' statements. These statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate only to historical or current facts. In particular, forward-looking statements include all statements that express forecasts, expectations, plans, outlook, objectives and projections with respect to future matters, including trends in results of operations, margins, growth rates, overall market trends, the impact of changes in interest or exchange rates, the availability or cost of financing to Diageo, anticipated cost savings or synergies, expected investments, the completion of Diageo's strategic transactions and restructuring programmes, anticipated tax rates, expected cash payments, outcomes of litigation, anticipated deficit reductions in relation to pension schemes and general economic conditions. By their nature, forward-looking statements involve risk and uncertainty because they relate to events and depend on circumstances that will occur in the future. There are a number of factors that could cause actual results and developments to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements, including factors that are outside Diageo's control.

These factors include, but are not limited to:

- economic, political, social or other developments in countries and markets in which Diageo operates, which may contribute to reduced demand for Diageo's products, reduced consumer spending, negative impacts on Diageo's customer, supplier and financial counterparties or the imposition of import, investment or currency restrictions;
- the results of the decision in the United Kingdom's referendum on 23 June 2016 to leave the European Union, which may lead to a sustained period of economic and political uncertainty and complexity until the detailed terms of the United Kingdom's exit from the European Union are finalised and as the United Kingdom negotiates and concludes any successor trading arrangements with other countries, and which may also negatively impact economic conditions in Europe more generally which could have an adverse impact on Diageo's business operations and financial performance;
- changes in consumer preferences and tastes, including as a result of changes in demographic and social trends, public health regulations and travel, vacation or leisure activity patterns, or as a result of contamination, counterfeiting or other circumstances which could harm the integrity or sales of Diageo's brands;
- any litigation or other similar proceedings (including with tax, customs and other regulatory authorities), including that directed at the drinks and spirits industry generally or at Diageo in particular, or the impact of a product recall or product liability claim on Diageo's profitability or reputation;
- the effects of climate change and related regulations and other measures to address climate change, including any resulting impact on the cost and supply of water;
- changes in the cost of production, including as a result of increases in the cost of commodities, labour and/or energy or as a result of inflation;
- legal and regulatory developments, including changes in regulations regarding production, product liability, distribution, importation, labelling, packaging, consumption, advertising and data privacy; changes in tax law (including tax treaties), rates or requirements (including with respect to the impact of excise tax increases) or accounting standards; and changes in environmental laws, health regulations and the laws governing labour and pensions;
- the consequences of any failure by Diageo to comply with anti-corruption and other laws and regulations or any failure of Diageo's related internal policies and procedures to comply with applicable law;
- ability to maintain Diageo's brand image and corporate reputation or to adapt to a changing media environment, and exposure to adverse publicity, whether or not justified, and any resulting impacts on Diageo's reputation and the likelihood that consumers choose products offered by Diageo's competitors;
- increased competitive product and pricing pressures, including as a result of actions by increasingly consolidated competitors, that could negatively impact Diageo's market share, distribution network, costs or pricing;
- the effects of Diageo's business strategies, including in relation to expansion in emerging markets and growth of participation in international premium spirits markets, the effects of business combinations, partnerships, acquisitions or disposals, existing or future, and the ability to realise expected synergies and/or costs savings;
- Diageo's ability to benefit from its strategy, including its ability to expand into new markets, to complete and benefit from existing or future business combinations or other transactions, to implement cost saving and productivity initiatives or to forecast inventory levels successfully;
- contamination, counterfeiting or other events that could adversely affect the perception of Diageo's brands;
- increased costs or shortages of talent;
- disruption to production facilities or business service centres or information systems (including cyber-attack), existing or future;
- fluctuations in exchange rates and interest rates, which may impact the value of transactions and assets denominated in other currencies, increase the cost of financing or otherwise affect Diageo's financial results;
- movements in the value of the assets and liabilities related to Diageo's pension funds;
- renewal of supply, distribution, manufacturing or licence agreements (or related rights) and licences on favourable terms or at all when they expire; and
- failure of Diageo to protect its intellectual property rights.

All oral and written forward-looking statements made on or after the date of this document and attributable to Diageo are expressly qualified in their entirety by the above factors and by the principal risks set out in the 'Strategic Report – How we protect our business: Risk management and principal risks'. Any forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of Diageo speak only as of the date they are made. Diageo does not undertake to update forward-looking statements to reflect any changes in Diageo's expectations with regard thereto or any changes in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based. The reader should, however, consult any additional disclosures that Diageo may make in any documents which it publishes and/or files with the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). All readers, wherever located, should take note of these disclosures.

This document includes names of Diageo's products, which constitute trademarks or trade names which Diageo owns, or which others own and license to Diageo for use. All rights reserved. © Diageo plc 2016.

The information in this document does not constitute an offer to sell or an invitation to buy shares in Diageo plc or an invitation or inducement to engage in any other investment activities.

This document may include information about Diageo's target debt rating. A security rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating organisation. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

Past performance cannot be relied upon as a guide to future performance.

FSC
www.fsc.org
MIX
Paper from responsible sources
FSC® C016486

Designed by Salterbaxter MSLGROUP

This report has been printed on Vision Superior – an FSC® certified paper containing 100% ECF pulp and manufactured at a mill accredited with the ISO 14001 and EMAS environmental standards.

Printed by CPI Colour on behalf of RR Donnelley. CPI Colour are ISO14001 certified, CarbonNeutral®, Alcohol Free and are FSC® Chain of Custody certified. The inks used are vegetable oil based.

Diageo plc

Lakeside Drive
Park Royal
London
NW10 7HQ
United Kingdom

T: +44 (0) 20 8978 6000

www.diageo.com

Registered in England
No. 23307

© 2016 Diageo plc.
All rights reserved.
All brands mentioned in this Annual Report are trademarks and are registered and/or otherwise protected in accordance with applicable law.